1	Wednesday, 25 September, 2024	1	A. That's correct.
2	(10.31 am)	2	Q. You tell us in your paragraph 9 that you are essentially
3	MR BEER: Good morning, sir, may I call James Dunkerley,	3	
4		4	a corporate witness for today's purposes in that you
	please?	1	were not posted to Counter Terrorism Police North East's
5	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)	5	predecessor, NECTU, at the time of the events
6	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Dunkerley. Do sit down.	6	the Inquiry is considering.
7	Questions from MR BEER	7	A. That's correct.
8	MR BEER: Good morning. My name is Jason Beer and I ask	8	Q. And I think you had no direct personal knowledge of any
9	questions on behalf of the Inquiry.	9	of the events themselves.
10	Can you give us your full name, please.	10	A. No, I was not involved in any of those events.
11	A. James Christopher Dunkerley.	11	Q. And so your witness statement is based on information
12	Q. Mr Dunkerley, I think you have made an open witness	12	that you have obtained as a result of either reading
13	statement dated 24 September, 2024	13	documents or being briefed about documents; is that
14	A. That's correct.	14	right?
15	Q which is eight pages long. Do you have it in front	15	A. That's correct.
16	of you there?	16	Q. Thank you very much.
17	A. I have.	17	You tell us that the North East Counter Terrorism
18	Q. And is that your signature on the eighth page?	18	Unit, NECTU was set up in April 2007, following
19	A. It is.	19	the July 2005 bombings, as part of a major
20	Q. And are the contents of that witness statement true to	20	reorganisation, essentially, of the counter terrorism
21	the best of your knowledge and belief?	21	network.
22	A. They are.	22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. That witness statement will be published on	23	Q. NECTU being a collaboration of the seven forces that you
24	the Inquiry's website	24	mention in your paragraph 10.
25	A. Yes.	25	A. That's correct.
23	11. 103.	23	A. That's correct.
	Page 1		Page 3
1	Q and therefore I am not going to ask you questions	1	Q. And you refer to it as NECTU throughout your statement,
2	about all of its contents; do you understand?	2	because it is the organisation's correct name at the
3	A. That's fine, yes.	3	time of these events.
4	Q. Thank you.	4	A. That's correct.
5	In terms of your background, I think you became	5	Q. Thank you.
6	a police officer 29 years ago in 1995; is that right?	6	You tell us in your paragraph 15 that it was in, and
7	A. That's correct.	7	is in, the nature of counter terrorism policing that the
8	Q. In West Yorkshire Police, where apart from a period of	8	police liaise with both other police partners and
9	secondment, you have remained; is that right?	9	non-police partners to share information that each needs
10	A. I have, yes.	10	to know.
11	Q. You presently hold the rank of Detective Chief	11	A. That's correct.
12	Superintendent.	12	Q. You tell us in your paragraph 16 that:
13	A. I do.	13	"Typically, and for obvious reasons, a person of
14	Q. And you are the head of CTPNE, Counter Terrorism	14	concern"
15	Policing, North East; is that right?	15	That's a person about whom there is concern:
16	A. That's correct.	16	" living in a given area will be the
17	Q. You have held that role since October 2022; is that	17	responsibility of the force or the [counter terrorism
18	right?	18	unit] covering the area [in which they live]"
19	A. That's correct.	19	A. Yes, that's correct.
20	Q. Thank you. When did you first join CTPNE?	20	Q. In terms of systems, you tell us that before July 2017
21	A. 2018.	21	intelligence was held on a system called NSBIS, the
22	Q. 2018.	22	National Special Branch Intelligence System; is that
23	A. The latter half of 2018 as head of investigations.	23	right?
24	Q. So head of investigations from late '18 until October	23	
25	'22; October '22, head of unit.	25	A. That's correct.
	22, October 22, nead of unit.	23	Q. And you say that it was held locally. What do you mean
	Page 2		Page 4

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

1	by "it was held locally"?	1	Q. Ie there is the facility to share with everyone, but
2	A. Well, each area had access to NSBIS for their area, but	2	that doesn't necessarily mean all intelligence is shared
3	it was a, although it's described as a national system,	3	with everyone.
4	it was locally held and local information was on that	4	A. That's correct.
5	system. So it was more of a patchwork quilt rather than	5	Q. You say that:
6	one system covering the country.	6	"Intelligence can now be created by officers in any
7	Q. Rather than a blanket?	7	location within the UK and shared with the relevant
8	A. Yes.	8	destination FIMU, via the originating FIMU, all within
9	Q. So it was national in the sense that each special branch	9	the NCIA platform."
10	or counter terrorism unit had one, but not national in	10	A. That's correct.
11	the sense each of them could speak to each other	11	Q. That means that there is no longer a localised system of
12	A. Correct.	12	data storage.
13	Q on that system.	13	A. No, whoever the originator is for the intelligence would
14	A. On that system.	14	create that intelligence, so you have one version of
15	Q. You tell us that there wasn't a mechanism for the	15	that intelligence and that is that version of the
16	sharing of information within NSBIS.	16	intelligence is the one that people can see wherever
17	A. No.	17	they are in the country when they need to see that
18	Q. And so if information needed to be shared across counter	18	intelligence. It's got one version of the truth, as it
19	terrorism units, some other mechanism needed to be used	19	were.
20	in order to share that intelligence.	20	Q. Thank you. I am going to skip over paragraph 21 and
21	A. That's correct.	21	turn to West Yorkshire Police's and NECTU's role in
22	Q. Thank you.	22	relation to Syadul Hussain, Mohammed Syeedy and Mohammed
23	You say that in your paragraph 18 that at the	23	Kadir.
24	relevant time each of the other six forces, that's West	24	Looking at your paragraph 24, you say that, other
25	Yorkshire plus the other ones, making up the North East	25	than what you are about to tell us, as far as you are
	Page 5		Dago 7
	1 age 3		Page 7
1	region:	1	aware:
2	" had their own force information management	2	" neither West Yorkshire Police nor NECTU played
3	unit, FIMU function, as well as their own secure email	3	a role in the criminal proceedings relating to HUSSAIN
4	capabilities. Therefore, intelligence gathered in, for	4	or the criminal trial relating to SYEEDY."
5	example, Humberside may be securely emailed to, for	5	A. That's correct.
6	example, Manchester. NECTU would not necessarily be	6	Q. And you tell us in your paragraph 25 that neither
7	sighted on this sharing of intelligence although, out of	7	West Yorkshire Police nor NECTU "played a role in any
8	courtesy, they would sometimes be notified."	8	investigations into HUSSAIN or SYEEDY during 2014-2016
9	A. That's correct.	9	or had any involvement in, or knowledge of such
10	Q. And the example that you give there, do I take it that	10	investigations."
11	that was the common way that it was done?	11	A. That's correct.
12	A. Yes, each area could share information with another area	12	Q. In relation to Mohammed Kadir was the first time that
13	and it was the force within the region. That's why the	13	NECTU became aware of Kadir a date in 2015, in August,
13 14	and it was the force within the region. That's why the courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional	13 14	NECTU became aware of Kadir a date in 2015, in August, when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the
		1	-
14	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional	14	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the
14 15	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region.	14 15	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z?
14 15 16	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B	14 15 16	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct.
14 15 16 17	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B possessed, but, more than that, area C might not know	14 15 16 17	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct. Q. Did that Facebook profile contain pro-ISIS or IS
14 15 16 17 18	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B possessed, but, more than that, area C might not know that areas A and B had shared their intelligence.	14 15 16 17 18	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct. Q. Did that Facebook profile contain pro-ISIS or IS content, and a threat to the "kuffar" collecting for the
14 15 16 17 18 19	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B possessed, but, more than that, area C might not know that areas A and B had shared their intelligence. A. That's correct, yeah, that was always a risk.	14 15 16 17 18 19	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct. Q. Did that Facebook profile contain pro-ISIS or IS content, and a threat to the "kuffar" collecting for the charity Help for Heroes?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B possessed, but, more than that, area C might not know that areas A and B had shared their intelligence. A. That's correct, yeah, that was always a risk. Q. You tell us, I think that this has changed to an extent	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct. Q. Did that Facebook profile contain pro-ISIS or IS content, and a threat to the "kuffar" collecting for the charity Help for Heroes? A. It did.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B possessed, but, more than that, area C might not know that areas A and B had shared their intelligence. A. That's correct, yeah, that was always a risk. Q. You tell us, I think that this has changed to an extent with the introduction of the national common	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct. Q. Did that Facebook profile contain pro-ISIS or IS content, and a threat to the "kuffar" collecting for the charity Help for Heroes? A. It did. Q. And did that threat include:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B possessed, but, more than that, area C might not know that areas A and B had shared their intelligence. A. That's correct, yeah, that was always a risk. Q. You tell us, I think that this has changed to an extent with the introduction of the national common intelligence platform in July 2017.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct. Q. Did that Facebook profile contain pro-ISIS or IS content, and a threat to the "kuffar" collecting for the charity Help for Heroes? A. It did. Q. And did that threat include: "Otherwise they [the kuffar] will see more lee
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B possessed, but, more than that, area C might not know that areas A and B had shared their intelligence. A. That's correct, yeah, that was always a risk. Q. You tell us, I think that this has changed to an extent with the introduction of the national common intelligence platform in July 2017. A. That's correct, it has put everyone on one application	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct. Q. Did that Facebook profile contain pro-ISIS or IS content, and a threat to the "kuffar" collecting for the charity Help for Heroes? A. It did. Q. And did that threat include: "Otherwise they [the kuffar] will see more lee ruby's [it was actually printed 'rugby's'] about. Ask
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	courtesy was there, that actually we were a regional structure but we had seven entities within that region. Q. And so area A might not know what information area B possessed, but, more than that, area C might not know that areas A and B had shared their intelligence. A. That's correct, yeah, that was always a risk. Q. You tell us, I think that this has changed to an extent with the introduction of the national common intelligence platform in July 2017. A. That's correct, it has put everyone on one application where that visibility can be seen across the board with	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	when NECTU became aware of a Facebook profile in the name of Mohammed Kadz, K-A-D-Z? A. That's correct. Q. Did that Facebook profile contain pro-ISIS or IS content, and a threat to the "kuffar" collecting for the charity Help for Heroes? A. It did. Q. And did that threat include: "Otherwise they [the kuffar] will see more lee ruby's [it was actually printed 'rugby's'] about. Ask Rigby if it was worth it."

Q. In order to investigate Kadir? 1 Q. Did NECTU make enquiries with a view to identifying 2 a number of individuals, including Mohammed Kadz? 2 A. That's correct. 3 3 A. It did. Q. And is it your understanding that an investigative SIO 4 4 was identified from the North West in relation to Q. You set out, I think, for us in your closed statement 5 more detail of what action was taken. 5 Mohammed Kadir? 6 6 A. Yes, that's my understanding. A. That's correct. 7 Q. And I think you are prohibited by the terms of 7 Q. More than that, I think, you can't tell us by reason of 8 a restriction order from describing in open session what 8 the restriction order that's in place. q 9 those steps were. A. That's correct. 10 10 A. That's correct. O. You do tell us, however, that the North East Counter 11 Q. You tell us in your paragraph 28 that: 11 Terrorism Unit continued to obtain intelligence on a number of individuals, numerous individuals, including 12 "It is crucial to put into context that NECTU dealt 12 13 with intelligence in relation to very many individuals 13 Mohammed Kadir. 14 across the country [of whom] 'Mohammed Kadz' was [but] 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And you have provided detailed evidence about those in ... one ..." 15 16 Is that right? 16 relation to Kadir in particular in closed evidence. 17 A. That's correct, yes. 17 A. I have. 18 Q. And you tell us importantly that many of those 18 Q. Was it the case on the 22 January, 2016, that NECTU made 19 individuals were subsequently convicted of terrorism 19 it clear that it had reached a capacity issue? 20 offences, with some being sentenced to very lengthy 20 A. It had, yes. 21 terms indeed, or had their activities disrupted in some 21 Q. And did they raise that capacity issue and the 22 other way. 22 geographical distribution of individuals with North West 23 23 Counter Terrorism Unit and the West Midlands Counter A. That's correct. 24 Q. You tell us more about that in paragraph 45, which is on 24 Terrorism Unit for discussion at a meeting that was to 25 25 the last page of the statement. be held on 4 February, 2016? Page 9 Page 11 1 1 A. Yes, that's correct. A. That's correct. 2 Q. In the third line of paragraph 45 you say: 2 Q. Did that meeting on February 4, 2016, take place? 3 3 "For example, two people so convicted received life A. Yes, ves. 4 sentences with minimum terms ... of a quarter of 4 Q. At that meeting did officers from NECTU meet with other 5 5 CTUs in Manchester, and NECTU officers request support a century or more." 6 I think that means a quarter of a century or more 6 from other CTUs? 7 7 each; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. 8 8 Q. Did the North West and the other CTUs agree in principle A. That's correct, yes. 9 Q. You say: 9 to provide that support? 10 10 "It is very regrettable [you say] indeed that it was A. Yes, there was an agreement by all present. 11 not possible to stop Kadir and Hussain before they 11 Q. Looking back at the events that we have summarised in 12 murdered Jalal Uddin." 12 quite high levels of -- in quite a high level, I think 13 Going back, please, to paragraph 29. You say: 13 it is the case that you are not in a position to remark 14 14 "In October 2015, 'Mohammed Kadz' was identified as fairly on the quality and process of intelligence 15 15 sharing amongst agencies; is that right? [a person based in] ... Manchester called Mohammed KADIR." 16 16 A. That's correct. 17 17 Q. You tell us that that's essentially because --Is that right? 18 A. That's correct. 18 A. Of the restriction. 19 Q. And was he assessed to be a person who was of high risk 19 Q. -- of the imposition of the restriction order. 20 and significant concern? 20 A. It is. 2.1 A. He was. 2.1 Q. You do say that while intelligence sharing processes 22 Q. Was it agreed in November 2015 that a senior 22 have changed nationally, given the passage of time there 23 investigating officer, an SIO, should be appointed from 23 was nothing requiring a change or improvement for the North West Counter Terrorism Unit? 24 24 West Yorkshire Police or NECTU. 25 25 A. I don't believe that's -- I believe that's a fair A. That's correct. Page 10 Page 12

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1	assessment, yes.	1	from Mohammed Kadir.
2	Q. You tell us that for reasons that you explained more	2	A. That's correct.
3	fully in your closed evidence to us, that NECTU took	3	Q. That post had been captured by NECTU back on
4	appropriate action.	4	10 September 2015; is that right?
5	A. I do, yes.	5	A. 5 September.
6	Q. I think you will understand that that's an assessment	6	Q. Sorry?
7	for the chairman to reach.	7	A. 5 September.
8	A. Very much, yes.	8	Q. I think it is a post of 5 September
9	Q. Albeit that's your view.	9	A. Of 5 September, sorry, yes
10	A. Yes, yes.	10	Q but it had been captured
11	Q. You say that NECTU did not have the power to appoint	11	A. Sorry, I am with you, yes.
12	a senior investigating officer within the North West,	12	Q on 10 September.
13	and you add that from the material that you have seen	13	A. The 5 September post, correct.
14	you believe that the North West also took appropriate	14	Q. So the post of 5 September had been captured
15	action. More than that you can't say.	15	A. That's correct.
16	A. I believe that to be the case, yes.	16	Q by NECTU on 10 September, and you tell us that that
17	Q. Can we turn to the time after Mr Uddin's murder, and	17	was part of a wider intelligence gathering exercise into
18	NECTU and West Yorkshire Police's involvement in the	18	people potentially motivated by an extremist ideology,
19	murder investigation and the criminal proceedings.	19	including those who were associated with proscribed
20	After the murder, did the North West investigative	20	organisations.
21	team ask an officer in NECTU, who had been appointed as	21	A. That's correct.
22		21 22	
23	the disclosure officer for NECTU, to review the material	23	Q. And you tell us that when viewing the intelligence
24	that had been obtained relating to Kadir? A. That's correct.	24	closely, some Facebook posts can be seen, albeit not all
25	Q. And was that in order that they, the North West, could	25	of the posts, nor all of the contents.
23	Q. And was that in order that they, the North West, could	23	A. That's correct.
	Page 13		Page 15
1			
1	comply with their disclosure obligations under the CPIA	1	Q. You then, on your page 7, set out the post of the
2	comply with their disclosure obligations under the CPIA 1996?	1 2	5 September 2015.
	1996? A. That's correct.	2 3	5 September 2015. A. Yes.
2	1996?A. That's correct.Q. Did officers from the North West also review material,	2 3 4	5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its
2 3	1996? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5	5 September 2015.A. Yes.Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people
2 3 4	1996?A. That's correct.Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts?A. That's correct, they did.	2 3 4 5 6	5 September 2015.A. Yes.Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	5 September 2015.A. Yes.Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already.A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available
2 3 4 5 6 7	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No. Q. Your understanding is that no NECTU officers were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the time."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No. Q. Your understanding is that no NECTU officers were required to give live evidence at court. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the time." A. That's my understanding, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No. Q. Your understanding is that no NECTU officers were required to give live evidence at court. A. That's my understanding. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the time." A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 46 that:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No. Q. Your understanding is that no NECTU officers were required to give live evidence at court. A. That's my understanding. Q. Thank you. You tell us that during their detailed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the time." A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 46 that: "The posts that were uncovered after Mr Uddin's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No. Q. Your understanding is that no NECTU officers were required to give live evidence at court. A. That's my understanding. Q. Thank you. You tell us that during their detailed review, the NECTU officer that had been appointed as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the time." A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 46 that: "The posts that were uncovered after Mr Uddin's murder were a small part of a much larger collection of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No. Q. Your understanding is that no NECTU officers were required to give live evidence at court. A. That's my understanding. Q. Thank you. You tell us that during their detailed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the time." A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 46 that: "The posts that were uncovered after Mr Uddin's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No. Q. Your understanding is that no NECTU officers were required to give live evidence at court. A. That's my understanding. Q. Thank you. You tell us that during their detailed review, the NECTU officer that had been appointed as disclosure officer identified a Facebook post of note 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the time." A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 46 that: "The posts that were uncovered after Mr Uddin's murder were a small part of a much larger collection of information concerning many people and were found
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1996? A. That's correct. Q. Did officers from the North West also review material, and identify some relevant Facebook posts? A. That's correct, they did. Q. And were they exhibited by an officer? A. They were. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 37 that West Yorkshire Police: " has provided a number of statements and exhibits, produced for the criminal investigation to this Inquiry. [And] As far as you are aware, [West Yorkshire Police and] NECTU had no further involvement with the murder proceedings" A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You are not able to say which statements and exhibits were actually produced at court. A. No. Q. Your understanding is that no NECTU officers were required to give live evidence at court. A. That's my understanding. Q. Thank you. You tell us that during their detailed review, the NECTU officer that had been appointed as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 5 September 2015. A. Yes. Q. I am not going to read that, we have seen it in its original form, we have seen it described, and people have spoken to it already. A. Okay. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 44 that "the available evidence", that means the evidence that's available to you, "indicates that these posts", the posts of 5 September, "were not seen by anyone at NECTU at the time." A. That's correct. Q. Given that they were part of a large volume of information. A. That's correct. Q. You say that: "Even if they had been seen, it is highly unlikely that they would have been considered significant at the time." A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. You tell us in your paragraph 46 that: "The posts that were uncovered after Mr Uddin's murder were a small part of a much larger collection of

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

1	following work undertaken at a time when [Mohammed]
2	Kadir was [himself] suspected of murder."
3	A. That's correct.
4	MR BEER: Thank you very much. Those are the questions that
5	I ask. Thank you for answering them.
6	A. Thank you.
7	THE CHAIR: Are there any questions from anybody else? No.
8	Thank you very much, Mr Dunkerley, for assisting
9	the Inquiry.
10	A. Thank you.
11	THE CHAIR: That's the end of your evidence, and I think the
12	end of the hearing.
13	MR BEER: It is, sir, that's the end of the open evidence
14	that we call before you in this Inquiry.
15	THE CHAIR: Yes, and as you have indicated, the relevant
16	statements will be uploaded to the website.
17	MR BEER: Yes, I think that's the end of the open hearings.
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. My thanks to everybody
19	concerned for helping in the conduct of this very
20	expeditious hearing. Any other matters we need to
21	discuss at this stage?
22	MR BEER: No, thank you.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
24	(10.52 am)
25	
23	(The hearing concluded)
	Page 17
	0
1	
2	
	INDEX
3	INDEX
3 4	
4	INDEX JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
5	
456	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JAMES DUNKERLEY (affirmed)1

Page 19

	board 6:24	10:3	disrupted 9:21	following 3:18
A	bombings 3:19	corporate 3:3	distribution 11:22	17:1
able 14:17	branch 4:22 5:9	correct 1:14 2:7,16	documents 3:13	force 4:17 6:2,13
access 5:2	briefed 3:13	2:19 3:1,7,15,22	3:13	forces 3:23 5:24
action 9:5 13:4,15	bricica 3.13	3:25 4:2,4,11,19	Dunkerley 1:3,5,6	form 16:5
activities 9:21	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	4:24 5:12,21 6:9	1:11,12 17:8	found 16:25
add 13:13	C 6:17	6:19,23 7:4,10	18:4	front 1:15
affirmed 1:5 18:4	call 1:3 17:14	8:5,11,16,25 9:6	10.4	full 1:10
agencies 12:15	called 4:21 10:15	9:10,17,23 10:1,8	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	fully 13:3
ago 2:6	capabilities 6:4	10:18,25 11:2,9	E 18:2	function 6:3
agree 12:8	capacity 11:19,21	11:14 12:1,7,16	East 2:15 3:17	further 14:14
agreed 10:22	captured 15:3,10	13:24 14:3,6	5:25 11:10	
agreement 12:10	15:14	15:2,13,15,21,25	East's 3:4	G
albeit 13:9 15:23	case 11:18 12:13	16:13,16 17:3	eight 1:15	gathered 6:4
answering 17:5	13:16	counter 2:14 3:4	eighth 1:18	gathering 15:17
anybody 17:7	caveats 6:25	3:17,20 4:7,17	either 3:12	geographical
apart 2:8	century 10:5,6	5:10,18 10:24	email 6:3	11:22
application 6:23	CHAIR 1:6 17:7	11:10,23,23	emailed 6:5	give 1:10 6:10
appoint 13:11	17:11,15,18,23	country 5:6 7:17	enquiries 9:1	14:21
appointed 10:23 13:21 14:24	chairman 13:7	9:14	entities 6:15	given 4:16 12:22
	change 12:23	court 14:18,21	essentially 3:2,20	16:14
appropriate 6:25 13:4,14	changed 6:20	courtesy 6:8,14	12:17	going 2:1 7:20
April 3:18	12:22	covering 4:18 5:6	events 3:5,9,10 4:3	10:13 16:4
area 4:16,18 5:2,2	charity 8:19	CPIA 14:1	12:11	Good 1:3,8
6:12,12,16,16,17	Chief 2:11	create 7:14	everybody 17:18	
areas 6:18	Christopher 1:11	created 7:6	evidence 11:15,16	H
assessed 10:19	clear 11:19	criminal 8:3,4	13:3 14:21 16:9	half 2:23
assessment 13:1,6	closed 9:4 11:16	13:19 14:12	16:9 17:11,13	head 2:14,23,24,25
assisting 17:8	13:3	crucial 9:12	example 6:5,6,10	hearing 17:12,20
associated 15:19	closely 15:23	CTPNE 2:14,20	10:3	17:25
August 8:13	collaboration 3:23	CTUs 12:5,6,8	exercise 15:17	hearings 17:17
available 16:8,9	collecting 8:18		exhibited 14:7	held 2:17 4:21,25
aware 8:1,13,14	collection 16:24	D	exhibits 14:12,17	5:1,4 11:25
14:13	common 6:11,21	D 18:2	expeditious 17:20	Help 8:19
	comply 14:1	data 7:12	explained 13:2	helping 17:19
B	concern 4:14,15	date 8:13	extent 6:20	Heroes 8:19
B 6:16,18	10:20	dated 1:13	extremist 15:18	high 10:19 12:12 12:12
back 10:13 12:11	concerned 17:19	dealt 9:12		
15:3	concerning 16:25	described 5:3 16:5		highly 16:18 hold 2:11
background 2:5	concluded 17:25	describing 9:8	Facebook 8:14,17 14:5,25 15:23	Humberside 6:5
based 3:11 10:15	conduct 17:19	destination 7:8	facility 7:1	Hussain 7:22 8:3,8
Beer 1:3,7,8,8 17:4	considered 16:19	detail 9:5	fair 12:25	10:11
17:13,17,22 18:5	considering 3:6	detailed 11:15	fairly 12:14	10.11
behalf 1:9	contain 8:17	14:23	far 7:25 14:13	I
belief 1:21	content 8:18	Detective 2:11	February 11:25	identified 10:14
believe 12:25,25	contents 1:20 2:2	direct 3:8	12:2	11:4 14:25
13:14,16	15:24 context 9:12	disclosure 13:22	FIMU 6:3 7:8,8	identify 14:5
best 1:21	context 9:12 continued 11:11	14:1,25 discuss 17:21	fine 2:3	identifying 9:1
blanket 5:7	continued 11:11 convicted 9:19	discuss 17:21 discussion 11:24	first 2:20 8:12	ideology 15:18
	convicted 5.15	uiscussion 11:24	11150 2.20 0.12	

	IZ 11 7 00 0 10 10	1 2.24	11.12	12.0
importantly 9:18	Kadir 7:23 8:12,13	mention 3:24	numerous 11:12	personal 3:8
imposition 12:19	10:11,16 11:1,5	Midlands 11:23	0	place 11:8 12:2
improvement	11:13,16 13:23	minimum 10:4		platform 6:22 7:9
12:23	15:1 17:2	Mohammed 7:22	obligations 14:1	played 8:2,7
include 8:21	Kadz 8:15 9:2	7:22 8:12,15 9:2	obtain 11:11	please 1:4,10
including 9:2	Kadz' 9:14 10:14	9:14 10:14,15	obtained 3:12	10:13
11:12 15:19	know 4:10 6:16,17	11:5,13 15:1	13:23	plus 5:25
indicated 17:15	knowledge 1:21	17:1	obvious 4:13	police 2:6,8 3:4 4:8
indicates 16:10	3:8 8:9	morning 1:3,8	October 2:17,24	4:8 8:2,7 12:24
individuals 9:2,13	kuffar 8:18,22	motivated 15:18	2:25 10:14	14:10,14
9:19 11:12,12,22		murder 13:17,19	offences 9:20	Police's 7:21 13:18
information 3:11	<u>L</u>	13:20 14:15	officer 2:6 10:23	policing 2:15 4:7
4:9 5:4,16,18 6:2	large 16:14	16:24 17:2	13:12,21,22 14:7	position 12:13
6:12,16 16:15,25	larger 16:24	murdered 10:12	14:24,25	possessed 6:17
Inquiry 1:9 3:6	late 2:24	N	officers 7:6 12:4,5	possible 10:11
14:13 17:9,14	lee 8:22		14:4,20	post 14:25 15:3,8
Inquiry's 1:24	lengthy 9:20	N 18:2	Okay 16:7	15:13,14 16:1
intelligence 4:21	level 12:12	name 1:8,10 4:2	ones 5:25	posted 3:4
4:22 5:20 6:4,7	levels 12:12	8:15	open 1:12 9:8	posts 14:5 15:23
6:18,22,25 7:2,6	liaise 4:8	national 4:22 5:3,9	17:13,17	15:24 16:10,10
7:13,14,15,16,18	life 10:3	5:10 6:21	order 5:20 9:8	16:23
9:13 11:11 12:14	line 10:2	nationally 12:22	11:1,8 12:19	potentially 15:18
12:21 15:17,22	live 4:18 14:21	nature 4:7	13:25	power 13:11
introduction 6:21	living 4:16	NCIA 7:9	organisation's 4:2	predecessor 3:5
investigate 11:1	local 5:4	necessarily 6:6 7:2	organisations	present 12:10
investigating	localised 7:11	NECTU 3:5,18,23	15:20	presently 2:11
10:23 13:12	locally 4:25 5:1,4	4:1 6:6 8:2,7,13	original 16:5	principle 12:8
investigation	location 7:7	8:14 9:1,12	originating 7:8	printed 8:23
13:19 14:12	long 1:15	11:18 12:4,5,24	originator 7:13	pro-ISIS 8:17
investigations 2:23	longer 7:11	13:3,11,18,21,22	P	proceedings 8:3
2:24 8:8,10	Looking 7:24	14:14,20,24 15:3		13:19 14:15
investigative 11:3	12:11	15:16 16:11	page 1:18 9:25	process 12:14
13:20	M	NECTU's 7:21	16:1	processes 12:21
involved 3:10		need 7:17 17:20	pages 1:15	produced 14:12,18
involvement 8:9	major 3:19	needed 5:18,19	paragraph 3:2,24	profile 8:14,17
13:18 14:15	making 5:25	needs 4:9	4:6,12 5:23 7:20	prohibited 9:7
issue 11:19,21	management 6:2	neither 8:2,6	7:24 8:6 9:11,24	proscribed 15:19
	Manchester 6:6	network 3:21	10:2,13 14:9	protecting 6:25
J	10:15 12:5	non-police 4:9	16:8,22	provide 12:9
Jalal 10:12	material 13:13,22	North 2:15 3:4,17	part 3:19 15:17	provided 11:15
James 1:3,5,11	14:4	5:25 10:24 11:4	16:14,24	14:11
18:4	matters 17:20	11:10,22 12:8	particular 11:16	published 1:23
January 11:18	mean 4:25 7:2	13:12,14,20,25	partners 4:8,9	purposes 3:3
Jason 1:8	means 7:11 10:6	14:4	passage 12:22	put 6:23 9:12
join 2:20	16:9	note 14:25	patchwork 5:5	
July 3:19 4:20	mechanism 5:15	notified 6:8	people 7:16 10:3	Q
6:22	5:19	November 10:22	15:18 16:5,25	quality 12:14
	meet 12:4	NSBIS 4:21 5:2,16	period 2:8	quarter 10:4,6
<u>K</u>	meeting 11:24	number 9:2 11:12	person 4:13,15	questions 1:7,9 2:1
K-A-D-Z 8:15	12:2,4	14:11	10:15,19	17:4,7 18:5
	•	1	1	1

Page 21

quilt 5:5	securely 6:5	2:12	U	14:14
quite 12:12,12	see 7:16,17 8:22	support 12:5,9	Uddin 10:12	
	seen 6:24 13:13	suspected 17:2	Uddin's 13:17	Z
R	15:23 16:4,5,11	Syadul 7:22	16:23	
raise 11:21	16:18	Syeedy 7:22 8:4,8	UK 7:7	0
rank 2:11	senior 10:22 13:12	system 4:21,22 5:3	uncovered 16:23	1
reach 13:7	sense 5:9,11	5:5,6,13,14 7:11	understand 2:2	
reached 11:19	sentenced 9:20	systems 4:20	13:6	1 18:4,5
read 16:4	sentences 10:4		understanding	10 3:24 15:4,12,16
reading 3:12	September 1:1,13	T	11:3,6 14:16,20	10.31 1:2
reason 11:7	15:4,5,7,8,9,12	take 6:10 12:2	14:22 16:21	10.52 17:24
reasons 4:13 13:2	15:13,14,16 16:2	taken 9:5	undertaken 17:1	154:6
received 10:3	16:11	team 13:21	unit 2:25 3:18 4:18	164:12
refer 4:1	session 9:8	tell 3:2,17 4:6,12	5:10 6:3 10:24	18 2:24 5:23
region 6:1,13,15	set 3:18 9:4 16:1	4:20 5:15 6:20	11:11,23,24	1995 2:6
regional 6:14	seven 3:23 6:15	7:25 8:6 9:11,18	units 5:19	1996 14:2
regrettable 10:10	share 4:9 5:20	9:24 11:7,10	uploaded 17:16	2
relating 8:3,4	6:12 7:1	12:17 13:2 14:9		2005 3:19
13:23	shared 5:18 6:18	14:23 15:16,22	V	2003 3.19 2007 3:18
relation 7:22 8:12	7:2,7	16:8,22	version 7:14,15,18	2014-2016 8:8
9:13 11:4,16	sharing 5:16 6:7	terms 2:5 4:20 9:7	view 9:1 13:9	2015 8:13 10:14,22
relevant 5:24 7:7	12:15,21	9:21 10:4	viewing 15:22	15:4 16:2
14:5 17:15	sighted 6:7	terrorism 2:14 3:4	visibility 6:24	2016 11:18,25 12:2
remained 2:9	signature 1:18	3:17,20 4:7,17	volume 16:14	2010 11:16,23 12:2 2017 4:20 6:22
remark 12:13	significant 10:20	5:10,19 9:19		2017 4.20 0.22 2018 2:21,22,23
reorganisation	16:19	10:24 11:11,23	W	2010 2.21,22,23 2022 2:17
3:20	SIO 10:23 11:3	11:24	wasn't 5:15	2022 2:17 2024 1:1,13
request 12:5	sir 1:3 17:13	thank 1:6 2:4,20	way 6:11 9:22	21 7:20
required 14:21	sit 1:6	3:16 4:5 5:22	website 1:24 17:16	22 2:25,25 11:18
requiring 12:23	six 5:24	7:20 14:23 17:4	Wednesday 1:1	24 1:13 7:24
responsibility 4:17	skip 7:20	17:5,6,8,10,18,22	West 2:8 5:24 7:21	25 1:1 8:6
restriction 9:8	small 16:24	17:23	8:2,7 10:24 11:4	28 9:11
11:8 12:18,19	sorry 15:6,9,11	thanks 17:18	11:22,23 12:8,24	29 2:6 10:13
result 3:12	speak 5:11	think 1:12 2:5 3:8	13:12,14,18,20	
review 13:22 14:4	special 4:22 5:9	6:20 9:4,7 10:6	13:25 14:4,9,14	3
14:24	spoken 16:6	11:7 12:12 13:6	wider 15:17	37 14:9
Rigby 8:24	stage 17:21	15:8 17:11,17	witness 1:12,20,23	
right 2:6,9,15,18	statement 1:13,20	third 10:2	3:3,11	4
3:14 4:23 9:16	1:23 3:11 4:1 9:4	threat 8:18,21	work 17:1	4 11:25 12:2
10:7,17 12:15	9:25	time 3:5 4:3 5:24	worth 8:24	44 16:8
15:4	statements 14:11	8:12 12:22 13:17	<u> </u>	45 9:24 10:2
risk 6:19 10:19	14:17 17:16	16:12,20 17:1	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 18:2	46 16:22
role 2:17 7:21 8:3	steps 9:9	today's 3:3	A 10.2	5
8:7 ruby's 8:23	stop 10:11	trial 8:4 true 1:20	Y	
rugby's' 8:23	storage 7:12	true 1:20 truth 7:18	yeah 6:19	5 15:5,7,8,9,13,14
1 ugby \$ 0.23	structure 6:15	turn 7:18	years 2:6	16:2,11
S	subsequently 9:19	two 10:3	Yorkshire 2:8	6
secondment 2:9	summarised 12:11	Typically 4:13	5:25 7:21 8:2,7	
secure 6:3	Superintendent	1 ypicany 4.13	12:24 13:18 14:9	7

Page 22

		Page 22
7 16:1		
<u>8</u> <u>9</u>		
9 3:2		