1	Tuesday, 24 September, 2024	1	A. Yes, the Home Office register is just a list of
2	(10.30 am)	2	pathologists who have reached a required standard that
3	(Proceedings delayed)	3	can conduct a post mortem examinations in suspicious
4	(10.40 am)	4	circumstances.
5	MR BEER: Good morning, sir. May I call Dr Philip Lumb,	5	Q. Thank you. You conducted a post mortem examination in
6	please?	6	relation to a person who was later identified to you as
7	DR PHILIP LUMB (sworn)	7 8	Jalal Uddin on the instruction, I think, of the Coroner
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Dr Lumb. Would you like to sit down?	9	for North Manchester, Simon Nelson; is that right?
9	A. I think I will remain standing, yes.	10	A. Yes, that's correct.     Q. You conducted the post mortem examination starting at
11	THE CHAIR: If you prefer to stand, that's fine.	11	1.45 pm on the 19 February, which would have been the
12	Questions by MR BEER  MR BEER: Dr Lumb, my name is Jason Beer and I ask questions	12	day after Mr Uddin's murder; is that right?
13	on behalf of the Inquiry. Can you give us your full	13	A. Yes, that's correct.
14	name, please.	14	Q. And was that at the mortuary at the Royal Oldham
15	A. Yes, my name is Dr Philip Derek Lumb.	15	Hospital?
16	Q. Thank you. I think you made a witness statement on	16	A. Yes it was.
17	19 April 2016 and should you have a typed copy in front	17	Q. You were given background information by a Detective
18	of you. Do you have that witness statement?	18	Inspector O'Regan of Greater Manchester Police. Now,
19	A. I have my own copy, yes.	19	that's recorded in your witness statement, and which
20	Q. Thank you very much, and is it 17 pages long?	20	I am not going to ask you to rehearse, but did that
21	A. My copy is 20.	21	briefing include being shown some scene photographs?
22	Q. Right, okay. Can you go to the last page, please. Is	22	A. Yes, that's correct.
23	it signed?	23	Q. Can you recall, was there something of note in the
24	A. This report is, yes, that's correct.	24	photographs that was later to tally with some of the
25	Q. Yes, thank you. And are the contents of it true to the	25	observations that you were subsequently to make
	Page 1		Page 3
	1 100 1		1 1150 0
1	best of your knowledge and belief?	1	concerning Mr Uddin's mouth and teeth?
2	A. That's correct, yes.	2	A. Yes, so in a scene image I saw a fragmented,
3	Q. Thank you very much. I think you gave evidence at the	3	bloodstained denture and that was associated with some
4	trial of Mohammed Hussain Syeedy on 30 August 2016. We	4	blood clot on the floor.
5	have a transcript of your evidence, but I wasn't	5	Q. I think you were given three species of medical records.
6	intending to refer to it. Could you start, please, with	6	The notes of the attending paramedics, the A&E
7 8	your qualifications?  A. Yes, I have a Bachelor of Medical Science degree in	7 8	department's notes, and Mr Uddin's GP notes; is that
9	pathology, I have a bachelor of medicine, and bachelor	9	right? A. Yes, that's correct.
10	of surgery, I hold the diploma of the Royal College of		A. 165, that 8 correct.
10		1.0	O As to the letter did they reveal Mr Uddin suffered from
11		10	Q. As to the latter, did they reveal Mr Uddin suffered from high blood pressure and diabetes?
11 12	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in	11	high blood pressure and diabetes?
12	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of	11 12	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.
	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in	11	high blood pressure and diabetes?
12 13	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of	11 12 13	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not
12 13 14	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of	11 12 13 14	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his
12 13 14 15	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate	11 12 13 14 15	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?
12 13 14 15 16	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.	11 12 13 14 15 16	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.
12 13 14 15 16 17	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.  Q. Thank you. You are a forensic pathologist on the Home	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.  Q. Was there anything in his past medical history that so
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.  Q. Thank you. You are a forensic pathologist on the Home Office register; is that right?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.  Q. Was there anything in his past medical history that so contributed?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.  Q. Thank you. You are a forensic pathologist on the Home Office register; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.  Q. Was there anything in his past medical history that so contributed?  A. No.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.  Q. Thank you. You are a forensic pathologist on the Home Office register; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Sometimes called, perhaps a little misleadingly, a Home	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.  Q. Was there anything in his past medical history that so contributed?  A. No.  Q. You detail in your statement both the injuries that you
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.  Q. Thank you. You are a forensic pathologist on the Home Office register; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Sometimes called, perhaps a little misleadingly, a Home Office pathologist.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.  Q. Was there anything in his past medical history that so contributed?  A. No.  Q. You detail in your statement both the injuries that you found on external observation and those which you found
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.  Q. Thank you. You are a forensic pathologist on the Home Office register; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Sometimes called, perhaps a little misleadingly, a Home Office pathologist.  A. Yes, commonly referred to as that, yes, that's correct.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.  Q. Was there anything in his past medical history that so contributed?  A. No.  Q. You detail in your statement both the injuries that you found on external observation and those which you found upon dissection, and I think you list them as injuries 1
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.  Q. Thank you. You are a forensic pathologist on the Home Office register; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Sometimes called, perhaps a little misleadingly, a Home Office pathologist.  A. Yes, commonly referred to as that, yes, that's correct.  Q. And just briefly, what does it mean to be on the Home	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.  Q. Was there anything in his past medical history that so contributed?  A. No.  Q. You detail in your statement both the injuries that you found on external observation and those which you found upon dissection, and I think you list them as injuries 1 to 7; is that right?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Pathologists in forensic pathology, and diploma in medical jurisprudence of the Society of Apothecaries of London, I am a Fellow of the Royal College of Pathologists, I am also a member of the Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine, and I hold a postgraduate diploma in post mortem CT Scanning.  Q. Thank you. You are a forensic pathologist on the Home Office register; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. Sometimes called, perhaps a little misleadingly, a Home Office pathologist.  A. Yes, commonly referred to as that, yes, that's correct.  Q. And just briefly, what does it mean to be on the Home Office register in terms of the nature of the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	high blood pressure and diabetes?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you formed a conclusion as to whether or not either of those conditions caused or contributed to his death?  A. No, they were not related to his death.  Q. Was there anything in his past medical history that so contributed?  A. No.  Q. You detail in your statement both the injuries that you found on external observation and those which you found upon dissection, and I think you list them as injuries 1 to 7; is that right?  A. Yes, that's correct.

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

1	to 7 rather than describe what you found on external	1	A. Yes, so it likely merged with other fractures to
2	examination, and then upon dissection in turn. Before	2	particularly the facial skeleton, that's correct.
3	we get to those injuries, is it right that Mr Uddin was	3	Q. Can you decode for us a little bit, certainly for me,
4	weighed and his height taken, and was he 5 feet 5 and 13	4	what you mean by "a large area of irregular
5	stones and 6 pounds?	5	fragmentation extending through the right superior
6	A. That's correct, yes.	6	orbital ridge"?
7	Q. Thank you. So can we turn to injury 1, please. For us	7	A. Yes, certainly, so the right superior orbital ridge is
8	this is the foot of page 7. It is under "External	8	a bone above the right eyeball, the overhang of the eye,
9	injuries, head and neck".	9	and that fracturing extended multiple fragments through
10	A. Yes.	11	the nose area, the upper nose, and then actually into
11 12	Q. You describe: 3 centimetres above the medial half of the	12	the left side of the face, so quite an extensive area of
	right eyebrow on the right forehead a gaping irregular	13	central facial bone fracturing.
13	laceration, measuring 1.5 centimetres by	14	Q. So that's when you say "extended into the left medial maxilla"?
14 15	3.5 centimetres. The long axis was broadly in the 2 to	15	
16	8 o'clock position when viewed from the front, and you	16	A. Yes, so the maxilla is basically the cheek bone, yes.
17	say fractured skull bone could be observed in the depths	17	Q. Thank you. Can we go back to page 7 of your report,
18	of this injury.  A. That's correct, yes.	18	please, for us. This is "External injuries, head and neck". You say that "fractured skull bone could be
19	• •	19	observed in the depths of this injury." But that was
	Q. As to the fractured skull bone being observed in the	20	visible to the naked eye by looking inside the injury
20 21	depths of the injury, I think a little later in your report, for us it is on page 10 at the top, when you are	21	before dissection?
22	describing what you found upon dissection in the	22	A. Yes, that's correct, I could see that from the outside,
23	under the header "Neck" section and in particular under	23	correct.
24	"Skull" you say that you found underneath injury 1 in	24	Q. Can we turn to is there anything else you want to say
25	the right frontal bone a rounded depressed fracture,	25	about injury 1?
23	the right frontal bone a founded depressed fracture,	23	about injury 1:
	Page 5		Page 7
1	measuring 3 centimetres in diameter.	1	A. No, that's the description.
1 2	measuring 3 centimetres in diameter.  A. Yes, that's correct.	1 2	<ul><li>A. No, that's the description.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:</li></ul>
			<ul><li>A. No, that's the description.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:</li><li>"Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation</li></ul>
2	A. Yes, that's correct.	2	Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:
2 3	A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So this is all injury 1?	2 3	Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li><li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li><li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and</li></ul>	2 3 4	Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li><li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li><li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:  "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:  "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?  A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:  "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say: "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could cause two areas of damage.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."  Is this a second and separate injury?  A. Yes, that's correct, yes.  Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?  A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.  Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:     "The inferior part of this depressed fracture merged</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?     A. Yes, it could be an irregular weapon, that's correct.     Q. I don't think there is anything on dissection related to     injury 2; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:     "The inferior part of this depressed fracture merged with a large area of irregular fragmentation which</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?     A. Yes, it could be an irregular weapon, that's correct.     Q. I don't think there is anything on dissection related to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:  "The inferior part of this depressed fracture merged with a large area of irregular fragmentation which extended beyond the right superior orbital ridge into</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?     A. Yes, it could be an irregular weapon, that's correct.     Q. I don't think there is anything on dissection related to     injury 2; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:     "The inferior part of this depressed fracture merged with a large area of irregular fragmentation which extended beyond the right superior orbital ridge into the nasal bones, down into the left medial maxilla.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?     A. Yes, it could be an irregular weapon, that's correct.     Q. I don't think there is anything on dissection related to     injury 2; is that right?     A. It is basically all that fracturing is still underneath</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:     "The inferior part of this depressed fracture merged with a large area of irregular fragmentation which extended beyond the right superior orbital ridge into the nasal bones, down into the left medial maxilla.</li> <li>Beneath injuries 2 and 4, there was severe fragmentation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?     A. Yes, it could be an irregular weapon, that's correct.     Q. I don't think there is anything on dissection related to     injury 2; is that right?     A. It is basically all that fracturing is still underneath     this injury, so some of that fracturing could be related</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:  "The inferior part of this depressed fracture merged with a large area of irregular fragmentation which extended beyond the right superior orbital ridge into the nasal bones, down into the left medial maxilla.</li> <li>Beneath injuries 2 and 4, there was severe fragmentation of the bones in these locations."  Are you there describing that this depressed skull fracture connected with other underlying injuries with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?     A. Yes, it could be an irregular weapon, that's correct.     Q. I don't think there is anything on dissection related to     injury 2; is that right?     A. It is basically all that fracturing is still underneath     this injury, so some of that fracturing could be related     to number 2.     Q. And anything else you wish to say about injury 2?     A. No, I think that's the summary, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:  "The inferior part of this depressed fracture merged with a large area of irregular fragmentation which extended beyond the right superior orbital ridge into the nasal bones, down into the left medial maxilla.</li> <li>Beneath injuries 2 and 4, there was severe fragmentation of the bones in these locations."</li> <li>Are you there describing that this depressed skull</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?     A. Yes, it could be an irregular weapon, that's correct.     Q. I don't think there is anything on dissection related to     injury 2; is that right?     A. It is basically all that fracturing is still underneath     this injury, so some of that fracturing could be related     to number 2.     Q. And anything else you wish to say about injury 2?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is all injury 1?</li> <li>A. Yes, so there is an external component of the injury and then underneath that is a punched out piece of bone, a depressed skull fracture.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain what a depressed skull fracture is, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, so in this case it was a rounded, rather rounded area, and the skull bone has been punched into the skull, leaving a quite neat defect.</li> <li>Q. Was injury number 1 the most significant of the injuries?</li> <li>A. It certainly was a significant injury. There are other severe injuries.</li> <li>Q. Right. You continued to describe at the top of page 10:  "The inferior part of this depressed fracture merged with a large area of irregular fragmentation which extended beyond the right superior orbital ridge into the nasal bones, down into the left medial maxilla.</li> <li>Beneath injuries 2 and 4, there was severe fragmentation of the bones in these locations."  Are you there describing that this depressed skull fracture connected with other underlying injuries with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Thank you. Can we turn to injury 2, please. You say:     "Just below injury 1, in a similar broad orientation     was an irregular laceration measuring 2 centimetres by     1.8 centimetres just involving the mid-part of the right     eyebrow."     Is this a second and separate injury?     A. Yes, that's correct, yes.     Q. And is it therefore as a result of a different blow or     trauma than the blow or trauma that caused injury 1?     A. Yes, it could be a separate impact, two impacts     occurring. Of course, occasionally with weapons, and     depending on the nature of the weapon, one blow could     cause two areas of damage.     Q. Because the weapon has two or more parts to it that     might make contact?     A. Yes, it could be an irregular weapon, that's correct.     Q. I don't think there is anything on dissection related to     injury 2; is that right?     A. It is basically all that fracturing is still underneath     this injury, so some of that fracturing could be related     to number 2.     Q. And anything else you wish to say about injury 2?     A. No, I think that's the summary, yes.</li> </ul>

1	"The right upper eyelid was swollen with bruising	1	Q. Thank you. Is there anything else that you wish to say
2	measuring 4 centimetres by 2 centimetres, scleral	2	about injury 4?
3	haemorrhage was also evident in the right eye."	3	A. No, that's the summary, yes.
4	Is that bleeding in the right eye?	4	Q. Thank you.
5	A. The sclera is just the white of the eye and that has	5	Injury 5. I think a less significant injury.
6	haemorrhage within it, so it is just bruising to the	6	"Vertical abrasion on the tip of the nose, the right
7	ball of the eye.	7	side, measuring a centimetre."
8	Q. So a bruised eye ball?	8	A. Yes, essentially a 1 centimetre long scratch on the tip
9	A. That's correct, yes.	9	of the nose, yes.
10	Q. Thank you. And again I think nothing particular on	10	Q. You said this to the Crown Court: this injury might have
11	dissection; is that right?	11	been caused by a blow, but might equally have been
12	A. No, that's correct.	12	caused by contact with the ground, or with somebody, for
13	Q. Thank you. Anything else to say about injury 3?	13	example a nail, something like that.
14	A. Er, no, other than, you know, it could be related to the	14	A. Yes.
15	injuries above or it could be a separate blow.	15	Q. Is that right?
16	Q. Thank you. Injury 4, this is over the page, top of	16	A. That's correct, yes.
17	page 8 for us:	17	Q. So it wasn't obviously caused by a blow.
18	"On the left aspect of the bridge of the nose and	18	A. Not obviously, no.
19	extending on to the left inner canthus"	19	Q. Thank you.
20	Can you explain what the canthus is?	20	Injury 6:
21	A. It is just the inner part of the eye, so where the two	21	"A gaping full thickness central lower lip
22	eye lids meet there is a little nobble on the inside of	22	laceration measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre, with
23	the eye and that's the canthus.	23	associated bruising. This appeared to be associated
24	Q. Thank you.	24	with disruption along the edge of the upper gum, right
25	" and left upper eyelid was an irregular	25	side, with partial fragmentation of the alveolar bone."
			orac, man partial raginoriamien et ale arreem eener
	Page 9		Page 11
1	laceration measuring 4 centimetres by 3 centimetres	1 1	Can you describe what the alveolar bone is, please?
1 2	laceration measuring 4 centimetres by 3 centimetres.  Within this injury severe fracturing to the pasal bone	1 2	Can you describe what the alveolar bone is, please?  A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth
2	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone	2	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth
2 3	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal	2 3	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of
2 3 4	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial	2 3 4	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.
2 3 4 5	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main	2 3 4 5	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side,
2 3 4	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre.</li> <li>At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre.</li> <li>At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"</li> <li>Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?</li> <li>A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre.  At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?</li> <li>A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.</li> <li>Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?</li> <li>A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?  A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?  A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or through it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?  A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all were likely to be associated with one another.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or through it?  A. Yes, so I could see in the depths of this injury I could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?</li> <li>A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.</li> <li>Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?</li> <li>A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all were likely to be associated with one another.</li> <li>Q. Was this injury, or these injuries, within the overall</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or through it?  A. Yes, so I could see in the depths of this injury I could see the inside of the face. Some of the cavities, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?</li> <li>A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.</li> <li>Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?</li> <li>A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all were likely to be associated with one another.</li> <li>Q. Was this injury, or these injuries, within the overall description of injury 6 related in your view to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or through it?  A. Yes, so I could see in the depths of this injury I could see the inside of the face. Some of the cavities, the ethmoidal spaces. The ethmoid is the bone that forms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?  A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all were likely to be associated with one another.  Q. Was this injury, or these injuries, within the overall description of injury 6 related in your view to the denture which had been broken, and which was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or through it?  A. Yes, so I could see in the depths of this injury I could see the inside of the face. Some of the cavities, the ethmoidal spaces. The ethmoid is the bone that forms part of the central face, so I could see damage to,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?  A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all were likely to be associated with one another.  Q. Was this injury, or these injuries, within the overall description of injury 6 related in your view to the denture which had been broken, and which was photographed at the scene?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or through it?  A. Yes, so I could see in the depths of this injury I could see the inside of the face. Some of the cavities, the ethmoidal spaces. The ethmoid is the bone that forms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.  Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?  A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.  Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?  A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all were likely to be associated with one another.  Q. Was this injury, or these injuries, within the overall description of injury 6 related in your view to the denture which had been broken, and which was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or through it?  A. Yes, so I could see in the depths of this injury I could see the inside of the face. Some of the cavities, the ethmoidal spaces. The ethmoid is the bone that forms part of the central face, so I could see damage to, that. So again, extensive fracturing associated with this external injury.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?</li> <li>A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.</li> <li>Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?</li> <li>A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all were likely to be associated with one another.</li> <li>Q. Was this injury, or these injuries, within the overall description of injury 6 related in your view to the denture which had been broken, and which was photographed at the scene?</li> <li>A. Yes, and of course there was part of a denture found, a fragmented piece of denture found at the back of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Within this injury severe fracturing to the nasal bone was evident exposing fractured bones within the nasal cavity, the ethmoid. Several smaller superficial lacerations were present in association with the main injury on the right side of the bridge of the nose and just below the inner aspect of the lower eyelid."  Is this essentially on the other side of the face?  A. So, yes, it's just centred, just on the other side of the face on the left side of the nose. You have a few skin splits, almost kind of radiating from the main part of the injury, yes.  Q. When you gave evidence in the Crown Court, I am not going to ask for it to be turned up, you said this:  "I could also see quite deeply into the face because of the extent of the injury and its location."  Does that mean that this was such a significant injury that you could actually see underneath, or through it?  A. Yes, so I could see in the depths of this injury I could see the inside of the face. Some of the cavities, the ethmoidal spaces. The ethmoid is the bone that forms part of the central face, so I could see damage to, that. So again, extensive fracturing associated with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's just the bone that supports the teeth normally, and that was fractured. So it forms part of the gum.</li> <li>Q. "The tip of the tongue was bruised on the right side, over an area measuring 2 centimetres by 1 centimetre. At the back of the tongue was a laceration measuring 1 centimetre in diameter, and in the posterior aspect of the pharynx, the back of the mouth, to the right of the mid line, there was a stellate laceration"  Can you explain what a stellate laceration is?</li> <li>A. Just star-like in shape, it's just a description, yes.</li> <li>Q. " measuring approximately 1 centimetre in diameter."  Did all of these injuries appear to be related to one another, ie within injury 6?</li> <li>A. Yes, so these are all because of the co-localisation all appear to be caused by the same action. Technically speaking it could be more than One Action. But yes, all were likely to be associated with one another.</li> <li>Q. Was this injury, or these injuries, within the overall description of injury 6 related in your view to the denture which had been broken, and which was photographed at the scene?</li> <li>A. Yes, and of course there was part of a denture found,</li> </ul>

		1	
1	mouth as well. So it all fitted with that being	1	the back of the oral pharynx. In my opinion this would
2	disrupted.	2	only result from a significant blow, more than likely
3	Q. Could you see the fractured part of the denture on	3	a kick to the face, or a violent blow from a blunt
4	external observation, or was that only when you	4	instrument. Both of these would potentially cause the
5	commenced dissection?	5	full thickness laceration to the lower lip and also the
6	A. Yes, I think it is either I can't remember precisely	6	lacerations noted on the tongue. If the patient was
7	when, it is either when I opened the mouth during the	7	still conscious, several kicks to the face would result
8	post mortem examination or later on during dissection.	8	in the denture fracturing within the mouth and then it
9	But there was a fragment at the back of the mouth of	9	is more likely the patient would spit out some of the
10	denture.	10	fractured pieces and the blood as noted on some of the
11	Q. Was this a usual, or unusual, injury to observe?	11	photographs provided from the scene. It is highly
12	A. Yes, it's not commonly seen at post mortem examination.	12	unlikely in my opinion that this denture fractured
13	I may have seen maybe one other examination of this type	13	purely because of the deceased falling to the ground
14	of injury before, but again, unusual.	14	following an assault."
15	Q. In how many years of conducting?	15	Did you take that account into account when reaching
16	A. 20-odd years, yes. I was consultant in 2003, so I think	16	your conclusions at the time?
17	I might have seen one in that time, but again I am not	17	A. I actually think that came after my own conclusions, but
18	sure.	18	I would agree with all of that.
19	Q. And was that in particular because it had led to	19	Q. I think you reached a provisional view that was pretty
20	the fracture of the denture?	20	much to the same effect; that it was a blunt force
21	A. Yes, that's correct, so I mean they are quite robust,	21	trauma that had caused this injury; is that right?
22	dentures, when I have handled them, so clearly there had	22	A. That's correct, yes.
23	been some force around this mouth.	23	Q. Did you reach a view, either before or after Professor
24	Q. I think because of its unusual occurrence you sought the	24	Craig Barclay produced his report, as to in relation to
25	opinion of a forensic odontologist; is that right?	25	this injury whether a weapon was used?
	Page 13		Page 15
1	A Ves that's correct with particular regard to the	1	A. Ves. with regards to that injury, yes. I thought
1 2	A. Yes, that's correct, with particular regard to the	1 2	A. Yes, with regards to that injury, yes, I thought
2	denture.	2	a weapon could have been used in that case.
2 3	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig	2 3	a weapon could have been used in that case. Q. A weapon?
2 3 4	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?	2 3 4	<ul><li>a weapon could have been used in that case.</li><li>Q. A weapon?</li><li>A. A weapon.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>a weapon could have been used in that case.</li><li>Q. A weapon?</li><li>A. A weapon.</li><li>THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>a weapon could have been used in that case.</li> <li>Q. A weapon?</li> <li>A. A weapon.</li> <li>THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?</li> <li>A. Could have been used, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the	2 3 4 5 6 7	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>a weapon could have been used in that case.</li> <li>Q. A weapon?</li> <li>A. A weapon.</li> <li>THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?</li> <li>A. Could have been used, yes.</li> <li>THE CHAIR: Could have been used?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?</li> <li>A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?  A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take an incredible force to do that. For it to be broken in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?  A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the totality, by a blunt implement; a weapon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take an incredible force to do that. For it to be broken in situ, ie within the patient's mouth, it would take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?  A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the totality, by a blunt implement; a weapon.  Q. So "have been", ie was or were caused by a weapon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take an incredible force to do that. For it to be broken in situ, ie within the patient's mouth, it would take a very significant blow. If this occurred, the denture	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?  A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the totality, by a blunt implement; a weapon.  Q. So "have been", ie was or were caused by a weapon?  A. That's correct, so for example the depressed skull
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take an incredible force to do that. For it to be broken in situ, ie within the patient's mouth, it would take a very significant blow. If this occurred, the denture would move within the oral cavity, causing the sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>a weapon could have been used in that case.</li> <li>Q. A weapon?</li> <li>A. A weapon.</li> <li>THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?</li> <li>A. Could have been used, yes.</li> <li>THE CHAIR: Could have been used?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?</li> <li>A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.</li> <li>Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries. We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon? A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the totality, by a blunt implement; a weapon. Q. So "have been", ie was or were caused by a weapon? A. That's correct, so for example the depressed skull fracture we see on injury 1, the only way that can be</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take an incredible force to do that. For it to be broken in situ, ie within the patient's mouth, it would take a very significant blow. If this occurred, the denture would move within the oral cavity, causing the sort of injuries shown on the maxillary ridge and the soft tissue damage of this area noted. It would also explain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?  A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the totality, by a blunt implement; a weapon.  Q. So "have been", ie was or were caused by a weapon?  A. That's correct, so for example the depressed skull fracture we see on injury 1, the only way that can be caused, really, is with a blunt, heavy weapon. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take an incredible force to do that. For it to be broken in situ, ie within the patient's mouth, it would take a very significant blow. If this occurred, the denture would move within the oral cavity, causing the sort of injuries shown on the maxillary ridge and the soft	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?  A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the totality, by a blunt implement; a weapon.  Q. So "have been", ie was or were caused by a weapon?  A. That's correct, so for example the depressed skull fracture we see on injury 1, the only way that can be caused, really, is with a blunt, heavy weapon. And the extensive fracturing we see here, again, particularly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take an incredible force to do that. For it to be broken in situ, ie within the patient's mouth, it would take a very significant blow. If this occurred, the denture would move within the oral cavity, causing the sort of injuries shown on the maxillary ridge and the soft tissue damage of this area noted. It would also explain how some of the denture pieces were found inside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?  A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the totality, by a blunt implement; a weapon.  Q. So "have been", ie was or were caused by a weapon?  A. That's correct, so for example the depressed skull fracture we see on injury 1, the only way that can be caused, really, is with a blunt, heavy weapon. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	denture.  Q. And did you obtain an opinion from Professor Craig Barclay?  A. Yes, I have read that opinion, yes.  Q. I am just going to read that in rather than have you read it out. It is a five-page report, although the substance of what Professor Barclay says is contained on one page, and he, Professor Barclay, says:  "I have reviewed all of the provided evidence and forensic reports. A complete upper menthol methacrylate, ie acrylic denture, is a strong but brittle dental prosthesis. For such a prosthesis to fracture it would either have to be dropped on to a hard surface or hit with significant force. However, if you try to break an upper denture by hand it is very difficult to achieve this and indeed it would take an incredible force to do that. For it to be broken in situ, ie within the patient's mouth, it would take a very significant blow. If this occurred, the denture would move within the oral cavity, causing the sort of injuries shown on the maxillary ridge and the soft tissue damage of this area noted. It would also explain how some of the denture pieces were found inside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a weapon could have been used in that case.  Q. A weapon?  A. A weapon.  THE CHAIR: Could or would have been used, sorry?  A. Could have been used, yes.  THE CHAIR: Could have been used?  A. Yes.  MR BEER: Did you form any view as to the nature of the weapon?  A. Taking that injury in isolation, all I can say is it is a blunt weapon. Of course, we have the other injuries to consider as well.  Q. So let's broaden it out. So far we have six injuries.  We are yet to turn to the seventh. Was it your view that other than injury 5, each could have been caused with a weapon?  A. Yes, I think these have been caused, taking the totality, by a blunt implement; a weapon.  Q. So "have been", ie was or were caused by a weapon?  A. That's correct, so for example the depressed skull fracture we see on injury 1, the only way that can be caused, really, is with a blunt, heavy weapon. And the extensive fracturing we see here, again, particularly

1	weapon.	1	5 centimetres below the vertex"
2	Q. Leaving injury 7 out for the moment, and just totalling	2	Just explain the vertex, please?
3	up as we have reached injury 1 to 6, what in your view	3	A. That's just the very top of the head, so that's just
4	was the minimum number of blows with the weapon that had	4	a measure from the top of the head.
5	been administered?	5	Q. "There was no underlying associated skull bone fracture,
6	A. So we have at least site of impact around injury 1.	6	instead just bruising."
7	We've got another site of impact at injury 4. Then we	7	Was this another separate blow?
8	have another site of injury, number 6. So that's three,	8	A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
9	at least, likely more. And of course we have injury 7	9	Q. And so can we say on your evidence that there were at
10	as well.	10	least four blows?
11	Q. So the graze to the nose has alternative explanations,	11	A. That's correct, yes.
12	possible alternative explanations, and what about	12	THE CHAIR: Could have been more, but a minimum of four?
13	injury 3, is it possible that that was a separate blow	13	A. Minimum of four. We've got four distinct areas
14	too?	14	THE CHAIR: Yes, but could be more.
15	A. So yes, that could have been a separate blow, that could	15	A but could be more than in those locations; correct.
16	have been a different mechanism. We have a bruise to	16	MR BEER: Save for bruising which you believed was
17	the eyelid. So that could be a blow such as a for	17	associated with the self-administration of insulin, and
18	example, a punch, but it also could be a blunt	18	an injury to the back of the right shoulder, which
19	implement. It could also be related to the impacts that	19	I will turn to, were there any other injuries to any
20	caused the above injury, injury number 1. So all those	20	other part of the body?
21	are possibilities.	21	A. No, that's the external, those are the external
22	Q. Can you give us any more specificity on what you	22	injuries, yes.
23	observed as to the nature of the weapon?	23	Q. So none to the arms, hands, feet, legs, torso, front or
24	A. Um, all I can really say about it, it is a blunt, heavy	24	back?
25	implement. If we look at injury number 7, there may	25	A. That's correct.
	Page 17		Page 19
1	well be a linear element to the weapon. If we look at	1	Q. No defensive injuries whatsoever?
2			
2	the nature of injury number 1, with the rather rounded,	2	A. Er, none were seen, that's correct.
3	the nature of injury number 1, with the rather rounded, depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element	3	A. Er, none were seen, that's correct.  Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you
		1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
3	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element	3	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you
3 4	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that	3 4	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?
3 4 5	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of	3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li><li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be</li></ul>
3 4 5 6	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.	3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court,	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.</li> <li>Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.</li> <li>Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.</li> <li>Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table". Can you explain what you mean by that, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?</li> <li>A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.</li> <li>Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table". Can you explain what you mean by that, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."  And by that you mean straight-lined; is that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?  A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is normally easy to reflect, it makes it easier for me to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."  And by that you mean straight-lined; is that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?  A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is normally easy to reflect, it makes it easier for me to assess the underlying skull. In some individuals it is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."  And by that you mean straight-lined; is that  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. "Just posterior to the main injury was a further	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?  A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is normally easy to reflect, it makes it easier for me to assess the underlying skull. In some individuals it is stuck down and it is very difficult to remove, so it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."  And by that you mean straight-lined; is that  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. "Just posterior to the main injury was a further laceration measuring 0.8 centimetres by 0.6 centimetres	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?  A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is normally easy to reflect, it makes it easier for me to assess the underlying skull. In some individuals it is stuck down and it is very difficult to remove, so it makes it a little bit harder to examine the skull. That
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."  And by that you mean straight-lined; is that  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. "Just posterior to the main injury was a further laceration measuring 0.8 centimetres by 0.6 centimetres and just inferior there was a laceration measuring 0.8	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?  A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is normally easy to reflect, it makes it easier for me to assess the underlying skull. In some individuals it is stuck down and it is very difficult to remove, so it makes it a little bit harder to examine the skull. That was just a comment upon that in this case.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."  And by that you mean straight-lined; is that  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. "Just posterior to the main injury was a further laceration measuring 0.8 centimetres by 0.6 centimetres and just inferior there was a laceration measuring 0.8 by 0.5 centimetres. The entire injury was surrounded by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?  A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is normally easy to reflect, it makes it easier for me to assess the underlying skull. In some individuals it is stuck down and it is very difficult to remove, so it makes it a little bit harder to examine the skull. That was just a comment upon that in this case.  Q. That's not related to the injuries; is that right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."  And by that you mean straight-lined; is that  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. "Just posterior to the main injury was a further laceration measuring 0.8 centimetres by 0.6 centimetres and just inferior there was a laceration measuring 0.8	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?  A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is normally easy to reflect, it makes it easier for me to assess the underlying skull. In some individuals it is stuck down and it is very difficult to remove, so it makes it a little bit harder to examine the skull. That was just a comment upon that in this case.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	depressed skull fracture, there may be a rounded element to the implement. That's all I can say about that implement. It may be more than one implement, of course, that's another possibility.  Q. When you were describing injury 1 to the Crown Court, you mentioned in connection with the rounded element to it, the possibility of the use of a hammer; is that right?  A. Yes, certainly it is a possibility in this case, because you have the rounded face of the hammer, and also hammers have other edges as well that can cause different shapes.  Q. Turning to injury 7, you tell us that:  "In between the left ear and the left eye was an irregular defect measuring overall 1 by 1 centimetre, with an inferior edge which was rather linear."  And by that you mean straight-lined; is that  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. "Just posterior to the main injury was a further laceration measuring 0.8 centimetres by 0.6 centimetres and just inferior there was a laceration measuring 0.8 by 0.5 centimetres. The entire injury was surrounded by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And I suspect everyone in the room knows, but can you explain what you mean by a defensive injury?  A. So yes, for example if someone's being assaulted, be that with a sharp, or like a blunt weapon, they may raise their upper limbs to try to fend off an incoming blow, and that may cause injury to locations such as the rear of the forearm or the back of the hands. You can get them in other areas as well. Sometimes people who are on their backs may raise their lower limbs to defend themselves from an incoming blow and may for example get injuries to the shins. But none were seen in this case.  Q. In relation to internal examination, you mention that the "meninges were densely adherent to the inner table".  Can you explain what you mean by that, please?  A. Yes, we find that in some individuals. The meninges is a layer that lines the inside of the skull and it is normally easy to reflect, it makes it easier for me to assess the underlying skull. In some individuals it is stuck down and it is very difficult to remove, so it makes it a little bit harder to examine the skull. That was just a comment upon that in this case.  Q. That's not related to the injuries; is that right?

1 Q. "There was stripping of the meninges away from the inner 1 order to do that, yes. 2 table about the depressed skull fracture to the right 2 Q. You say "the mechanisms of death include 3 frontal bone." 3 cardiorespiratory arrest caused by the concussive 4 Can you explain what that was? 4 effects of the head injury and/or upper airway 5 A. So yes, so as the implement caused that rounded area, 5 obstruction." 6 and basically pushed that piece of bone into the skull, 6 I am not going to explore further, but is that the 7 7 the meninges, which lie underneath, went along with that most likely mechanism, or route, to death? 8 depressed skull fracture and were sort of pulled away 8 A. Yes, several mechanisms. So blunt force head injury from the rest of the skull. So that's stripping away of q 9 like this can cause you to stop breathing and you go 10 10 the inner lining of the skull by force. into cardiac arrest. Just the force is transmitted 11 11 Q. You mentioned there was a small amount, "very small through the important parts of the brain that keep the 12 amount of subdural haemorrhage over the right cerebral 12 cardiorespiratory centres alive and working. So it can 13 hemisphere", and then you say "on the convexivity of the 13 cause sudden death in that manner. And those other 14 14 right cerebral hemisphere was an area of haemorrhaging mechanisms as well as which I talk about in regard to 15 measuring approximately 2 centimetres in diameter, and 15 airway obstruction. 16 haemorrhage to the right frontal pole measuring 2 by 16 Q. In your next paragraph you say there were a number of 17 1 centimetres, a coup contusion." 17 lacerations to Mr Uddin's head. In this case none of 18 A. Yes, that's correct. 18 the head injuries had any characteristics to suggest 19 Q. Is that connected with injury 1? 19 that they had been caused by a fall; is that right? 20 A. Yes, that's correct, so that's a skull bone being driven 20 A. That's correct. So these weren't fall injuries. 21 21 into the cranial cavity and actually impacting the brain Q. You say in the middle of your next paragraph: 22 at that site to cause a localised bruise. So that's 22 "Rounded depressed skull fractures are typical of 23 a coup contusion. 23 injuries caused by a hammer, although other heavy 24 Q. Toxicology showed no alcohol, drugs of misuse or use; is 24 implements with rounded profiles cannot be excluded as 25 25 that right? the cause of this injury." Page 21 Page 23 1 1 A. That's correct, yes. And you are in particular, I think, referring there 2 2 Q. Turning to your comments, please. You say that your to injuries 1 and 2; is that right? 3 3 examination revealed that Mr Uddin's death was caused by A. Yes, that's correct. 4 blunt force head injuries; the injuries very severe, 4 Q. "The rounded depressed skull fracture had been driven 5 5 into the cranial cavity and had caused direct injury to Mr Uddin died quickly as a result. 6 So firstly, "the head injuries were very severe". 6 7 7 Can you translate what you mean by that? So again is that fairly strongly suggestive of 8 8 severe, or very severe, use of force? A. Yes, certainly. So here we have the hard bone of the 9 facial skeleton has been severely damaged, and that's 9 A. Yes, it is severe force that you can't -- very, very 10 10 very difficult to do that, and it requires severe force. heavy blow. 11 And we have a depressed skull fracture in the right 11 Q. To actually drive the skull into the brain so as to 12 frontal region, which is a thick piece of bone, and we 12 cause the brain a direct injury itself. 13 13 A. Yes, that's correct. have essentially collapsed the central face with regards 14 to the bony structure, exposing all the internal facial 14 Q. I am not going to rehearse what you say about injuries 15 15 3, 4 and 5. Just going back to injury 6, did you form skeleton. So again it is a really severe facial a view as to whether something had actually been driven 16 16 skeleton injuries, in particular extending on to the 17 17 part of the skull called the calvarium, which will 18 18 involve transmission of force, likely directly through A. Yes, so it is one mechanism here that is a blunt 19 19 the brain tissue. implement that has been in the mouth causing the damage, 20 20 that's a possibility here. Q. You say "the skull and facial bones were severely 2.1 fractured, indicating the use of severe force". And in 21 Q. Are you able to help us as to the probabilities as to 22 the spectrum of words that I think pathologists use, 22 whether it was a blow to the external surfaces of the 23 "severe" comes at top; is that right? 23 mouth, as opposed to an implement that actually entered 24 A. That's correct. Bones are a very hard substance, very 24 the oral cavity? 25 difficult to fracture and it requires severe force in 25 A. Yes, I don't think I could really -- readily Page 22 Page 24

1	1:00	١,	
1	differentiate between those two.	1	contains the various witness statements and reports that
2	Q. The possibilities are either of them, but you can't say	2	you provided, and perhaps it is right to acknowledge at
3	which is more probable than the other.  A. That's correct.	3 4	the outset that those statements and reports that you
4 5	Q. There was no natural disease process that contributed to	5	have authored and we are now using for the Inquiry were all prepared for the purposes of the prosecutions of
6	Mr Uddin's death.	6	Mr Syeedy and Mr Syadul Hussain?
7	A. That's correct.	7	A. Correct.
8	Q. You gave the medical cause of death as "1A, blunt force	8	Q. And so perhaps can we just identify the reports and
9		9	statements together, before working through your
	head injuries".  A. Yes, that's correct.	10	
10 11		11	evidence, please. Behind tab 2, we should find the first statement of 28 April, 2016.
12	Q. And you stand by that?  A. I do, yes.	12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Thank you very much. Is there anything else that	13	Q. Which essentially then appended to it your first report
13	I haven't asked you about that you think is relevant to	14	in time of 20 May 2016, which is a report that runs,
15	the cause of Mr Uddin's death?	15	I think, to some sorry, in fact 22 April 2016,
16	A. No, those are the main features.	16	a report that ran to some 17 pages.
17	*	17	A. Correct.
18	MR BEER: Thank you very much.  THE CHAIR: Are there any questions from other core	18	
19	• •	19	Q. And I think you gave some clarification in a supplementary statement of 20 May, 2016, just
20	participants? No.  Thank you, Dr Lumb, thank you for helping us with	20	
21		20 21	correcting an exhibit reference.  A. Yes.
22	the Inquiry.  A. You are welcome.	22	
23		23	Q. Can we then, please, next move behind tab 3, which is
24	MR BEER: Thank you. May Dr Lumb be released? THE CHAIR: Yes, of course.	24	where we find your third witness statement of
25		25	1 March 2017 which essentially appended a further 13-page report of 23 August 2016.
23	A. Thank you very much.	23	13-page report of 25 August 2016.
	Page 25		Page 27
1	(The witness withdrew)	1	A. Correct, yes.
1 2	(The witness withdrew)  MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in	1 2	•
			Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate
2	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in	2	•
2 3	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.	2 3	Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.
2 3 4	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li><li>A. Correct.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave. PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.  We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave. PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying microphone, but it is a fairly small courtroom, and I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement provided of 19 March 2017, to which an updating</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying microphone, but it is a fairly small courtroom, and I am sure we will hear. We will let you know if we can't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement provided of 19 March 2017, to which an updating statement, sorry, report, of nine pages, dated</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying microphone, but it is a fairly small courtroom, and I am sure we will hear. We will let you know if we can't.  Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.  We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement provided of 19 March 2017, to which an updating statement, sorry, report, of nine pages, dated 3 March 2017, was provided to the court.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying microphone, but it is a fairly small courtroom, and I am sure we will hear. We will let you know if we can't.  Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, my name is Sophie	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.  We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement provided of 19 March 2017, to which an updating statement, sorry, report, of nine pages, dated 3 March 2017, was provided to the court.</li> <li>A. Correct, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying microphone, but it is a fairly small courtroom, and I am sure we will hear. We will let you know if we can't.  Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, my name is Sophie Cartwright and I ask questions on behalf of the Inquiry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.  We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement provided of 19 March 2017, to which an updating statement, sorry, report, of nine pages, dated 3 March 2017, was provided to the court.</li> <li>A. Correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again in respect of those statements and reports for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying microphone, but it is a fairly small courtroom, and I am sure we will hear. We will let you know if we can't.  Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, my name is Sophie Cartwright and I ask questions on behalf of the Inquiry.  Could I start, please, by asking you to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.  We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement provided of 19 March 2017, to which an updating statement, sorry, report, of nine pages, dated 3 March 2017, was provided to the court.</li> <li>A. Correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again in respect of those statements and reports for Mohammed Syadul Hussain, are the contents of each true</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying microphone, but it is a fairly small courtroom, and I am sure we will hear. We will let you know if we can't.  Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, my name is Sophie Cartwright and I ask questions on behalf of the Inquiry.  Could I start, please, by asking you to give the Inquiry your full name?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.  We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement provided of 19 March 2017, to which an updating statement, sorry, report, of nine pages, dated 3 March 2017, was provided to the court.</li> <li>A. Correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again in respect of those statements and reports for Mohammed Syadul Hussain, are the contents of each true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR BEER: It is Professor Gleave and I think we are in a position to move straight to Professor Gleave.  THE CHAIR: Straight to Professor Gleave, certainly.  MR BEER: And Ms Cartwright is examining Professor Gleave.  PROFESSOR ROBERT GLEAVE (sworn)  THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave. It is entirely up to you whether you sit down or stand, whatever makes you more comfortable.  A. I will start sitting.  THE CHAIR: Yes, certainly.  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, I will catch up with you in a moment, I am just getting  A. As long as the microphone can pick up my voice I will sit.  THE CHAIR: Yes, I don't think we have an amplifying microphone, but it is a fairly small courtroom, and I am sure we will hear. We will let you know if we can't.  Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT  MS CARTWRIGHT: Professor Gleave, my name is Sophie Cartwright and I ask questions on behalf of the Inquiry.  Could I start, please, by asking you to give the Inquiry your full name?  A. Robert Martin Gleave.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And I think all of those statements and reports relate to Mohammed Hussain Syeedy.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And can I ask you to confirm that the contents of those statements and reports are true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.  We are now, please, moving behind tab 4, which is the reports and statements you provided for the trial relating to Mohammed Syadul Hussain. So your fourth witness statement of 6 January 2017 appended a 12-page report of 3 January 2017.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then behind tab 5 there was a further statement provided of 19 March 2017, to which an updating statement, sorry, report, of nine pages, dated 3 March 2017, was provided to the court.</li> <li>A. Correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again in respect of those statements and reports for Mohammed Syadul Hussain, are the contents of each true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> </ul>

1 1 to deal with your relevant qualifications and experience reports that you have a particular focus and specialism, 2 and expertise. First of all, are you currently the 2 namely the focus on the justifications for violence and 3 Professor of Arabic Studies at the University of Exeter? 3 political thought within the Muslim legal tradition. 4 4 A. Correct, yes. 5 Q. Did you study for a BA degree in philosophy and politics 5 Q. And I think you also teach and research the history of 6 between 1986 and 1989? 6 Islamic thought. 7 A. At the --7 A. That's correct, yes. 8 (audio interference) 8 Q. And can I ask you, does your research work also include 9 THE CHAIR: Can I just -- I think that interruption may have 9 understanding Muslim thinkers and movements within 10 10 been because somebody had neglected to leave their -contemporary Islam? 11 THE COURT CLERK: No, they have all been muted. 11 A. That's correct, yes. 12 THE CHAIR: All have been muted, are they? 12 Q. And is it also correct that as part of your research you 13 THE COURT CLERK: You can mention it. 13 have led a number of research projects linked with 14 14 THE CHAIR: It doesn't appear, as I had thought, that contemporary Islamic thought and the justification for 15 15 somebody has un-muted their microphone, but can you all violence in Islamic jurisprudence? 16 make sure, please, you are muted and your cameras are 16 A. Correct. 17 switched off. They do appear to be at the moment. 17 Q. And I think you tell us within the various reports 18 Yes, sorry, Ms Cartwright. 18 various of the studies and reports you have been 19 MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. Professor, I just st asked you 19 provided that have been funded by government departments 20 did you study for a BA degree in philosophy and politics 20 including, I think, the Cabinet Office, the Foreign and 21 between 1986 and 1989? 21 Commonwealth Office, and the Home Office. 22 A. Yes, at the University of York. 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Thank you. Then between 1990 and 1991 did you study for 23 Q. As well as also acting for and advising police forces 24 master's degree in Middle Eastern studies at the 24 and the Crown Prosecution Service. 25 25 Department of Middle Eastern Studies at the University A. Correct. Page 29 Page 31 of Manchester? 1 1 Q. And as we have already identified you gave expert 2 2 testimony at the trial of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain. A. I did, yes. 3 3 Q. And in fact I think you were awarded a distinction for A. Correct. 4 that study. 4 Q. And perhaps just to clarify, I think you gave two days' 5 5 worth of evidence at the trial of Mohammed Syeedy. A. I was. 6 Q. Thank you. Between 1992 and 1995 did you study for and 6 7 7 achieve a doctorate in Islamic studies in the Department Q. And I think subsequently, that trial being in 2016, your 8 of Middle Eastern Studies at the University of 8 evidence taking place over 24 to 25 August 2016? g 9 Manchester? A. Yes, I believe so. 10 10 Q. The Inquiry in fact has the transcripts of the evidence A. I did, yes. 11 you provided, but you also, I think, then gave evidence 11 Q. And since then have you been an academic in Islamic 12 studies? 12 at the trial of Mohammed Syadul Hussain. We don't in 13 13 fact have the transcript, but I think you have confirmed A. I have, ves. 14 Q. Between 1995 and 2003 did you lecture in Islamic studies 14 today that you did in fact give oral evidence --15 15 at the University of Bristol? A. I did, yes. 16 Q. -- in respect of that trial. Thank you. 16 A. Yes, I was. 17 Q. And were you then promoted to the position of reader in 17 There are two aspects to the evidence for 18 Islamic studies in 2003? 18 the Inquiry today, please, Professor Gleave. The first 19 19 half is to assist the Inquiry in terms of terminology, A. Correct. 20 issues, language, and concepts; and then the second half 20 Q. A role which I think you held until 2005? 21 of the evidence will be to take you to a small number of 21 A. Correct. 22 22 factual matters that are relevant to the Inquiry, Q. And then I think since 2005 to present day, you are the 23 seeking any further clarification and assistance, 23 Professor of Arabic Studies at the University of Exeter. 24 having, I hope, established the various concepts and 24 A. That is correct, yes. 25 Q. Thank you. I think you also identify within the various 25 terminology and the like. Page 30 Page 32

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

		1	
1	Now, can I ask you first of all for your help on the	1	So that form of magic, there is a question about
2	topic of magic in Islam. Is there a typical term that	2	whether it exists, whether it actually has the effects
3	is used for magic in Islam?	3	that it appears to have. Muslim thinkers have debated
4	A. Yes, the most common term is an Arabic word, sihr,	4	the reality, if you like, of the magic across the
5	S-I-H-R, as it is transliterated into Latin script. And	5	centuries. And then they have debated over whether or
6	it is used to cover all sorts of magic, and it is	6	it is no at no time permitted for anyone to engage,
7	mentioned in the Quran, and in many different statements	7	presuming you believe it is actual, it actually has the
8	of the Prophet Muhammad, as the sort of description for	8	effects, then there are debates about whether or not it
9	sort of magical practices generally.	9	is permitted to engage in magical practices, as to
10	Q. Thank you. And in terms of magic, and you already	10	whether or not to encourage these supernatural forces to
11	indicate it is specifically referenced in the Quran, is	11	have their effect. Then there is a debate over which
12	there a distinction in the Quran in respect of black	12	forms of practices you should engage in, and which you
13	magic and white magic?	13	should not; and the punishments, or the legal
14	A. Not in the Quran itself. In the Quran itself, magic is	14	consequences in terms of the Islamic law of those who do
15	just referred to with this word "sihr". Sometimes it is	15	involve in prohibited practices.
16	described negatively, sometimes it is described	16	So you have a whole series of questions. It is not
17	positively. But subsequent to the Quran, so the Quran	17	just a single question, it is a whole series of
18	was revealed in the 7th century, according to Muslim	18	questions which Muslim thinkers have debated over the
19	belief, to the Prophet Muhammad, subsequent to that,	19	centuries around what we would call in modern western
20	Muslim thinkers have divided magic into what they	20	language, modern English language usage, "magic".
21	sometimes call black magic and white magic. And	21	Q. Thank you. Can we then perhaps break down some of those
22	sometimes the word "sihr" is only used for black magic,	22	questions you yourself raised. So first of all is it
23	and sometimes it is used generally. The use of the	23	religiously permitted to perform magic?
24	terminology varies at different points in time and	24	A. Well, it is not possible to say I tell this to my
25	between different thinkers. But generally speaking	25	students: it is not possible to say in Islam whether it
	Page 33		Page 35
	1 age 33		1 age 33
1	there is this division between magic which is used	1	is religiously permitted absolutely clearly and in terms
1 2	there is this division between magic which is used negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally	1 2	is religiously permitted absolutely clearly and in terms of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know,
	· ·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally	2	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know,
2 3	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and	2 3	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over
2 3 4	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used	2 3 4	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic
2 3 4 5	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white	2 3 4 5	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have
2 3 4 5 6	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.	2 3 4 5	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have
2 3 4 5 6 7	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these	2 3 4 5 6 7	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out there is a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do good and is therefore permitted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do good and is therefore permitted.  Q. Thank you. You mentioned, in giving that answer, those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out: is magic real? Does it actually have the effect — and by magic, just to be clear, for everybody,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do good and is therefore permitted.  Q. Thank you. You mentioned, in giving that answer, those who then are able, with the necessary skill, to practise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out: is magic real? Does it actually have the effect — and by magic, just to be clear, for everybody, we are not talking about Paul Daniels, okay, we are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do good and is therefore permitted.  Q. Thank you. You mentioned, in giving that answer, those who then are able, with the necessary skill, to practise magic, can you give us some idea in terms of those, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out—there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out is magic real? Does it actually have the effect — and by magic, just to be clear, for everybody, we are not talking about trickery, sleight of hand, we are talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do good and is therefore permitted.  Q. Thank you. You mentioned, in giving that answer, those who then are able, with the necessary skill, to practise magic, can you give us some idea in terms of those, we have some information in the Inquiry bundles by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out: is magic real? Does it actually have the effect — and by magic, just to be clear, for everybody, we are not talking about Paul Daniels, okay, we are not talking about trickery, sleight of hand, we are talking about the belief in the actual ability of supernatural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do good and is therefore permitted.  Q. Thank you. You mentioned, in giving that answer, those who then are able, with the necessary skill, to practise magic, can you give us some idea in terms of those, we have some information in the Inquiry bundles by reference to "the magician"; is that the common name for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out—there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out is magic real? Does it actually have the effect — and by magic, just to be clear, for everybody, we are not talking about trickery, sleight of hand, we are talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised — the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes — beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do good and is therefore permitted.  Q. Thank you. You mentioned, in giving that answer, those who then are able, with the necessary skill, to practise magic, can you give us some idea in terms of those, we have some information in the Inquiry bundles by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	negatively, to harm other people, and that's normally classed as black magic within the Muslim tradition; and then there is more positive uses of magic, which is used to help people, and that's normally referred to as white magic in the Muslim tradition.  So the Quran itself isn't explicit about these categories. These are things which have developed in Muslim thought since the Quran itself, and in Muslim practice as well, because you find these two forms of magic very commonly operating within Muslim communities across the world, and not specific to any particular geographical region.  Q. Can I ask, then, building on that answer, is magic a topic which is much discussed in Islamic scholarship?  A. Yes, it is extensively discussed and it is quite a controversial topic in one sense, in that Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out — there is a number of crucial questions which Muslim thinkers have tried to work out: is magic real? Does it actually have the effect — and by magic, just to be clear, for everybody, we are not talking about Paul Daniels, okay, we are not talking about trickery, sleight of hand, we are talking about the belief in the actual ability of supernatural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of unified belief. On many, on most things, you know, Muslims differ to an extraordinary degree over permission or prohibition of various practices. Magic is a practice which has, which some Muslim jurists have said should not be permitted. The majority have recognised the majority tradition, I would say, have recognised that magic is permissible, it is an art, or maybe even a science, which needs to be carefully controlled. It is not just something which anyone should do; it is something which only a specifically qualified person should do for beneficial purposes beneficial in terms of how the Islamic law views benefit.  So it is not categorically permitted by everybody within the Muslim tradition. There are those who have prohibited it. But most traditions within the Muslim world have accepted that magic exists and that it can do good and is therefore permitted.  Q. Thank you. You mentioned, in giving that answer, those who then are able, with the necessary skill, to practise magic, can you give us some idea in terms of those, we have some information in the Inquiry bundles by reference to "the magician"; is that the common name for

1 A. Well it is the common name in English. In Arabic, or in 1 A. Yes. 2 2 other Muslim world languages, there would be a number of Q. First of all, could you assist the Inquiry in what 3 3 different terms, all of which we would normally Salafism is, please? 4 translate as "magician", but it includes things like 4 A. So Salafism is a movement within Islam generally which 5 "spell maker", for example, someone who has the ability 5 has emerged as extremely powerful in the last three 6 to construct and cast spells. So --6 centuries, and it is a movement which you could say is 7 THE CHAIR: What about a Muslim choosing to express him or 7 a purification or reform movement. Salafism as 8 herself in English? 8 a movement views the Muslim community and what the 9 9 A. They would normally call it "magic". Muslim community is practising as in some way corrupted 10 10 THE CHAIR: Yes. and in need of purification. That is that in the years 11 11 A. I would think they would probably call themselves, what since the Prophet Muhammad, so since the 7th century, 12 12 the community has started to take on practices and they were doing, magic. 13 But without the -- sometimes you have the 13 started to believe in things and perform particular 14 worship practices or other practices which were not part 14 contemporary notion of it being trickery, and, you know, 15 15 what happens on television and those sorts of things, of the Prophet Muhammad's original mission. And these 16 accretions to Muslim belief need to be cut out, they 16 but they don't mean that when they mean it, when they 17 need to be completely expelled; we need to purify the 17 mean that this person performs magic they mean that they 18 18 community, to take it back to the early community, and believe there are supernatural forces that can be 19 19 how the early community lived, and what the -- what the harnessed in one way or another to have particular 20 20 Prophet and his companions practised within the early 21 period of Islam. 21 Quite often Muslims, when they are speaking about 22 So Salafis are, if you like, really preoccupied with 22 this, wouldn't use the English word "magic", they would 23 analysing the way in which Muslims behave, picking out 23 use the Arabic word and slot it into their conversation. 24 So they would say "That person does sihr", they wouldn't 24 things which are not -- in their view, are not in 25 25 say "That person does magic" necessarily, because they conformity with the Prophet's practice, and calling them Page 37 Page 39 1 1 believe that the Arabic word sums up the phenomenon much out in order to try to say to people: what you are 2 2 better than the English word "magic". practising is not properly grounded in Muslim --3 MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. Can you perhaps give us some 3 THE CHAIR: Inauthentic. 4 idea. You mentioned about those that practise magic. 4 A. It is inauthentic because it has come about through 5 5 A. Yes. community practice or culture, rather than religion. 6 Q. What's the process to becoming someone that can hold 6 And so they are a purification movement which aims to 7 themselves out as practising magic that's generally 7 strip out what they see as un-Islamic practices which recognised? Muslims are practising. 9 A. It is generally recognised that you need training. That Q MS CARTWRIGHT: And would then Salafism have a view on 10 is that you need to have been trained by someone who is 10 magic? 11 skilled already, and most of the time people who perform 11 A. Yes, most Salafis view magic, the use of incantations, 12 magical practices have a sort of pupillage under 12 amulets, is completely forbidden. It is something that 13 an expert magician, someone who has the capability to do 13 has come about through community practice, not through 14 14 that, and they pass on those skills to their pupils, and any sort of scriptural or Prophetic permission. It is 15 gradually those pupils take on, you might say tasks for 15 something which has been incorporated into the Muslim 16 16 themselves, writing spells for individuals, creating community and is dangerous, because it is leading people 17 17 amulets for individuals, with the -- and then would gain astray from the pure message of Islam, in the Salafi 18 18 community prestige, if you like, for their ability to be perspective. In that people believe in magic, people 19 19 able to solve family problems, or personal issues, believe people have the power to perform magic, and this 20 20 through these, what we might call magical practices. draws them away, if you like, from the true worship of 21 Q. Thank you. And we will come on to look at the practice 21 God that they believe is the core message of Islam, and 22 22 of amulets, taweez and incantations in a moment. But shouldn't be compromised in any way through the 23 before doing that, you mentioned about the thought 23 so-called magical practices. 24 process to those who practise magic and your reports 24 Q. Thank you. You have already mentioned the issue of 25 touch upon the Salafists. 25 punishment. Is there a general view within Salafism of Page 38 Page 40

1 1 what consequences there should be of a magician who might provide healing for that?" Or, "I have 2 2 practises magic or taweez that we are going to come on an argument with a family member which is causing 3 to deal with? 3 a family dispute, can you provide me with a -- and we 4 4 A. Yes, I mean they are normally viewed as liable for the believe this family dispute is coming about because of 5 death penalty. They are seen as someone who has denied, 5 the impact of evil spirits -- can you provide me with if you like, the one true divine power of God by 6 6 a spell, and therefore an amulet, which will enable me 7 believing that they have the ability to unleash other 7 to overcome these, to repel the spirits which are 8 supernatural forces, other than the one divine power of 8 causing the family dispute." 9 q So the person who practices ruqyah is a person to 10 So they are, the people who are magicians in the 10 whom members of the community come and they are 11 11 Salafi perspective are people who are associating, with a professional, a professional within the community who 12 individuals, a power which strictly speaking should be 12 produce amulets in exchange for donations, normally, in 13 entirely restricted to God. So they are guilty of 13 order to provide this community service, and 14 an act of what you might call unbelief known as "shirk" 14 consequently they are quite widely respected within the 15 S-H-I-R-K, associating something with shirk literally 15 community. And it is seen by people at white magic 16 16 means association, so associating something that is not because it actually is used for the benefit of the 17 God with a power that is truly God's. So you are 17 individual who is seeking it. It is not a spell against 18 compromising the nature of the one power of good. You 18 individuals, it is not -- it is normally not a spell 19 19 are saying other things have power other than God, and which aims to harm someone else, it is a spell which 20 that for the Salafis is, moves you outside of proper 20 aims to expel or repel evil spirits which are causing 21 21 Islamic belief. And consequently the individual is in a breakdown in community relations or some sort of 22 a sense guilty of that, and therefore liable for a death 22 problem in an individual's life. 23 penalty. And that's why they are so keen to try and get 23 Q. Thank you. Could you then assist the Inquiry's 24 rid of magic, what we might call magical practices, 24 understanding of taweez and what taweez is, please? 25 25 within the Muslim community, because they believe that A. Taweez is one of the names given for the object, the Page 41 Page 43 1 they are dangerous and the people that perform them are 1 amulet, which the person who is practising ruqyah may 2 essentially heretics. 2 produce. They would produce a taweez which they would 3 3 Q. Thank you. Can you then assist the Inquiry's then give to the individual. This may be a piece of 4 understanding of another phrase, ruqyah, please. 4 paper, or it may be a little pouch in which the piece of 5 5 A. Yes, so ruqyah is a popular practice in Muslim paper is located which the person can hold on their 6 communities across the world which involves spells and 6 body. Or it can be a sheet of paper which you might --7 7 the construction of spells, so the composition of them, this is a practice which does happen, sometimes you put 8 and also the ability to create amulets which are it behind a picture on the wall so it provides Q normally at some point involve the writing down of these Q protection for the area that the -- where the taweez is 10 10 spells on a piece of paper and the insertion of them present. 11 into objects which then are viewed by the Muslim 11 So the taweez is the actual object which has the --12 12 I suppose you could call it an amulet, a possible community as having the power to protect an individual 13 from a particular potentially evil external supernatural 13 transposition is like an amulet. The construction of 14 force. 14 the taweez is one way in which the person who 15 15 constructed it has gathered all of the information about So ruqyah is the practice of constructing the 16 16 spells, creating the amulets that include the spells, what's needed in terms of the spiritual powers which are 17 17 and the provision of them to individuals within the causing the disruption, and fed that into their 18 18 community who ask for them. So an individual may come construction of the taweez which they then give to the 19 19 to someone who practises ruqyah and say, you know, person who has come to ask them for the help. 20 20 Q. Thank you. "I can't get pregnant, I need some -- sort of 21 supernatural barrier is stopping me from getting 21 And I think, I am not going to go to the detail of 22 22 this, but I think part of the review work that you pregnant. Can you provide me with an amulet which will 23 enable me, or a spell which will enable me to get 23 undertook for Greater Manchester Police was I think to 24 pregnant?" Or, you know, "I am unwell with with some 24 review some books that were seized from Mr Uddin's home, 25 25 and I think you essentially, looking at those books, sort of disease, can you provide me with a spell which

Page 42

Page 44

1 identified that they revealed the process, I think, of 1 A. A jinn is like a supernatural spirit which is mentioned 2 2 creating a taweez. extensively in the Ouran. Undoubtedly, according to 3 3 A. Yes. Muslim belief, they are part of the make up of the 4 Q. In terms of verses of the Quran and formulaic type 4 world. And they can be, they can do, they can perform 5 material that looked like the construction of the 5 acts which are good acts and they can perform acts which are bad acts, and these are essentially the supernatural 6 incantation or the spell; is that correct? 6 7 A. Yes, I mean reading magical texts is not like reading 7 forces that you might harness through your magical 8 a normal text, because the actual information in them is 8 powers in order to perform some of the acts which you q not explicitly written down in the way that you might 9 might want to happen, whether they are for benefit or 10 find in a sort of academic textbook. They are forms of 10 for harm. 11 11 words which are known only -- the power of which are So they are viewed as an essential element of sort 12 known only to the person who's creating them. So when 12 of like the make up of the world, created by God, as 13 you read them it is actually quite difficult to get 13 this sort of like an in between stage, sort of being, 14 14 a sense of what is being referred to in a particular between human beings and angels. 15 15 paragraph. But what you can tell is that there is Q. Thank you. 16 a process of construction of a taweez, a sort of -- it 16 Can I ask you then, you have already touched upon 17 is almost like this was a notebook in which a number of 17 the respect that the person practising magic in the 18 things which are associated with taweez construction 18 community can have. Where magicians are regarded as 19 19 accepted in Islam, are those skilled in that practice were being jotted down and constructed. The actual 20 taweez would be a separate piece of paper which the 20 afforded respect? 21 21 A. Normally within the community. They quite often hold individual would then write the spell on, or the 22 powerful form of words, and then give to the person who 22 a number of different posts. In terms of Muslim 23 had asked them. But you have to have a notebook in 23 community practice, they quite often hold a number of 24 which you construct it because it is like a mathematical 24 posts. They may be a preacher. They may be an Imam in 25 25 formula that you have to sort of practise through and a Mosque. They may perform sort of like marriage Page 45 Page 47 1 then eventually you feel you have the right form of 1 counselling. They may perform match-making. But they 2 words and you create the actual product, if you like, 2 would also be, they might also have, as one of the 3 things that they do, the construction of amulets for for the person that's come to you. 3 4 These could include, sometimes it includes, you 4 specific purposes on request. And for that they 5 5 know, a set of words which just seem like gibberish in would -- and because of all of -- so in and of itself 6 terms of what they would be in Arabic or Persian, and 6 you would have some respect, but when the individual has 7 7 there was some Arabic and Persian within the textbooks, all of these skills, which is very common, they don't 8 but also some Bengali, which I didn't have access to just have one thing which they do, they have a number of Q because I am not a Bengali speaker. But the Arabic and Q different community functions, then the individual 10 10 becomes the sort of, a point of reference for the Persian was a sort of mixture of sentences which made 11 sense, and then some sentences which were more like 11 community. 12 12 O. Thank you. abracadabra-type series of words which, you know, 13 13 Now, you have already touched upon the view of I can't understand what they mean, but they did have 14 14 a meaning for the person that wrote them. Salafism, and also the punishment in respect of those that practise magic, or does that extend also to the 15 15 And then there are also squares of numbers which are 16 a bit like Sudoku, which are used extensively and the 16 practice then, expressly, of taweez? 17 17 numbers represent particular powers. Individual letters A. Yes, so Salafis have -- there is a lot of 18 18 of the Arabic alphabet are believed to have particular Salafi discussion of taweez. The Salafis are split as 19 19 power, and particular verses within the Quran are to whether or not the taweez are effective or not 20 20 believed to have particular abilities to ward off evil effective. All of the Salafis agree that they are 21 of one type or another, and all those were found in the 21 condemned, and that you shouldn't practise them. But 22 22 notebook which I was shown by the Greater Manchester some of them have argued that you shouldn't practise 23 Police. 23 them because they have no effect and they are just 24 Q. Thank you. Now, the Inquiry has heard some reference to 24 a community practice which is drawing people away from 25 jinn. Could you just explain that to us, please. 25 the true belief in God. So it is not the taweez

Page 46

Page 48

1 1 themselves which are dangerous, it is the fact that implemented by authorities in the Muslim world. people believe in them that are dangerous. 2 2 Some other Salafis believe neither of those two 3 3 So one group of Salafis believe that. Another group practices work, what we are engaged in is a war against 4 4 of Salafis believe that the taweez have some effect. un-Islamic practices and the corruption of Islam, and we 5 and -- but that it is a forbidden practice, and 5 need to be able to purify Islam. So we're involved in 6 6 what they call a Jihad. And because we are involved in therefore you have to crackdown on it. Because in 7 7 a Jihad therefore fighting those who have compromised a sense it is more dangerous because it actually does 8 8 the true message of Islam becomes a permitted act. And have an effect of being able to attract supernatural q 9 what's called Jihadi Salafism was one strain of Salafism forces. The Salafis agree, all the Salafis agree that 10 10 which emerged most powerfully in the 1990s, it was in taweez is, you know, a non-Islamic practice which has 11 11 been incorporated into Islamic belief and practice, and existence in the 1980s, but it emerged very powerfully 12 12 in the 1990s, and of course was spearheaded by Al-Qaeda therefore it needs to be expelled. They differ over 13 whether or not it actually has effect or not, and the 13 who eventually had "success" in terms of their mission, 14 14 in terms of the 9/11 attacks. reason for that is that some statements of the 15 Prophet Muhammad seem to indicate that the 15 Now, Jihadi Salafism. The one thing about Salafis 16 Prophet Muhammad recognised that these sorts of 16 is they debate the minutiae of religious brief to the 17 practices had an effect. And so some Salafis say well, 17 Nth degree. So because of their obsession with belief 18 if the Prophet Muhammad recognised that they did, he 18 detail, is this really an un-Islamic practice or is it 19 19 an Islamic practice? Because of their focus on that, didn't approve of them, but he recognised that they 20 exist, therefore we have to recognise that it exists as 20 they are liable to splits because they are debating the 21 21 minutiae of theology the whole time. So they are liable well. But that in a sense that doesn't alter -- the 22 almost universal Salafi opinion that these practices are 22 to splits, and Jihadi Salafism has been liable to 23 23 splits. Many, many different Jihadi Salafi groups, all not part of Islam. 24 Q. Thank you. 24 of which are fighting each other, as well as fighting 25 25 I think within the reports that you prepared you the enemy of true Islam, as they see it. Page 49 Page 51 1 gave some opinion linked to ISIS, various flags and 1 So ISIS was one group of Jihadi Salafis who fell out 2 imagery and the like. I am not going to ask you to give 2 with Al-Qaeda in Iraq and Syria, because they felt that 3 evidence about that. But can I ask you just to assist 3 Al-Qaeda was just a bit soft, and you needed a more 4 us, first of all to give a general overview about what 4 radical approach, a more violent approach, in order to 5 5 ISIS is? I am sure everyone in the room has a good combat the corruption of Islam by un-Islamic practices. 6 understanding, but just to contextualise ISIS, but 6 And so they split off from Al-Qaeda and developed their 7 7 I also want you to assist us please on the views of ISIS own state, which was well known, and which was, you 8 in respect of the practice of taweez and magic, please? know, widely covered in the media and which I am sure Q A. Okay. So first of all ISIS, or Islamic State in Iraq Q everyone here has heard about, which was known first of 10 and Syria, which has gone by a number of different names 10 all as Islamic State in Iraq and Syria and then later 11 in its history from its formation around about 2010, and 11 known as just purely -- they claimed to have the 12 it continues to exist today in much reduced power. It 12 monopoly on the name "Islamic State". 13 was a Salafi movement, but it was a particular form of 13 So that gives you a sort of background to what 14 Salafism, so Salafism is a -- as I said before, is 14 Islamic State are, they are a form of radical Jihadi 15 15 a reform movement which has become extremely popular in Salafism, and, like all Salafis, they condemn the use of 16 16 the past three centuries to try and purify Islam of taweez and magical practices. They had a particularly 17 17 un-Islamic practices. what you might call violent and public attitude towards 18 18 Some Salafis believe that the way do that is to just the control of these un-Islamic practices, by public 19 19 preach and just spread the message to Muslim communities execution of those who were suspected of or found guilty 20 20 that they shouldn't do this and they should do that. of, under the Islamic State legal system, of practising 21 Other Salafis -- and that's the limit of what you are 21 these practices. 22 22 supposed to do as a Salafi. Other Salafis believe you So they were -- and there are numerous documented 23 need to get involved in politics, so you need to involve 23 cases in which, when Islamic State had created its area

yourself in political activity to gain yourself

(inaudible) so that Salafi-type policies will be

Page 50

24

25

of control in Iraq and Syria, people who were suspected

of participation in ruqyah, or other, or the

Page 52

24

25

1	construction of taweez, or the making of spells, were	1	from 2010 to 2015 who will make this gesture just before
2	publicly beheaded as part of the Islamic State's sort of	2	or just after an attack, or just after or just before
3	like enforcement of Islamic purity in the public realm.	3	an execution, in order to demonstrate their loyalty, if
4	Q. Thank you. And I think you give a number of examples of	4	you like, to the protection of tawhid, which is
5	those.	5	an essential element of Islamic State's message.
6	A. Yes, yes.	6	Q. Thank you. Just one final concept, a moment before we
7	Q. Thank you.	7	get into the particulars that I want to ask questions
8	A. And there are numerous Islamic State documents in which	8	on. Can you perhaps finally assist the Inquiry in
9	they detail which practices are forbidden, and amongst	9	respect of the declaration of the caliphate in,
10	them is the construction of any amuletic device.	10	I think, June 2014, please?
11	Q. Thank you.	11	A. So in June 2014 Islamic State in Iraq and Syria declared
12	Now, I have indicated I am not going to go into the	12	not just that it was a movement which had established
13	aspects of your report that deal with the various	13	political control of an area, but that it was now
14	exhibits, and flags, and pieces of imagery that you were	14	a caliphate. This is a technical term in Islamic
15	asked to review. But perhaps could you just assist with	15	political thought for a political regime which is
16	one item, just for clarification. The taweez gesture	16	entirely in accordance with the early political regimes
17	which was dealt with yesterday in the opening of the	17	in Islam in the 7th and 8th century. Most Muslim forces
18	Inquiry which was referred to, I think, as the ISIS	18	and political organisations have not wanted to declare
19	salute?	19	themselves a caliphate too readily because it is a big
20	A. Yes.	20	claim. It is a claim that you are basically replicating
21	Q. Could you perhaps give the Inquiry just the context for	21	the political context, you have reached the point
22	that and the basis for that, please?	22	whereby your state is running itself to such an extent
23	A. So the raising of a single finger of the right hand was,	23	in accordance with the rules of Islam that you have
24	during the period when Islamic State were in power, was	24	replicated the early period and the early community.
25	something which they, and their fighters, began to use	25	So it was a big thing when they declared themselves
	Page 53		Page 55
1	in their propaganda. The sort of theological background	1	this caliphate, and most Muslims in the world didn't
2	to it is an affirmation of the oneness of God. So you	2	recognise it as a proper caliphate; it was part of their
3	raise one finger in order to indicate that you believe	3	internal attempt to boost their own prestige by calling
4	that there is only one God, and you and the	4	it a caliphate and by calling the leader at the time,
5	Salafi message, and the Jihadi Salafi message even more	5	Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, proclaiming him the new caliph.
6	so, even more intensely emphasises that the oneness of	6	That located the Islamic State in a particular way in
7	God cannot be compromised under any circumstances.	7	terms of their own self perception as to they had in
8	Which is part of the reason why magic, which is seen as	8	a sense reached the perfect political system, and
9	a compromise, if you like, of the one power of good,	9	therefore they were able to declare themselves
10	because you are asking other spiritual forces to take	10	a caliphate, and it wasn't just a caliphate in the area
11	control, and to have an effect, rather than God, is seen	11	that they controlled, it was eventually going to be
12	as a compromise of "tawhid", the oneness of God, the	12	a caliphate which was a global caliphate. Because one
13	Arabic word, T-A-W-H-I-D, the Arabic word to emphasise	13	of the things about the early Islamic caliphate is it
14	the Muslim belief in the oneness of God.	14	expanded the area under its control, and they were
15	Now, all Muslims believe in the oneness of God but	15	aiming to replicate this to expand the area under
16	Salafis, and Jihadi Salafis in particular, believe you	16	Islamic State's control to create a global caliphate.
17	need to protect this oneness of God in popular belief to	17	So you see franchised organisations of Islamic State
18	a much greater degree than in other areas, and one of	18	appearing in north Africa, or central Asia, and their
19	the ways in which they expressed this was through	19	dream was that one day all of these different
20	devising a gesture, or using a gesture which already	20	caliphates, or the different regimes which were paying
21	existed within the Muslim community, but using it, and	21	allegiance to Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi in Iraq and Syria
22	monopolising it, and using it to almost indicate	22	would eventually join up and it would be one huge global
23	commitment to the Islamic State cause.	23	caliphate. That was the long term dream, if you like,
24	Q. Thank you.	24	and so that's why that event was significant in 2014
		1	
25	A. So you see a lot of videos of ISIS fighters in the years	25	when they declared their caliphate. It meant that they
25	A. So you see a lot of videos of ISIS fighters in the years	25	when they declared their caliphate. It meant that they
25	A. So you see a lot of videos of ISIS fighters in the years $Page\ 54$	25	when they declared their caliphate. It meant that they Page 56

1	were attempting to declare that they were the only	1	JA/2 and JA/4.
2	legitimate Islamic government in existence, and	2	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
3	therefore everyone, all Muslims everywhere, should	3	MS CARTWRIGHT: The next short topic I want your assistance
4	recognise them as having that leadership position.	4	with, and again, sir, for your note it is the report
5	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. Sir, I am conscious we have been	5	behind tab 5
6	going over the time when we probably need the break.	6	THE CHAIR: Yes, thank you.
7	THE CHAIR: Yes.	7	MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 6.
8	MS CARTWRIGHT: I have a few short topics but I suspect if	8	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
9	we take a 15 minute break	9	MS CARTWRIGHT: The Inquiry have some of the postings of
10	THE CHAIR: I think we ought to take a break.	10	Mr Kadir included that he used his Facebook name was
11	MS CARTWRIGHT: I will conclude this evidence and then	11	Abu Qital and we can see within the report, sir, at
12	hopefully we'll be able to move on.	12	page 6, you address the meaning of Abu Qital. Can you
13	THE CHAIR: We have gone a little beyond, but not much we	13	assist the Inquiry with that, please?
14	started at 20 to, this would be a suitable point.	14	A. Literally Abu Qital means the father of fighting, and in
15	MS CARTWRIGHT: Can I suggest we take a 10 or 15 minute	15	Arabic and in other Muslim world languages, sometimes if
16	break.	16	someone is good at something, or renowned for something,
17	THE CHAIR: How much longer do you think you will be?	17	you say that they are the father of that thing. It is
18	MS CARTWRIGHT: Probably no more than 15 minutes.	18	not just you do use it to say you are the father of
19	THE CHAIR: Okay, let's take a 15 minute break.	19	this child, so Abu Mohammed, means the father of
20	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, sir.	20	Mohammed, so this would normally mean that this person
21	(12.00 pm)	21	has a son and the first born son they had, he was called
22	(A short break)	22	Mohammed.
23	(12.15 pm)	23	But you can sort of use that in a non-literal way to
24	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, sir.	24	refer to people who are particularly excel at
25	Professor Gleave, I have just now a few short topics	25	something or are particularly known for something. So,
	Page 57		Page 59
1	as to particulars linked to Mr Uddin's case, please, for	1	you know, someone who has a nice beard, you might say
2	your assistance.	2	was the father of a beard, for example, and someone who
3	First of all, we see within the reports, but also	3	was stupid you would say is the father of stupidity. In
4	the evidence you gave at the trial of Mr Syeedy, that	4	this case he is the father of fighting. So, which sort
5	you confirmed that exhibits you were shown, photographs	5	of gives you an idea that this person is portraying
6	I think from an item found on Mr Uddin's clothing, you	6	themselves, or projecting themselves as someone who
7	in fact confirmed, I think, that was a taweez.	1	
8		7	excels in fighting, Abu Qital, Abu meaning father, Qital
	A. Yes.	7 8	excels in fighting, Abu Qital, Abu meaning father, Qital meaning fighting.
9	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of</li></ul>		
9 10		8	meaning fighting.
	Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of	8 9	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook
10	Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was	8 9 10	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is
10 11	Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.	8 9 10 11	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at
10 11 12	<ul><li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	8 9 10 11 12	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks
10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.
10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.
10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for a magician working out an incantation or a spell for</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September post.  THE CHAIR: Yes. (Pause)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for a magician working out an incantation or a spell for a taweez.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September post.  THE CHAIR: Yes. (Pause)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Are you there, sir?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for a magician working out an incantation or a spell for a taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes, a large part, I mean there was other stuff in the</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September post.  THE CHAIR: Yes. (Pause)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for a magician working out an incantation or a spell for a taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes, a large part, I mean there was other stuff in the books, but a large part of the books was, as</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September post.  THE CHAIR: Yes. (Pause)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Are you there, sir?  THE CHAIR: Oh, yes, yes, I should have said, apologies, I have been there for the last half minute.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for a magician working out an incantation or a spell for a taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes, a large part, I mean there was other stuff in the books, but a large part of the books was, as I understand, sort of like the preparation of taweez,</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September post.  THE CHAIR: Yes. (Pause)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Are you there, sir?  THE CHAIR: Oh, yes, yes, I should have said, apologies, I have been there for the last half minute.  MS CARTWRIGHT: I am going to read the Facebook post and see
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for a magician working out an incantation or a spell for a taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes, a large part, I mean there was other stuff in the books, but a large part of the books was, as I understand, sort of like the preparation of taweez, different taweezes, probably, more than one.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September post.  THE CHAIR: Yes. (Pause)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Are you there, sir?  THE CHAIR: Oh, yes, yes, I should have said, apologies, I have been there for the last half minute.  MS CARTWRIGHT: I am going to read the Facebook post and see if there is any other assistance that you can provide us
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for a magician working out an incantation or a spell for a taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes, a large part, I mean there was other stuff in the books, but a large part of the books was, as I understand, sort of like the preparation of taweez,</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September post.  THE CHAIR: Yes. (Pause)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Are you there, sir?  THE CHAIR: Oh, yes, yes, I should have said, apologies, I have been there for the last half minute.  MS CARTWRIGHT: I am going to read the Facebook post and see
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you were at the process of the unwrapping of that item and the Quranic verses, you confirmed that was a classical taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Secondly, we have already touched briefly when you gave evidence earlier today that you also reviewed two exhibits, namely the notebooks that were seized from the home where Mr Uddin would stay, and I think you also described those as essentially the process for a magician working out an incantation or a spell for a taweez.</li> <li>A. Yes, a large part, I mean there was other stuff in the books, but a large part of the books was, as I understand, sort of like the preparation of taweez, different taweezes, probably, more than one.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	meaning fighting.  Q. Thank you. Can I next, please, take you to a Facebook post, please, and the easiest way to take to you that is within the report behind tab 7, please, which is at page it is the statement, sir, of DSI Meeks THE CHAIR: Oh yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: at page 35, paragraph 116.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  MS CARTWRIGHT: It is the easiest vehicle to ask the question about the factual content of the 5 September post.  THE CHAIR: Yes. (Pause)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Are you there, sir?  THE CHAIR: Oh, yes, yes, I should have said, apologies, I have been there for the last half minute.  MS CARTWRIGHT: I am going to read the Facebook post and see if there is any other assistance that you can provide us

1	The Facebook post made on 5 September included the	1	another, so I presume that's what's being referred to
2	following:	2	there.
3	"There are 'Imams' of local masjid dealing with	3	Q. Thank you. Is there anything particular about
4	taweez and sihr, ect ect."	4	"Jazak Allah"?
5	A Facebook user responded to Kadir's post to say:	5	A. That means, Jazak Allah Khair, means "May God reward you
6	"Muhammad said kill the magician."	6	well". It is just a phrasing.
7	Kadir did not reply to that message. Another	7	THE CHAIR: Where is that, sorry?
8	Facebook user stated:	8	A. On the third line of page 36.
9	"Get someone to get a taweez off them first open it	9	THE CHAIR: Oh yes, sorry.
10	up and check exactly what's in it then you can see if it	10	A. It is a phrase which many Muslims use in order to say,
11	is SHIRK, sahir etc and take it from there if is	11	you know, "That's a good thing", or "That will be
12	sahir then just tell an aunty with the biggest gob in	12	great", sort of thing, or "You have done well", that
13	the town this imam is doing jadu and sit back, bet you	13	sort of thing.
14	everyone on your town will know about by the end of the	14	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you.
15	day."	15	And then the reference before that you have already
16	And Kadir replied:	16	helped us with, to disposing of a book and the use of
17	"Akhi one of the brothers has actually taken one of	17	ruqyah water. Is there any guidance that's given as to
18	the main guy's books and then they have seen many shirky	18	how you dispose of a magician's book?
19	stuff in it and have disposed of it properly by reciting	19	A. Well, some say that you should burn it and some say that
20	over it and bowl of rugyah water ect ect and river."	20	you should you need to extinguish the words, because
21	And a further post by Kadir stated:	21	the words are viewed as having power written on the
22	"Jazak Allah khair we have decided that we will take	22	page, so you can, you need to destroy it in one way or
23	it on properly in sha Allah. Exposing ther kufr isnt	23	another; one way is by dissolving it or wipe washing
24	sufficeent [sic] there are too many of them soo we may	24	it, if you see what I mean, and then disposing of the
25	do what ever to paralyse them in sha Allah starting from	25	water. Or burning it. Those are the two which
			g .
	Page 61		Page 63
1	the ringlesder that we know. Soo please do make dua	1	I think I remember there is one scholar who says you
1	the ringleader that we know. Soo please do make dua	1 2	I think, I remember there is one scholar who says you
2	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so	2	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is
2 3	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."	2 3	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid
2 3 4	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just	2 3 4	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to
2 3 4 5	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology	2 3 4 5	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is
2 3 4 5 6	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the	2 3 4 5 6	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us	2 3 4 5 6 7	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?  A. Sometimes disposal of a dangerous object can be achieved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.  Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?  A. Sometimes disposal of a dangerous object can be achieved through dissolving it in water, for example. So and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.  Q. Thank you.  A. So "shirky stuff" is what I would say that was what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?  A. Sometimes disposal of a dangerous object can be achieved through dissolving it in water, for example. So — and sometimes the spell may be dissolved in — a protagonist	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.  Q. Thank you.  A. So "shirky stuff" is what I would say that was what was meant there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?  A. Sometimes disposal of a dangerous object can be achieved through dissolving it in water, for example. So and sometimes the spell may be dissolved in a protagonist of magic might dissolve a spell or a piece of paper in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.  Q. Thank you.  A. So "shirky stuff" is what I would say that was what was meant there.  Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?  A. Sometimes disposal of a dangerous object can be achieved through dissolving it in water, for example. So and sometimes the spell may be dissolved in a protagonist of magic might dissolve a spell or a piece of paper in a glass of water and then drink it in order to make the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.  Q. Thank you.  A. So "shirky stuff" is what I would say that was what was meant there.  Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?  A. Sometimes disposal of a dangerous object can be achieved through dissolving it in water, for example. So and sometimes the spell may be dissolved in a protagonist of magic might dissolve a spell or a piece of paper in a glass of water and then drink it in order to make the spell effective, and others might decide to just throw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.  Q. Thank you.  A. So "shirky stuff" is what I would say that was what was meant there.  Q. Thank you.  A. Yes, and as I said kufr, so yes.  Q. Thank you. And then the reference to "so please do make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?  A. Sometimes disposal of a dangerous object can be achieved through dissolving it in water, for example. So and sometimes the spell may be dissolved in a protagonist of magic might dissolve a spell or a piece of paper in a glass of water and then drink it in order to make the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.  Q. Thank you.  A. So "shirky stuff" is what I would say that was what was meant there.  Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that this happens and we do it in a systematic way so that we do not get caught."  Can I, before asking for a general observation, just see if you can assist us with some of the terminology that you have not already assisted us with. After the reference to "shirk" that you have already told us about, there is reference to "sahir". Can you help us with what that is, please?  A. Yes, so a sahir is the word for a magician; "sihr" is the word for magic, "sahir" is the word for magician.  Q. Thank you. Then we can see that there is reference to "jadu".  A. Just basically spells, or  Q. Thank you. And you have already told us about ruqyah. There is reference to a bowl of ruqyah water. Is there anything you can assist us with as to what that might mean?  A. Sometimes disposal of a dangerous object can be achieved through dissolving it in water, for example. So and sometimes the spell may be dissolved in a protagonist of magic might dissolve a spell or a piece of paper in a glass of water and then drink it in order to make the spell effective, and others might decide to just throw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	should bury it and not mark the place, so there is a number of ways in which you should be able to get rid of it. Basically all of these are attempts to try to nullify the potential spiritual power of it, so it is extinguished in one way or another.  Q. Thank you. There are numerous spelling mistakes that are identified in the post. Can you assist in "exposing the [K-U-F-R]"?  A. The K-U-F-R, where was that again?  THE CHAIR: It is on line 4.  MS CARTWRIGHT: The next bit, "exposing".  A. "Kufr" is the Islamic word for exposing their unbelief, exposing their unbelief, their deviation from Islam, and "shirky stuff" on the first line is sort of like Anglicisation. "Shirk" means, as I said earlier, association, "shirky" is like an Anglicisation, it is like stuff which is associated with shirk.  Q. Thank you.  A. So "shirky stuff" is what I would say that was what was meant there.  Q. Thank you.  A. Yes, and as I said kufr, so yes.  Q. Thank you. And then the reference to "so please do make

1	A. Dua means a prayer, a petitionary prayer, so "please do	1	A. Yes.
2	make dua", ie "Please make prayers that this happens,	2	THE CHAIR: Does that cause a problem from your point of
3	please pray for this to come about, and that we do it in	3	view? Does that change anything you said?
4	a systematic way so we don't get caught."	4	A. No, I think because I know the precise reference which
5	So it is a plea from the writer to the reader to	5	the person is referring to, and the word for magician
6	make a petitionary prayer, to pray for this to come	6	there is sahir, which we have in three lines
7	about.	7	THE CHAIR: Three lines down, yes.
8	Q. Thank you. And then at the outset I didn't ask, but	8	A. A few lines down. So if it is S-A-H-I-R that means it
9	just so there is complete understanding, "'Imams' of	9	is the person that performs magic.
10	local masjid"?	10	THE CHAIR: Okay.
11	THE CHAIR: Masjid.	11	A. Sihr, S-I-H-R, is the sword of phenomenon of magic
12	A. Yes, a masjid is just a Mosque.	12	itself.
13	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. That's just the Arabic word for	13	THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you very much.
14	Mosque. Imams, as I've I said, notice that they are in	14	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. Is there any other general
15	inverted commas.	15	overview of the evidence you wish to give about those
16	Q. Yes.	16	exchanges over Facebook?
17	A. Because if that is a replication of the post, in	17	A. Er, no I don't think so.
18	inverted commas, that is because the writer doesn't	18	Q. Thank you.
19	recognise them as proper Imams.	19	Can I then just see if there is any other evidence
20	Q. Thank you.	20	you wish to give about a further post, specifically we
21	A. Because Imam is an officially recognised figure within a	21	have a sequence of events, and I am taking it in this
22	proper Islamic system, and these people, by putting the	22	order to try to not delay matters with display matters,
23	inverted commas, is probably indicating that they are	23	on the screen, but I am aware that you have reviewed the
24	not proper Imams at all, they claim to be Imams and may	24	material as part of the process for giving the report,
25	have been appointed as Imams but they are not proper	25	but one of the images I think that was part of the
	Page 65		Page 67
1	Imams in the religious sense of the term.	1	exchanges is an image of a taweez which had "Don't wear
2	Q. Thank you. I have broken down for clarification, but is	2	a taweez shirk inside", and also the comment "Whoever
3	there any other general overview evidence you would wish	3	wears an amulet has committed shirk."
4	to give to the chairman about this post and the	4	Sir, for your reference, it is in the SoE at row
5	exchanges that are happening over 5 September 2015,	5	1866, but again I think we have perhaps covered all of
6	please?	6	this ground but is there anything else you wish to
7	A. Well, it is very representative of Salafi discussions	7	expand upon by reference to
8	around magic and what should you do about it. And so it	8	A. Yes, so that particular image is a sort of like a piece
9	is, in that sense not unusual from a Salafi, from	9	of Salafi what you might call propaganda or messaging.
10	reading Salafi discussions on forums such as this, to	10	There is a difference between wearing a copy of the
11	have, you know, people who would just cite a Hadith of	11	Quran, which is carrying a copy of the Quran with you
12	the Prophet Muhammad. So when it says Muhammad,	12	is viewed as positive and a taweez, which is
13	S-A-W-S, which is an abbreviation of the Arabic phrase	13	something which has been, if you like, imbued with the
14	"God's prayers and peace be upon him". To have, to just	14	spiritual power created by the professional.
15	quote a statement of the Prophet Muhammad is seen as	15	So that is a you've got to be sure that what is
16	sort of like, that seals the argument, that's the end if	16	being carried around isn't just a copy of the Quran,
17	you like of the discussion as to what should happen	17	which is perfectly permissible, indeed recommended, or
18	next, you know, because the Prophetic example is exactly	18	is a dangerous piece of, is an amulet in one way or
19	what Salafis are claiming to be able to follow.	19	another. And so the prohibition is pretty standard
20	Q. So "Muhammad (saws) said kill the magician," that's the	20	Salafi messaging to try and influence the behaviour of
21	context to understand that?	21	the Muslim community.
22	A. Yes, yes.	22	Q. Thank you.
23	THE CHAIR: I asked earlier what a Muslim person choosing to	23	Could I then please next using the report behind
24	express himself or herself in English, what word they	24	tab 7 take you to page 93, please. And it is the text
25	might use. Here we have the word "magician".	25	within the box on page 93.
			• •
	Page 66		Page 68
			17 (Pages 65 to 68)

1	A. Oh yes.	1	So if you support a magician who is doing something
2	Q. And again this is now earlier in time from the	2	which is prohibited in the Salafi world view, you are
3	6 July 2014 and related to WhatsApp groups, this one	3	exposing yourself towards punishment as well.
4	being within the Islamic geopolitics group, which was	4	And then finally, "May Allah protect us from such
5	part of the wider discussion groups that Syadul Hussain	5	evil, and may Allah use them as fuel for ", I think
6	and Mohammed Kadir were members of, and again it is just	6	that's all self-explanatory. "May Allah protect us from
7	to assist, bearing in mind there is a reference to	7	such evil," that means amen, and again, amen, that's
8	something that I don't understand. So.	8	what that means there.
9	This was a telecoms Whatsapp on 6 July within the	9	Q. Thank you.
10	Islamic geopolitics group that says as follows:	10	A. Just so you understand everything that's being reference
11	"Black magic being done on a Rochdale shop."	11	indeed at that particular
12	With a YouTube reference:	12	THE CHAIR: Thank you, that's helpful.
13	"May Allah guide them, if not may the curse of Allah	13	MS CARTWRIGHT: And for my purposes, two final matters.
14	destroy these men. May Allah humiliate them in life and	14	Sir, for your reference, behind tab 5, it is the report
15	death, and may Allah destroy all those who aid these	15	at page 6. You were obviously on the sequence of events
16	filthy magicians. May Allah use them as fuel for the	16	that was prepared, you were asked to comment on
17	hell fire."	17	an exchange between Mohammed Kadir and Syadul Hussain on
18	Finally:	18	9 February, 2016, so approximate to the murder of
19	"May Allah protect us from such evil, amen. Kuma	19	Mr Uddin. And I think you were asked specifically to
20	ameen. Please pass this on so we can expose these	20	assist with any understanding of the phrase "Qadiyan
21	kinzirs."	21	slayer". And again, sir, using the sequence of events
22	Can you assist, what are "kinzirs", please?	22	that can be found reference point at row 3142.
23	A. So "khinzir" is the word for pig in Arabic, and so the	23	Can you assist the Inquiry to understand the meaning
24	reference here is "Please pass this on so we can expose	24	of Qadiyan and I apologise to I am saying it wrong
25	these pigs." Pigs in Muslim community references are	25	slayer?
	Page 69		Page 71
		I	
1	extremely negative, because they are viewed as not just,	1	A. As I mentioned, this is most likely a reference to
1 2	extremely negative, because they are viewed as not just, not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of	1 2	A. As I mentioned, this is most likely a reference to someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who
	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of		·
2		2	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who
2 3	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure,	2 3	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to
2 3 4	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely	2 3 4	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the
2 3 4 5	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to	2 3 4 5	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to
2 3 4 5 6	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they	2 3 4 5 6	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is
2 3 4 5 6 7	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place	2 3 4 5 6 7	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because —  Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how  I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because —  Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how  I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because — Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because — Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and humiliate them in life and in death, ie may that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?  A. A Saab is an honorific title that you give to someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and humiliate them in life and in death, ie may that they will be sent to hell, and may Allah destroy all those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?  A. A Saab is an honorific title that you give to someone who is particularly renowned or respected within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and humiliate them in life and in death, ie may that they will be sent to hell, and may Allah destroy all those who aid them. So it is not just the magicians	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?  A. A Saab is an honorific title that you give to someone who is particularly renowned or respected within the community. Qari means someone who recites the Quran.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because — Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and humiliate them in life and in death, ie may that they will be sent to hell, and may Allah destroy all those who aid them. So it is not just the magicians themselves, but anyone who protects them or supports	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?  A. A Saab is an honorific title that you give to someone who is particularly renowned or respected within the community. Qari means someone who recites the Quran. So he was known as someone, as Qari Sab, someone who is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because — Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and humiliate them in life and in death, ie may that they will be sent to hell, and may Allah destroy all those who aid them. So it is not just the magicians themselves, but anyone who protects them or supports them or contributes them, because in Salafi thinking,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?  A. A Saab is an honorific title that you give to someone who is particularly renowned or respected within the community. Qari means someone who recites the Quran. So he was known as someone, as Qari Sab, someone who is able to recite the Quran and is respected for that and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and humiliate them in life and in death, ie may that they will be sent to hell, and may Allah destroy all those who aid them. So it is not just the magicians themselves, but anyone who protects them or supports them or contributes them, because in Salafi thinking, and in wider Islamic thinking as well, if you aid or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?  A. A Saab is an honorific title that you give to someone who is particularly renowned or respected within the community. Qari means someone who recites the Quran. So he was known as someone, as Qari Sab, someone who is able to recite the Quran and is respected for that and is viewed as performing an important community religious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and humiliate them in life and in death, ie may that they will be sent to hell, and may Allah destroy all those who aid them. So it is not just the magicians themselves, but anyone who protects them or supports them or contributes them, because in Salafi thinking, and in wider Islamic thinking as well, if you aid or abet a prohibited act you are in part responsible for that prohibited act.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?  A. A Saab is an honorific title that you give to someone who is particularly renowned or respected within the community. Qari means someone who recites the Quran. So he was known as someone, as Qari Sab, someone who is able to recite the Quran and is respected for that and is viewed as performing an important community religious function through the sort of recitation of God's word within the community, and that's clearly what he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	not prohibited to eat, but also impurifying in terms of contact with their, with pig flesh is viewed as impure, having impurifying effects, and is viewed extremely negatively. So it has become a word which you use to insult someone and to say how far outside of Islam they are. Because, you know, of course a pig has no place within an Islamic world view. So that's what that means there.  Did you want me to address anything in the rest of the message, because Q. If there is any other clarification from the rest of the message.  A. Yes, so this is a pretty standard way in which you say first of all may Allah guide them so that do something, so that they leave this heretical (inaudible), but if that doesn't take place may Allah destroy them and humiliate them in life and in death, ie may that they will be sent to hell, and may Allah destroy all those who aid them. So it is not just the magicians themselves, but anyone who protects them or supports them or contributes them, because in Salafi thinking, and in wider Islamic thinking as well, if you aid or abet a prohibited act you are in part responsible for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	someone who kills heretics. A slayer being someone who slays them. Qadiyan is most likely a reference to heretics such as in particular the movement known as the Ahmadiyya in the Pakistani context, who are referred to by their opponents as Qadiyanis, so someone who is a Qadiyan slayer is someone who presents themselves as someone who is willing to take, you know, violent action in order to suppress her say, and that's how I understood it to be the meaning in Qadiyan slayer.  Q. Thank you. And then finally for my purposes perhaps to end on more positive evidence, the Inquiry's heard that Jalal Uddin was known within the community as Qari Sab?  A. Yes.  Q. We understand that's a term of great respect. Can you perhaps just confirm what that, being attributed as a Qari Sab means?  A. A Saab is an honorific title that you give to someone who is particularly renowned or respected within the community. Qari means someone who recites the Quran. So he was known as someone, as Qari Sab, someone who is able to recite the Quran and is respected for that and is viewed as performing an important community religious function through the sort of recitation of God's word

		,	
1	known for within the community, through giving him that	1	A. Tab.
2	name, as a recognition of his skills in Koranic	2	Q. Thank you. So signed 16 August, 2024, and can I ask you
3	recitation.	3	to confirm are the contents of that statement true to
4	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, Professor Gleave. Those are my	4	the best of your knowledge and belief?
5	questions. Sir, before I turn to the advocates, are	5	A. Yes, they are.
6	there any questions you wish to ask?	6	Q. Thank you.
7	THE CHAIR: No, thank you very much. Any other questions	7	Mr Morris, can we start, please, with your
8	from anybody?	8	background, and it is right, isn't it, that you were
9	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. That would then conclude	9	a former Greater Manchester police officer?
10	Professor Gleave's evidence.	10	A. I was, yes.
11	Thank you, Professor.	11	Q. And essentially your service was from July 1996 until
12	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Professor Gleave, thank you for	12	your retirement in 2021?
13	helping the Inquiry. That's the end you have your	13	A. That's correct, yes.
14	evidence. Very grateful to you.	14	Q. And perhaps then if we just work through in a little bit
15	MS CARTWRIGHT: So in accordance with your restriction	15	more detail your relevant professional background and
16	order, we need to now essentially cut the connection to	16	experience. You joined Greater Manchester Police as
17	the hearing because we are going to now to the portion	17	a uniformed police constable in July 1996 and you were
18	of evidence dealing with police evidence.	18	based on the Wigan division?
19	THE CHAIR: Yes.	19	A. Yes.
20	MS CARTWRIGHT: Could that take place.	20	Q. You initially undertook uniformed response duties before
21	THE CHAIR: Do you want to take a short break?	21	joining the divisional drug unit?
22	MS CARTWRIGHT: I think it can be done.	22	A. Yes, correct.
23	THE CHAIR: It can be done more or less instantaneously.	23	Q. In January 2001 you were temporarily promoted to uniform
24	MS CARTWRIGHT: It is 20 to, so if Mr Morris is content	24	sergeant on the Wigan division?
25	I suspect we should make use of the 20 minutes we have	25	A. Yes.
	Page 73		Page 75
1	before the break.	1	Q. In April 2003 you describe that you were promoted
2	THE CHAIR: Yes, we should, however, stop at 1, if that's	2	substantively to that rank and transferred to the
3	all right.	3	traffic division?
4	MS CARTWRIGHT: Of course, we will stop at 1. But if	4	A. Yes.
5	Mr Morris is content we will move to his evidence next,	5	Q. And then you say that in the temporary and substantive
6	please.	6	sergeant rank you undertook the role of both uniformed
7	THE CHAIR: Certainly.	7	response sergeant but also custody sergeant?
8	MS CARTWRIGHT: Can it be confirmed that the feed has now	8	A. Yes, that's correct.
9	been cut?	9	Q. In 2004 you joined the CID as a detective sergeant?
10	FRANK MORRIS (sworn)	10	A. Yes.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do sit down.	11	Q. And you tell us in that role you had relevant
12	A. I am happy to stand, sir.	12	investigation responsibility with a wide, covering
13	THE CHAIR: It's up to you.	13	a wide range of serious criminal offences?
14	Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT	14	A. That's correct, yes.
15	MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon. Could you please give	15	Q. Then in February 2007 is it right you were temporarily
16	the Inquiry your full name.	16	promoted to the rank of DI within the volume crime unit
17	A. Frank Morris.	17	at Trafford, being then substantively promoted to that
18	Q. Thank you. Mr Morris, you provided a witness statement	18	rank in October 007?
19	dated 16 August, of this year. It runs to 15 pages.	19	A. Yes, that's correct.
20	Sorry, I do apologise.	20	Q. And can I ask you, did you remain in the rank of DI from
21	A. Yes.	21	2007 until your retirement?
22	Q. Can I check what tab it's behind, please. I think it is	22	A. I did, yes.
23	behind tab 6, I hope.	23	Q. Thank you.
24	A. My statement?	24	You describe to us that in that role as a DI of
25	Q. It is, thank you.	25	volume crime your duties included the investigation of
	Dago 74		Daga 76
	Page 74		Page 76
			19 (Pages 73 to 76)

1	serious crime and suspicious deaths, and throughout the	1	to allocate resources, and set the strategic direction
2	time as a DI you were responsible for leading those	2	of the investigation.
3	investigations, but also heading up a team of	3	Q. Thank you. So can we now please move to the section of
4	detectives?	4	your report dealing with Operation Prideling.
5	A. That's correct, yes.	5	Sir, I have now moved on to page 3.
6	Q. Thank you.	6	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
7	And then you tell us that in April 2014 you joined	7	MS CARTWRIGHT: And you, I think, confirm in your witness
8	the North West Counter Terrorism Unit based in the	8	statement that you were the SIO, the senior
9	investigations department?	9	investigating officer, for Operation Prideling?
10	A. Yes, that's correct.	10	A. Yes, that's correct.
11	Q. And you in fact tell us I think that throughout your	11	Q. You confirm within the witness statement that that would
12	time in CT policing you were based in the investigations	12	not have been your only operation at the time in 2014?
13	department as either the SIO or deputy SIO?	13	A. No, it wouldn't be.
14	A. Yes, apart from 2017, when I worked on the	14	Q. And you describe that you would have been SIO for at
15	Manchester Arena attack.	15	least 10 operations at that time?
		16	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Thank you.	17	
17	You describe that as part of that role you attended		Q. Now, you also go on to tell us within your witness
18	various courses during your policing career, including	18	statement at paragraph 12 about the heavy workload being
19	the initial management of serious crime detective	19	experienced by North West CTU in respect of that period
20	sergeant course in 2005?	20	of time, specifically referencing the emergence of ISIS
21	A. Yes.	21	and the civil war in Syria?
22	Q. The SIO development DI course in 2009?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Correct, yes.	23	Q. And I think you were present in court for
24	Q. The counter terrorism SIO course in 2015?	24	Professor Gleave's evidence that touched upon the
25	A. Yes.	25	caliphate?
	Page 77		Page 79
	1 age //		1 age 17
1	Q. And I think you clarified, because we are going to come	1	A. Correct, yes.
1 2	Q. And I think you clarified, because we are going to come and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast	1 2	<ul><li>A. Correct, yes.</li><li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014</li></ul>
2	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast	2	Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014
2 3	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014	2 3	Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite
2 3 4	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.	2 3 4	Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment
2 3 4 5	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant	2 3 4 5	Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?
2 3 4 5 6	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014</li> <li>IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite</li> <li>and at the end of that month announced the establishment</li> <li>of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014</li> <li>IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite</li> <li>and at the end of that month announced the establishment</li> <li>of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014</li> <li>IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite</li> <li>and at the end of that month announced the establishment</li> <li>of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of</li> <li>the significant increase in workload that you witnessed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014</li> <li>IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite</li> <li>and at the end of that month announced the establishment</li> <li>of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of</li> <li>the significant increase in workload that you witnessed</li> <li>as an SIO?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014</li> <li>IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you had, prior to Operation Prideling, acted as a CT SIO on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have been provided with some background information, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you had, prior to Operation Prideling, acted as a CT SIO on other matters?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have been provided with some background information, and particularly in regard to Mohammed Syadul Hussain?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you had, prior to Operation Prideling, acted as a CT SIO on other matters?  A. I had, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have been provided with some background information, and particularly in regard to Mohammed Syadul Hussain?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you had, prior to Operation Prideling, acted as a CT SIO on other matters?  A. I had, yes.  Q. Now, can I ask you just at a very high level just to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have been provided with some background information, and particularly in regard to Mohammed Syadul Hussain?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So we know that you are well aware, but Mohammed Syadul</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you had, prior to Operation Prideling, acted as a CT SIO on other matters?  A. I had, yes.  Q. Now, can I ask you just at a very high level just to explain the role of an SIO, please, within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have been provided with some background information, and particularly in regard to Mohammed Syadul Hussain?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So we know that you are well aware, but Mohammed Syadul Hussain was the individual convicted of assisting the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you had, prior to Operation Prideling, acted as a CT SIO on other matters?  A. I had, yes.  Q. Now, can I ask you just at a very high level just to explain the role of an SIO, please, within an investigative response, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have been provided with some background information, and particularly in regard to Mohammed Syadul Hussain?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So we know that you are well aware, but Mohammed Syadul Hussain was the individual convicted of assisting the offender, assisting Mr Kadir.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you had, prior to Operation Prideling, acted as a CT SIO on other matters?  A. I had, yes.  Q. Now, can I ask you just at a very high level just to explain the role of an SIO, please, within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have been provided with some background information, and particularly in regard to Mohammed Syadul Hussain?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So we know that you are well aware, but Mohammed Syadul Hussain was the individual convicted of assisting the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and look and ask you some questions, asking you to cast your mind back to 2014  A. Yes.  Q that I think at the time of your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling you had not completed the CT SIO course?  A. No I hadn't.  Q. Thank you. But before that you had essentially completed the SIO training qualification?  A. Yes I had.  Q. And you say that you had regularly carried out the role of SIO on non-CT matters and that throughout your time with the North West CTU you were able to draw upon the experience and expertise of CT SIO colleagues?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. And you also confirmed, before we come to deal with your relevant involvement in Operation Prideling, that you had, prior to Operation Prideling, acted as a CT SIO on other matters?  A. I had, yes.  Q. Now, can I ask you just at a very high level just to explain the role of an SIO, please, within an investigative response, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you yourself specifically reference in 2014 IS took control of the Iraqi cities of Mosul and Tikrite and at the end of that month announced the establishment of a caliphate, describing itself as the Islamic State?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you referenced that in particular because of the significant increase in workload that you witnessed as an SIO?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I think in addition to that you describe other duties you had at the time, which included being the single point of contact within North West CTU for right wing extremism, another increasing area of work at that time, in my summary?</li> <li>A. At that time, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, let's deal, please, with Operation Prideling. You say that prior to being appointed as SIO you would have been provided with some background information, and particularly in regard to Mohammed Syadul Hussain?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So we know that you are well aware, but Mohammed Syadul Hussain was the individual convicted of assisting the offender, assisting Mr Kadir.</li> </ul>

1	you detail that would you have been given a briefing by	1	please?
2	a member of North West CTU, but you specifically focused	2	A. Yes, so we would have had an overt team of detectives on
3	on intelligence received. I am now at your	3	it run by DS Darren Mangan at that time.
4	paragraph 13. Could you just give a general overview of	4	Q. Thank you.
5	the intelligence that you received that was of relevance	5	A. And he would have had detectives working on it under
6	to Operation Prideling, please?	6	him.
7	A. Yes, so my understanding was Mr Hussain had been	7	Q. Thank you. And I think you go on to also give us some
8	speaking to his eight year old nephew over in Hull,	8	information about a DC Tony Worrall, another member of
9	Humberside, and his nephew had gone into school and said	9	your team, who provided a briefing document that set out
10	he wanted to join the Taliban, which obviously raised	10	the objectives for Operation Prideling?
11	concerns, which was then, because he lived on us, was	11	A. Yes, he did, yes.
12	referred through to us.	12	Q. And just pausing there, first of all, at a high level
13	Q. Thank you. And I think you identify that point in time	13	before we look at the particulars of some of the
14	as around February 2014?	14	objectives, what's the importance of setting objectives
15	A. That's I think when he did it. I don't think we got it	15	for Operation Prideling?
16	until, or I certainly didn't get it until a bit later	16	A. So the team know what they are looking for during the
17	on.	17	investigation.
18	Q. Okay, thank you.	18	Q. Thank you. And so I am not going to deal with all of
19	Now, you go on to tell us in paragraph 14 that it	19	the objectives, but perhaps just a number of them. You
20	was your practice to conduct research yourself when	20	detail that the objectives included to establish the
21	asked to be an SIO, and I think in fact you have	21	extent to which Hussain, as the subject of interest,
22	helpfully provided to the Inquiry your policy book and	22	held an extremist mindset?
23	identified the notes that are likely to reflect that	23	A. Yes.
24	research you would have conducted?	24	Q. First of all just pausing there, why is that
25	A. That's correct, yes.	25	an important objective?
	Page 81		Page 83
1	O And you say that:	1	A To see if there is any ariminal offences. So obviously
1	Q. And you say that:  "As it was possible that this investigation may	1	A. To see if there is any criminal offences. So obviously
2	"As it was possible that this investigation may	2	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he
2	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under	2 3	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old
2 3 4	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the	2 3 4	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.
2 3 4 5	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally	2 3 4 5	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:
2 3 4 5 6	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."	2 3 4 5 6	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for
2 3 4 5 6 7	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were aware that the SO15 internet referral unit had looked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.  And we see a further objective was:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were aware that the SO15 internet referral unit had looked relating to the Facebook activities of Mohammed Syadul	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.  And we see a further objective was:  "To identify any associates who he was or had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were aware that the SO15 internet referral unit had looked relating to the Facebook activities of Mohammed Syadul Hussain?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.  And we see a further objective was:  "To identify any associates who he was or had been in communication with who may hold a similar mindset."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were aware that the SO15 internet referral unit had looked relating to the Facebook activities of Mohammed Syadul Hussain?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.  And we see a further objective was:  "To identify any associates who he was or had been in communication with who may hold a similar mindset."  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were aware that the SO15 internet referral unit had looked relating to the Facebook activities of Mohammed Syadul Hussain?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you, and I am now at your paragraph 16, just give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.  And we see a further objective was:  "To identify any associates who he was or had been in communication with who may hold a similar mindset."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, why's that an important objective of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were aware that the SO15 internet referral unit had looked relating to the Facebook activities of Mohammed Syadul Hussain?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you, and I am now at your paragraph 16, just give us an overview of who else was working on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.  And we see a further objective was:  "To identify any associates who he was or had been in communication with who may hold a similar mindset."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, why's that an important objective of Operation Prideling?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were aware that the SO15 internet referral unit had looked relating to the Facebook activities of Mohammed Syadul Hussain?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you, and I am now at your paragraph 16, just give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.  And we see a further objective was:  "To identify any associates who he was or had been in communication with who may hold a similar mindset."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, why's that an important objective of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"As it was possible that this investigation may result in seeking and executing a warrant under terrorism legislation, I would have conducted the research myself as I would have wanted to be personally satisfied of the information."  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think you also go on then to tell us at paragraph 15 about other information you were aware about in respect of Mr Hussain, including a previous investigation that involved SO15, and just pausing there, SO15, sorry, internet referral unit. Can you just confirm, is SO15 the unit within the Metropolitan Police that deals with CT communications?  A. Yes.  Q. Or probably border  A. Yes, counter terrorism, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you say, therefore, that you were aware that the SO15 internet referral unit had looked relating to the Facebook activities of Mohammed Syadul Hussain?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you, and I am now at your paragraph 16, just give us an overview of who else was working on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the information was he was trying to, or suggested he was talking to [sic] the Taliban to his 8-year old nephew.  Q. Thank you. And we see:  "To evidence whether Hussain aspired to travel for extremist and not humanitarian purposes."  Again, can you perhaps explain, perhaps giving a bit more context to the purpose of that objective, please?  A. Again, with the caliphate having just been formed there were a lot of people travelling who were of an extremist mindset, so it was to see if there was anything within the investigation.  Q. And in terms of destinations of travel was there a particular location that it was identified people were travelling to at that time?  A. It would have been Syria at that time.  Q. Thank you.  And we see a further objective was:  "To identify any associates who he was or had been in communication with who may hold a similar mindset."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, why's that an important objective of Operation Prideling?

1 So if Hussain is in criminal activity, someone he is in 2 touch with may be as well. 3 Q. Thank you. 4 And we see for further expansion of that another 5 objective was: 6 "To manage any associates who could be vulnerable to 7 radicalisation." 7 set out within your witness statement the process of 8 A. Certainly with his 8-year old, it may have been he was 9 trying to influence other people as well. 9 Q. Thank you. 10 Q. Thank you. 11 And can I ask you more broadly, in Operation 12 Prideling when you were looking at the risk of 13 radicalisation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain of his 1 of criminality? 2 A. Yes, so it may push over the threshold for an arreadictive? 3 Q. Thank you. 4 I am going to very briefly deal with the process to obtaining the search warrant. I don't think we need to deal with the detail of that, lest others want to. You set out within your witness statement the process of Greater Manchester Police obtaining that search warrance on 31 July 2014 in terms of the application DC Worrance of the people as well. 10 Under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nance of the people as well of the terrorism and dissemination of terrorism and dissemination of terrorism and dissemination of terrorism and dissemination of terrorism applications?	nt II nely
Q. Thank you.  And we see for further expansion of that another  objective was:  To manage any associates who could be vulnerable to  radicalisation."  A. Certainly with his 8-year old, it may have been he was  trying to influence other people as well.  Q. Thank you.  3 Q. Thank you.  4 I am going to very briefly deal with the process to obtaining the search warrant. I don't think we need to deal with the detail of that, lest others want to. You set out within your witness statement the process of Greater Manchester Police obtaining that search warrant trying to influence other people as well.  9 on 31 July 2014 in terms of the application DC Worrand in Company to the people as well and can I ask you more broadly, in Operation  10 made, including the offences being investigated were under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, name and can I ask you were looking at the risk of 12 encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	nt II nely
And we see for further expansion of that another  objective was:  To manage any associates who could be vulnerable to  radicalisation."  A. Certainly with his 8-year old, it may have been he was  trying to influence other people as well.  Q. Thank you.  And can I ask you more broadly, in Operation  Prideling when you were looking at the risk of  I am going to very briefly deal with the process to obtaining the search warrant. I don't think we need to deal with the detail of that, lest others want to. You  set out within your witness statement the process of  Greater Manchester Police obtaining that search warra  on 31 July 2014 in terms of the application DC Worra  under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan  encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	nt ll nely
objective was:  obtaining the search warrant. I don't think we need to  deal with the detail of that, lest others want to. You  radicalisation."  A. Certainly with his 8-year old, it may have been he was  trying to influence other people as well.  Q. Thank you.  And can I ask you more broadly, in Operation  Prideling when you were looking at the risk of  obtaining the search warrant. I don't think we need to  deal with the detail of that, lest others want to. You  radicalisation."  Ret out within your witness statement the process of  Greater Manchester Police obtaining that search warrant  on 31 July 2014 in terms of the application DC Worrant  under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan  encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	nt ll nely
6 "To manage any associates who could be vulnerable to 7 radicalisation." 7 set out within your witness statement the process of 8 A. Certainly with his 8-year old, it may have been he was 9 trying to influence other people as well. 9 on 31 July 2014 in terms of the application DC Worra 10 Q. Thank you. 10 made, including the offences being investigated were 11 And can I ask you more broadly, in Operation 12 Prideling when you were looking at the risk of 13 deal with the detail of that, lest others want to. You 7 set out within your witness statement the process of 8 Greater Manchester Police obtaining that search warra 9 on 31 July 2014 in terms of the application DC Worra 10 made, including the offences being investigated were 11 under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan 12 encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	nt ll nely
radicalisation."  7 set out within your witness statement the process of  8 A. Certainly with his 8-year old, it may have been he was  9 trying to influence other people as well.  10 Q. Thank you.  10 Mand can I ask you more broadly, in Operation  11 under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan  12 Prideling when you were looking at the risk of  13 set out within your witness statement the process of  6 Greater Manchester Police obtaining that search warra  9 on 31 July 2014 in terms of the application DC Worra  10 made, including the offences being investigated were  11 under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan  12 encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	ll nely c
A. Certainly with his 8-year old, it may have been he was trying to influence other people as well.  Q. Thank you.  And can I ask you more broadly, in Operation Prideling when you were looking at the risk of  A. Certainly with his 8-year old, it may have been he was  Sequence of the application DC Worra  made, including the offences being investigated were  under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan  encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	ll nely c
9trying to influence other people as well.9on 31 July 2014 in terms of the application DC Worra10Q. Thank you.10made, including the offences being investigated were11And can I ask you more broadly, in Operation11under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan12Prideling when you were looking at the risk of12encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	ll nely c
10 Q. Thank you.  10 made, including the offences being investigated were  11 And can I ask you more broadly, in Operation  11 under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan  12 Prideling when you were looking at the risk of  13 encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	nely
11 And can I ask you more broadly, in Operation 11 under sections 1 and 2 of the Terrorism Act 2006, nan 12 Prideling when you were looking at the risk of 12 encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	c
Prideling when you were looking at the risk of 12 encouragement of terrorism and dissemination of	c
15 Tadicansation of Monathined System of this 15 terrorist applications?	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
A. So it would have looking for chat about Syria, probably,  detailed that what would be sought would be electronic for the state of th	- 1
17 <b>mostly, at that time.</b> 17 items, mobile phones, SIM cards, computers and digit	11
18 Q. And then for my purposes, the only other objective we 18 storage?	
19 are going to look at is one I think you have already 19 A. Yes, correct.	C
20 identified, which was to identify any terrorist and/or 20 Q. And I think perhaps the final aspect before we break	Ior
21 criminality that Syadul Hussain was involved in, for the 21 lunch, in essence two strands were identified, firstly	
purposes, potentially, of prosecution? 22 that there is a section 1 offence of encouragement of	
23 <b>A. That's correct, yes.</b> 23 terrorism, and so essentially the warrant identified the	
24 Q. Thank you. 24 background of the contact with the 8-year old nephew	,
Now, I think having completed, I think, your work, 25 and you made, the application made clear for the	
Page 85 Page 87	
1 some of your work, you decided that a search warrant 1 warrant, without further examination of the electronic	
2 should be sought in respect of Mohammed Syadul Hussain's 2 devices detailed this cannot be confirmed?	
3 property? 3 A. Correct, yes.	
4 A. That's correct, yes. 4 Q. So strand 1?	
5 Q. And you tell us in your witness statement, and I am at 5 A. Yes.	
6 your paragraph 18, that you consider the information you 6 Q. And then secondly before lunch, strand 2. Strand 2 of	of
7 had including from Mr Hussain's Facebook profile, and 7 the investigation that was detailed in the application	
8 you concluded that a warrant was the most appropriate 8 for the search warrant related to the section 2 offence	
9 course of action? 9 of disseminating terrorist publications, and it had been	
10 <b>A. Yes, I did.</b> 10 identified in the search warrant, is it correct, that	
11 Q. But then you say this: you didn't believe arresting 11 the Facebook account of Hussain contained material the	nat
Mr Hussain was proportionate. So can you help us 12 had already raised concerns about his mindset?	
understand yes to a search warrant but not to an arrest?  13 A. Yes, correct.	
14 A. Well, obviously taking someone's liberty is something 14 Q. And his continued support for a proscribed organisat	ions
15 <b>you have to seriously consider. I just thought</b> 15 through Facebook had highlighted those concerns?	
16 a warrant was more proportionate to see if he was 16 A. Yes.	
17 <b>involved in criminality.</b> 17 Q. And it had been identified in the application for the	
18 Q. So I think as you then tell us, the warrant would 18 warrant that:	
19 potentially provide you with any evidence of 19 "The electronic equipment to be searched for will be	
20 criminality? 20 subjected to a thorough forensic media recovery process	
21 <b>A. Correct, yes.</b> 21 This process may well reveal further items/material fro	
22 Q. So essentially would it be fair to say that the search 22 within phones, laptops, computers and iPads recovered	
23 warrant would have resulted in various items being 23 that highlight these concerns further. This additional	
24 seized and investigations of those items seized would 24 material will be subjected to further assessment, to	
25 help you determine whether there was or was not evidence 25 ascertain if the content encouraging people to engage	n
Page 86 Page 88	

1	terrorism or provides information that could be useful	1	permission was, would have hastened the investigation.
2	to a terrorist, and if it can be shown that this	2	Q. Thank you. And you list some of the items that were
3	material has been disseminated further afield by the	3	seized from Mr Hussain, including his Samsung Galaxy
4	internet."	4	mobile phone which was exhibited at ICW/1.
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So essentially this is the two strands provided to get	6	Q. A laptop exhibited at ICW/2, and also the nephew's
7	the application through for the search warrant?	7	mobile phone which was ICW/3, and an iPod ICW/5.
8	A. Yes, correct.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And so before we break for lunch, Mr Morris, is there	9	Q. And I think perhaps, as we are going to deal with, there
10	any aspect of the application for the search warrant	10	was some subsequent issue in respect of the analysis of
11	that you would want to draw out?	11	Mr Hussain's telephone, the Samsung Galaxy ICW/1 and the
12	A. No, I think we have covered it.	12	communications data.
13	MS CARTWRIGHT: Sir, could we perhaps break for lunch at	13	A. Yes.
14	this stage and continue with Mr Morris' evidence after	14	Q. Thank you. And so because of that we are just going to
15	lunch.	15	deal a little bit with the exhibits seized.
16	THE CHAIR: Yes, I suggest we take a little longer than	16	You were plainly detailed that as part of Operation
17	usual this time, and say 2.10.	17	Prideling you were managing the operation on
18	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, sir.	18	a spreadsheet which you have kindly provided to
19	THE CHAIR: Unless that is going to cause difficulties	19	the Inquiry, and I think you describe it as "One Action"
20	getting through the evidence we intend to cover this	20	investigation. Perhaps can you assist the Inquiry as to
21	afternoon.	21	using that spreadsheet and the One Action investigation
22	MS CARTWRIGHT: I don't think so, I think the next witness	22	approach as opposed to the HOLMES system at that time,
23	after Mr Morris Mr Beer is taking and has been made	23	please?
24	aware that the evidence may stray into tomorrow.	24	A. Yes, so at that time the HOLMES system wasn't capable of
25	THE CHAIR: Tomorrow in any event, thank you.	25	
23	THE CHAIR. Tonionow in any event, mank you.	23	taking all of the enquiries, so we were doing what was
	Page 89		Page 91
1	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, sir.	1	called a One Action. So there would be One Action on
1 2		1 2	called a One Action. So there would be One Action on the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by
	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, sir. (1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)		
2	(1.00 pm)	2	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by
2 3	(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)	2 3	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And
2 3 4	(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment) (2.13 pm)	2 3 4	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the
2 3 4 5 6	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence	2 3 4 5	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.
2 3 4 5	(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment) (2.13 pm) MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon,	2 3 4 5 6	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet. Q. And then it appears that using the One Action
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)</li> <li>(2.13 pm)</li> <li>MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.  A. That's correct, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)</li> <li>(2.13 pm)</li> <li>MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)</li> <li>(2.13 pm)</li> <li>MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)</li> <li>(2.13 pm)</li> <li>MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.  A. Yes.  Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)</li> <li>(2.13 pm)</li> <li>MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)</li> <li>(2.13 pm)</li> <li>MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided written permission to examine his Facebook, WhatsApp and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.  Q. And you helpfully identified that the management of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.  A. Yes.  Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided written permission to examine his Facebook, WhatsApp and email accounts. Just pausing there, was there any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.  Q. And you helpfully identified that the management of the spreadsheet was delegated to a DC Reid?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.  A. Yes.  Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided written permission to examine his Facebook, WhatsApp and email accounts. Just pausing there, was there any significance in terms of the written permission for him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.  Q. And you helpfully identified that the management of the spreadsheet was delegated to a DC Reid?  A. Correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.  A. Yes.  Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided written permission to examine his Facebook, WhatsApp and email accounts. Just pausing there, was there any significance in terms of the written permission for him to access those accounts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.  Q. And you helpfully identified that the management of the spreadsheet was delegated to a DC Reid?  A. Correct, yes.  Q. And you helpfully describe in your opinion, your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)</li> <li>(2.13 pm)</li> <li>MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided written permission to examine his Facebook, WhatsApp and email accounts. Just pausing there, was there any significance in terms of the written permission for him to access those accounts?</li> <li>A. Yes, obviously we would have to apply abroad for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.  Q. And you helpfully identified that the management of the spreadsheet was delegated to a DC Reid?  A. Correct, yes.  Q. And you helpfully describe in your opinion, your assessment of DC Reid as being a very experienced CT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.  A. Yes.  Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided written permission to examine his Facebook, WhatsApp and email accounts. Just pausing there, was there any significance in terms of the written permission for him to access those accounts?  A. Yes, obviously we would have to apply abroad for Facebook and WhatsApp, and certainly we wouldn't get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.  Q. And you helpfully identified that the management of the spreadsheet was delegated to a DC Reid?  A. Correct, yes.  Q. And you helpfully describe in your opinion, your assessment of DC Reid as being a very experienced CT officer and to that extent you were happy for him to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>(1.00 pm) (The luncheon adjournment)</li> <li>(2.13 pm)</li> <li>MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided written permission to examine his Facebook, WhatsApp and email accounts. Just pausing there, was there any significance in terms of the written permission for him to access those accounts?</li> <li>A. Yes, obviously we would have to apply abroad for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.  Q. And you helpfully identified that the management of the spreadsheet was delegated to a DC Reid?  A. Correct, yes.  Q. And you helpfully describe in your opinion, your assessment of DC Reid as being a very experienced CT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(1.00 pm)  (The luncheon adjournment)  (2.13 pm)  MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir, good afternoon, Mr Morris. I am going to continue with your evidence from paragraph 26, please.  A. Yes.  Q. Before the luncheon adjournment we dealt with the obtaining of the arrest warrant from the Magistrates Court, and in fact I think it is right that the search warrant was executed on 14 August 2014.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And we already know that at that time Syadul Hussain was living at Oswald Street in Rochdale.  A. Yes.  Q. And you tell us this in your witness statement, that Mr Hussain surrendered his mobile telephone, he provided written permission to examine his Facebook, WhatsApp and email accounts. Just pausing there, was there any significance in terms of the written permission for him to access those accounts?  A. Yes, obviously we would have to apply abroad for Facebook and WhatsApp, and certainly we wouldn't get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the HOLMES and then it would be run on spreadsheets by the detective sergeant who was running the investigation, who was the officer in the case. And then all of the actions would be listed on the spreadsheet.  Q. And then it appears that using the One Action spreadsheet approach may have had an impact later down the line in terms, perhaps, of the audit function that HOLMES offered. Was that of any significance that what then happened relating to the communication data not have been analysed before the operation was closed?  A. Yes, that's part of it, yeah.  Q. Thank you. But I think you tell us in your witness statement that a job of the size of Operation Prideling would always have been run using the spreadsheet rather than HOLMES?  A. Correct, yeah.  Q. And you helpfully identified that the management of the spreadsheet was delegated to a DC Reid?  A. Correct, yes.  Q. And you helpfully describe in your opinion, your assessment of DC Reid as being a very experienced CT officer and to that extent you were happy for him to

1	A. Yes, I was.	1	exhibit, and so is it right that it contained graphic
2	Q. And I think you have also, we can see that the	2	images on the phone of a number of appertaining to
3	spreadsheet essentially identifies actions in respect of	3	mindset material?
4	each of the devices we have identified, and by their	4	A. Yes it did.
5	exhibit reference.	5	Q. There were a number of images showing well known
6	A. Yes it does.	6	deceased Islamic extremist terror leaders, including
7	Q. Now, we will briefly touch upon it at that stage. You	7	Osama bin Laden, Anwar al-Awlaki and Abu Bakr
8	tell us in the witness statement that there is also on	8	Al-Baghdadi.
9	that spreadsheet almost an SIO review. Would you have	9	A. Yes it did.
10	personally reviewed the actions within the spreadsheet	10	Q. I think the imagery also showed extremist fighters and
11	for Operation Prideling?	11	ammunition in combat-type scenarios.
12	A. No, I wouldn't.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And why would you not review the actions and the	13	Q. There were graphic images of weapons, ISIS flags,
14	completion of them?	14	support for Jihad.
15	A. Generally it was left to the sergeant, and then I would	15	A. Yes.
16	just go on a verbal update from the sergeant, or the	16	Q. Support also for Gaza and the Palestinians,
17	case officer.	17	Anjem Choudary, Jihadi fighters, there was antisemitic
18	Q. Thank you, and so the sergeant, I think we identified	18	imagery and also various ISIS images and "join the
19	before lunch, being Sergeant Mangan?	19	Jihad" graphics.
20	A. Correct, yeah.	20	A. Yes there was.
21	Q. Thank you.	21	Q. And the report of DC Reid also reads as follows:
22	Now, I think with the investigation work that's been	22	"Although there is no evidence of TACT offences,
23	undertaken, you are able to identify when essentially	23	section 2 and section 58, there is plenty of material
24	the disks from the downloads of the various devices were	24	which gives cause for concern."
25	provided, and I think we get that from DC Read's case	25	Just pausing there, can you assist us as to the
	Page 93		Page 95
1	book, and perhaps of significance ICW/1 was received on	1	assessment at that time there was no evidence of any
2	18 August, and in fact we have the times and dates, and	2	TACT offences, and how you can arrive at that position
3	ultimately provided that same day in the afternoon to	3	before you have the analysis from communications data?
4	an analyst.	4	A. So that would have just been referring to the media that
5	A. That's correct, yes.	5	was on the phone, so that's put through a system we call
6	Q. Now, whilst we will come on to look at the fact that the	6	Retina which identifies any other offences which have
7	communications data on ICW/1 was I think, my summary	7	been previously charged and convicted at court.
8	if this is incorrect please correct me, Mr Morris	8	Q. Thank you. So does the imagery itself that has been
9	that essentially communication data wasn't harvested as	9	reviewed on ICW/1, has that gone through Retina as well
10	part of the tasks allocated to the data analyst; is that	10	to see if it reaches a TACT offence threshold?
11	correct?	11	A. Yes it will, all the images will have gone through
12	A. Sorry, could you	12	Retina, and then DC Reid will have individually looked
13	Q. So in terms of the ICW/1 being provided to an analyst	13	through them.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Thank you. And I think he goes on, DC Reid, within the
15	Q is it the position, as I understand it, correct that	15	report to classify the material he had reviewed as
16	in fact the analyst didn't interrogate and provide the	16	mindset material.
17	communications data having been tasked to do so?	17	A. Yes he did.
18	A. Yeah, that would appear to be the case, yes.	18	Q. And he goes on:
19	Q. But I think we do get, because there was review of the	19	"When this is combined with the known and reported
20	media on ICW/1, and I think you provide the summary of	20	intelligence that he had been influencing his 8-year old
21	that from DC Reid in your paragraph 35.	21	cousin in Hull previously, together with the material
22	A. Yes, that's correct.	22	uncovered from a nephew's mobile phone who lived with
23	Q. And so perhaps if we could just work through together	23	Hussain, the assessment was that Hussain clearly poses
24	what the review of the media on ICW/1 revealed. And we	24	a significant risk to himself and others in potentially
25	can see that in DC Read's report in respect of that	25	radicalising them."
23	can see that in De read s report in respect of that	23	ounding monit
	Page 94		Page 96
	1 age 74		1 1180 7 0

1	A. Yes.	1	crosses the threshold for section 1 or 2 TACT offences.
2	Q. And can I ask at that stage in terms of the objectives	2	A. That's correct, yes.
3	for Op Prideling we looked at before lunch, how as the	3	Q. And I think you equally can see from the spreadsheet
4	objectives and the investigation progressed do you	4	that other exhibits were examined at that time.
5	consider other individuals that Hussain may or may not	5	A. Yes.
6	have been radicalising?	6	Q. And then perhaps if we move together for the closing
7	A. Yes it will have been, yeah.	7	report, you are able to provide the Inquiry with the
8	Q. And then can I ask you just about that by reference to	8	relevant information that on 8 October 2014 DC Reid
9	Mohammed Kadir, who we know from the subsequent analysis	9	prepared the closing report for Operation Prideling, and
10	of the data, the communications data, was an individual	10	essentially identified that the operational objectives
11	that we know Mr Hussain was in regular contact with. To	11	had been met.
12	what extent would Mohammed Kadir have been considered	12	A. He did, yes.
13	back in 2014 in Op Prideling?	13	Q. And I think you have helpfully extracted all of the
14	A. He wasn't, because obviously we hadn't looked at the	14	summary about that closure report, including I think the
15	comms so we didn't know he was in touch with Kadir.	15	last aspect of the closure report that says:
16	Q. And can I ask that question, appreciating the	16	"A number of private email and social media account
17	communication data wasn't looked at, but certainly from	17	log in details have also been recovered. There is
18	Mohammed Syadul Hussain's Facebook page, he had	18	nothing relevant recovered from the"
19	identified Mohammed Kadir in his Facebook profile as his	19	Which you have inferred should have read "them".
20	brother, and so would that not have fallen within the	20	A. Yes.
21	objectives to understand the relationship with Mohammed	21	Q. Can I then ask you about a conclusion you yourself have
22	Kadir who he had identified as a brother?	22	reached in providing your witness statement to
23	A. Then potentially there should have been an intelligence	23	the Inquiry at paragraph 41. You say this:
24	submission regarding that, if it was not already in the	24	"I have reviewed the three appendices to the closure
25	system.	25	report. They contain images found on respectively IC
	Page 97		Page 99
			Ü
1	Q. Okay. Do you know whether that was done in respect of	1	W/1, 2 and 3. I agree with DC Reid that while the
2	Mohammed Kadir and Mohammed Syadul Hussain describing	2	images found on the devices are concerning, unpleasant
3	him as his brother on Facebook?	3	and offensive, they amount to mindset material and would
4	A. I can't remember whether I was aware of that at the	4	not have crossed the threshold for charging and
5	time. But there should have been an intelligence	5	prosecution."
6	submission if it wasn't already known. It may have been	6	Just pausing there
7	known that they were speaking to each or friends on	7	THE CHAIR: Where is this, by the way?
8	Facebook at that time.	8	MS CARTWRIGHT: I am in paragraph 41, sir.
9	Q. Thank you.	9	THE CHAIR: Oh yes, thank you.
10	Now, the conclusion of the report from DC Reid at	10	MS CARTWRIGHT: And I will complete the paragraph, because
11	that time included the fact that the communications data	11	then I want to ask you about other evidence the Inquiry
12	disk had been separately provided to the analyst, and	12	has received about Operation Prideling. Your paragraph
13	I think we see that directly within DC Read's report.	13	continues:
14	A. Yes we do.	14	"Without wishing to minimise this material, it was
15	Q. Thank you. And I think thereafter you helpfully provide	15	not unusual to find imagery of this kind on devices
16	the Inquiry with the update that on 27 August the	16	seized at this time. I do not believe I or my
17	spreadsheet was updated with essentially a summary	17	colleagues were blase about material of this kind, but
18	result that review of the media completed on the mobile	18	it reflects the high threshold applied by the
19	phone belonging to Syadul Hussain, essentially a summary	19	Crown Prosecution Service before a charge could be
20	of what we looked at a moment ago about the imagery,	20	authorised. I note that DC Reid used the Retina system
21	including:	21	for the purposes of his media review."
22	"The images were of a violent, extreme and Islamist	22	A. Yes.
23	in nature, also numerous images of martyrs, executions,	23	Q. And so can I just ask you, do you remain of that opinion
	executed, males/beheaded males"	24	in respect of the review of the imagery that you have
24			
24 25	But again an assessment that nothing was seen that	25	looked at, and the question of charge and TACT offences?

1	A. Yes.	1	a consideration of whether we should arrest before the
2	Q. I am going to ask you then, just so we have the complete	2	warrant, and I decided at that time it was more
3	picture, because we are going to next hear from the	3	proportionate to do a warrant. So you could ask eight
4	corporate witness, can I just take you, please, to the	4	different SIOs and they may all come to a different
5	statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Meeks and	5	decision. So it would have been a consideration had all
6	can I ask you to go behind tab 7. It is paragraph 236	6	of that material been there at the time.
7	I just want to ask about this to see if there is any	7	Q. And just for completeness, including consideration of
8	further clarification evidence you wish to give as to	8	referral to the CPS for a charging decision?
9	your perspective as the SIO for Op Prideling.	9	A. Yeah, obviously depending on what was said on interview,
10	So again, this isn't evidence yet, we will hear from	10	then that would make a big difference.
11	Officer Meeks in due course, but as to whether the	11	Q. Now, the Inquiry now have the extensive work that's been
12	objectives of Operation Prideling were met the statement	12	done by Greater Manchester Police in terms of what's on
13	says as follows:	13	ICW/1, and so the Inquiry have been provided with
14	"In my view they were not. The content of the	14	a comprehensive sequence of events that now identifies
15	communications data from ICW/1 should have been reviewed	15	all of the communications on IC W/1 that has fed into
16	in February 20134. Frank Morris have relied on his team	16	essentially a super sequence of events.
17	to complete the review of the digital evidence,	17	Now Mr Morris, and I mean no disrespect to you for
18	including the communications data and to report the	18	not taking you through that, but plainly that super
19	findings to him so he could make decisions on next	19	spreadsheet, the SoE, identifies a high level of
20	steps. The decision to close the investigation was	20	communication between Mohammed Kadir and Mohammed Syadul
21	taken without it being appreciated that the	21	Hussain that would have been available on ICW/1. Are we
22	communications data had not been reviewed, and to this	22	in agreement in respect to that?
23	extent the investigation was closed before the SIO	23	A. Yes we are.
24	objectives were met."	24	Q. Can I just finally ask you about the next aspect of of
25	Pausing there, do you agree with everything that's	25	paragraph 237 of Officer Meeks. It says:
	Page 101		Page 103
			707 - 11
1	recorded in paragraph 236?	1	"Given the indication that Kadir held extremist
2	A. I do, yeah.	2	views it would also have been sensible to consider
3	Q. But can I then pursue the point around charges and TACT	3	creating and submitting intelligence concerning Kadir,
4	offences, please, by reference to paragraph 237. That	4	and potentially for him to be considered for
5	reads:		
6		5	intelligence development. As to the outcome of any of
	"It is very difficult to try and say now what might	6	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to
7	have been done at the time had the communications data	6 7	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."
7 8		6	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is
· ·	have been done at the time had the communications data	6 7	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to
8	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on	6 7 8	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is
8	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be	6 7 8 9 10 11	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to
8 9 10	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/1 for intelligence purposes
8 9 10 11	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/1 for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.
8 9 10 11 12	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?
8 9 10 11 12 13	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/1 for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/1 for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment that in fact there could have been that Syadul	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go back to your witness statement, please,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment that in fact there could have been that Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/1 for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go back to your witness statement, please, and take up from paragraph 42, please. Now, I think you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment that in fact there could have been that Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go back to your witness statement, please, and take up from paragraph 42, please. Now, I think you are able to tell us that in respect of actions that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment that in fact there could have been that Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision arising out of the IC W/1 and all of the material?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go back to your witness statement, please, and take up from paragraph 42, please. Now, I think you are able to tell us that in respect of actions that followed Operation Prideling, that included Channel
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment that in fact there could have been that Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision arising out of the IC W/1 and all of the material?  A. Yes, certainly consideration could have been given.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go back to your witness statement, please, and take up from paragraph 42, please. Now, I think you are able to tell us that in respect of actions that followed Operation Prideling, that included Channel referrals for Mr Hussain and his nephew.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment that in fact there could have been that Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision arising out of the IC W/1 and all of the material?  A. Yes, certainly consideration could have been given. Yes, I would agree with that.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go back to your witness statement, please, and take up from paragraph 42, please. Now, I think you are able to tell us that in respect of actions that followed Operation Prideling, that included Channel referrals for Mr Hussain and his nephew.  A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment that in fact there could have been that Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision arising out of the IC W/1 and all of the material?  A. Yes, certainly consideration could have been given.  Yes, I would agree with that.  Q. So again, there is no difference or disconnect between	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go back to your witness statement, please, and take up from paragraph 42, please. Now, I think you are able to tell us that in respect of actions that followed Operation Prideling, that included Channel referrals for Mr Hussain and his nephew.  A. Yes.  Q. And at a very high level, can you just explain what the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have been done at the time had the communications data been reviewed in 2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the views of the CPS, Mohammed Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision."  Just pausing there, obviously we have dealt with your paragraph 41, and assessment of that. Do you have any additional evidence to give around an assessment that in fact there could have been that Syadul Hussain could have been arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to the CPS for a charging decision arising out of the IC W/1 and all of the material?  A. Yes, certainly consideration could have been given.  Yes, I would agree with that.  Q. So again, there is no difference or disconnect between what you are saying and what we see in paragraph 237?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these steps at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."  But can I ask you, do you dispute in any way what is being said by the corporate witness about the ability to refer matters from ICW/I for intelligence purposes linked to Mohammed Kadir had the communications data been properly analysed?  A. No.  Q. Or analysed at all?  A. I totally agree with Superintendent Meeks.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go back to your witness statement, please, and take up from paragraph 42, please. Now, I think you are able to tell us that in respect of actions that followed Operation Prideling, that included Channel referrals for Mr Hussain and his nephew.  A. Yes.  Q. And at a very high level, can you just explain what the Channel programme was and what a referral into that

1	A. That would have been Prevent initially and then that	1	Q. Thank you. And I think perhaps just to complete the
2	would have moved into Channel if they but that's all	2	position, your position, knowing what you now know, that
3	on a voluntary basis, so they would have been referred	3	the communications data wasn't analysed, I am at your
4	in to Prevent where they would have been given	4	paragraph 44, you say:
5	interventions. It is trying to stop people going down	5	"I accept that the communications data from
6	to extremism, down the path to extremism, without	6	Hussain's mobile telephone should have been reviewed and
7	criminalising people.	7	Operation Prideling should not have been closed before
8	Q. Thank you. And I think you were able to tell us that	8	that review had taken place."
9	the engagement of Mr Hussain was far from complete, in	9	Are you with me, Mr Morris?
10	accordance with that Channel referral; is that correct?	10	A. Yes.
11	A. I think that was the initial initially, during when	11	Q. Thank you.
12	he was first looked at.	12	A. Yeah, I agree it shouldn't have been closed until the
13	Q. So perhaps if I could just read paragraph 42, you say:	13	comms data had been reviewed.
14	"As to that I would say that although his engagement	14	Q. And I think you have already agreed, and I think you
15	was far from complete, he had deleted his Facebook	15	yourself in paragraph 49 confirm also that because that
16	account, although this was temporary. Ultimately,	16	analysis hadn't taken place, in essence the objectives
17	however, we assessed that Hussain had not done anything	17	of Operation Prideling were not fully met before that
18	criminal and had complied with officers' requests when	18	operation was closed.
19	the warrant was executed. In that situation our options	19	A. That's correct, yes.
20	were severely limited. In terms of disruption or other	20	Q. Can we then please move to paragraph 50, which is the
21	overt action, there was not a lot else we could do."	21	evidence relating to Mohammed Kadir, and I think the
22	A. Yes, I think my understanding is that the Facebook	22	Inquiry specifically asked a number of questions on
23	shutting down was the first time we spoke to him, so he	23	aspects of what can be seen on the SoE. Again, I don't
24	complied with the officer's request, and the second time	24	want to do you a discourtesy because plainly it is
25	when we did the warrant he was compliant, he obviously	25	a very detailed document in essentially cherry picking
	Page 105		Page 107
1	signed the permission for us to interrogate his	1	a couple of references within that SoE. I think you
2	Facebook, so he seemed to be cooperating with us.	2	were asked in particular to consider one of the postings
3	So yeah, that's why I thought it would be	3	that we see on the SoE, and can I just contextualise
4	a Prevent referral would be the best thing, because at	4	what tell us in paragraph 52, please.
5	that time there was no criminal charges that we could	5	And sir, again for your reference in terms of
6	do.	6	the document, it is row 185. And so you were asked
7	Q. Thank you. And can I then ask you in the context of	7	specifically, I think, for that entry on the SoE, and
8	I appreciate I am asking you things that didn't happen	8	perhaps if I just read the entry, which essentially
9	in 2014 because ICW/1 wasn't analysed, but you have now	9	gives some context before we get to the specifics. We
10	seen, I think, the product that was harvested by way of	10	can see that in April 2014 Mohammed Kadir and Syadul
11	communications in IC W/1	11	Hussain communicated on 74 occasions via WhatsApp, and
12	A. Yes.	12	then on the 23 April Kadir sent Hussain a link to the
13	Q as part preparation for first of all this witness	13	Tony Blair 10-point guide to fighting Islamic extremism,
14	statement and also in giving evidence. Can you assist	14	and Hussain replied:
15	us, if it was interrogated was it likely that Kadir	15	"This guy is a mother"
16	himself might have been referred into Prevent?	16	And then it is blacked out, but it is meant to be,
17	A. As Mr Meeks said, it would have been dependent on the	17	I think "motherfucker", and then we can see Kadir
18	intelligence, whether he was referred to Prevent or not.	18	replies:
19	Q. Again, in terms of a range of options of someone that	19	"He needs killing."
20	appears to be engaging with extremist material and	20	And I think the Inquiry asks specifically in
21	a potential to essentially persuade them, or	21	(inaudible) my request about that as an example of
22	deradicalise them, is that not something that falls	22	communications data that was available in ICW/1, and can
23	within a range of options?	23	I ask you generally in terms of that was one example
24	A. Sorry, yes, it would have been one of the options that	24	selected, and admittedly one of the more extreme
25	was available, yes.	25	examples, can I ask about your view about that entry,
	Page 106		Page 109
	Page 106		Page 108

1	please?	1	Q. Thank you. And then can we finally for my purposes just
2	A. Yes, obviously Mr Blair at that time wasn't a favourite	2	deal with your conclusions and reflections, please. You
3	of any Islamists, obviously with the war in Iraq and	3	tell us that you accept that Operation Prideling should
4	then being appointed the Middle East envoy. And there	4	not have been closed until Hussain's communications data
5	was no other context around it. I think there was a lot	5	had been analysed. In that respect, all of the
6	of English people who didn't like Tony Blair at that	6	operational objectives could be said sorry:
7	time, and called him a war criminal, so I don't think he	7	"I do not think all operational objectives could be
8	was very popular. And there was no context, it was just	8	said to have been met."
9	that they should kill him, there was no planning, there	9	A. Yes, I agree.
10	was it was just literally those couple of texts.	10	Q. And you say, in terms of reflections, you acknowledge
11	Q. Thank you.	11	that the investigation team should have chased up the
12	I am at your paragraph 53 now, Mr Morris, you say	12	communications data.
13	this:	13	A. Yes.
14	"[You] are now aware that the communications	14	Q. And you know from your experience before your retirement
15	date from ICW/1 shows that Mohammed Kadir was Hussain's	15	in 2021 that Operation Prideling would now be run on
16	second most frequent contact. This itself would not	16	a dedicated HOLMES account, and so there is now a more
17	have been particularly concerning in 2014."	17	robust audit process.
18	A. Yes, sorry.	18	A. Yes, that's absolutely correct.
19	Q. And then can I go back to what we looked at together at	19	Q. And I think you also give the chair relevant information
20	the outset as to some of the objectives.	20	about the significant changes that were occurring in
21	A. Yes.	21	2014 within CT policing, including the various IT
22	Q. Particularly the objective that was looking to identify	22	systems, but for my purposes I am not going to go into
23	associates that he had been in communication with.	23	those.
24	A. Yes.	24	Sir, you have those recorded at paragraph 57.
25	Q. And so again, is it highly likely that if ICW/1 had been	25	Mr Morris, is there any other relevant evidence that
	Page 109		Page 111
1	interrogated and the product considered in 2014, that	1	you wish to give in respect of Operation Prideling?
2	Mohammed Kadir would have featured in Operation	2	A. No, I don't think so.
3	Prideling to the extent of certainly intelligence	3	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. Sir, do you have any questions
4	development?	4	for Mr Morris?
5	A. Yes. Yes, if he wasn't already known, yeah, he would	5	THE CHAIR: No, thank you.
6	have definitely been.	6	MS CARTWRIGHT: Could I just turn to see if any of the other
7	Q. I am not going to go back to questions I have already	7	core participants have questions for Mr Morris?
8	asked you about Prevent or any other action that might	8	Questions from MR PAYNE
9	have followed.	9	MR PAYNE: Mr Morris, you were asked about the entry in
		10	relation to Tony Blair, and you mentioned at the time he
10	A. Yes.  Q. Can we then just look at paragraph 54, just to check	11	wasn't a very popular person with certain categories of
11			
12	there is no other relevant evidence you wish to give to	12	people.
13	the Inquiry based on your retrospect analysis of ICW/1.	13	A. Correct.  O. You dealt with this in your statement, and I am just
14	You say you were asked what would you have done if	15	Q. You dealt with this in your statement, and I am just
15	you had been aware of the exchanges between Hussain and		going to read out the paragraph and if you could just
16	Kadir, and you say based on your experience at the time	16	confirm whether it accurately reflects your evidence,
17	and since, you think it unlikely that overt action would	17	just to sort of develop a little bit on what you said.
18	have been taken in respect of Hussain or Kadir.	18	You say this:
19	However, you do think an intelligence report may have	19	"I note the reference to Tony Blair which appears at
20	been prepared which documented the fact of contact	20	lines 244 to 248 of the SoE. However this does not
21	between Hussain and Kadir, that some of their	21	stand out as being unusual from what we have been
22	communications were concerning and that they appeared to	22	reading at around that time. Superficially, this is
23	have a similar mindset.	23	a concerning comment. However, I do not consider the
24	A. Yes, so an intelligence referral and potential further	24	threat to have been significant. It appears to have
25	intelligence on Kadir.	25	been an off the cuff comment. There is nothing within
	Page 110		Page 112

		1	
1	or around the comment that suggests Hussain or Kadir	1	A. Okay.
2	would have acted to what they had written."	2	Q. Not least because they are your evidence to the Inquiry,
3	Does that really accurately summarise your	3	those 100 pages.
4	assessment of that aspect of the evidence?	4	A. Yes.
5	A. Yes it does.	5	Q. And the statements will be uploaded to the Inquiry's
6	MR PAYNE: Thank you, sir.	6	website for anyone to see.
7	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. Sir, that concludes the evidence	7	A. Yes.
8	of Mr Morris with our thanks.	8	Q. I am only going to ask you limited questions about
9	THE CHAIR: That's the end of your evidence, thank you.	9	a select number of topics.
10	A. Thank you.	10	A. Thank you.
11	(The witness withdrew)	11	Q. In terms of background, I think you currently hold the
12	MS CARTWRIGHT: I will hand back to my learned friend	12	rank of Detective Superintendent; is that right?
13	Mr Beer.	13	A. That's correct, yes.
14	MR BEER: Andrew Meeks, please.	14	Q. You have been a police officer for 29 years.
15	ANDREW MEEKS (sworn)	15	A. Yes.
16	THE CHAIR: We are not in court, as this is an Inquiry, and	16	Q. You became a detective in 1998 and have remained
17	you are most welcome to sit down.	17	a detective since 1998, undertaking a variety of
18	A. I think I will, thank you, sir.	18	investigative roles as you rose through the ranks.
19	Questions from MR BEER	19	A. That's correct, yes.
20	MR BEER: Good afternoon. My name is Jason Beer and I ask	20	Q. On 1 March 2011 you joined the North West CTU, NWCTU, is
21	questions on behalf of the Inquiry.	21	that right?
22	A. Good afternoon.	22	A. That's correct, yes.
23	Q. Can you give us your full name, please.	23	Q. Was that in the investigations division?
24	A. Andrew Meeks.	24	A. It was, yes.
25	Q. Thank you. I think you have made two witness	25	Q. And were you then a detective inspector, a DI?
	Page 113		Page 115
1	statements. Can we look at those please.	1	A. I was a DI. ves.
1 2	statements. Can we look at those please.  A. Yes.	1 2	A. I was a DI, yes.  O. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is
1 2 3	A. Yes.		Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is
2	A. Yes.  Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was	2	· •
2 3	A. Yes.	2 3	Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes.  Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?  A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.  Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?  A. I have, yes.  Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes.  Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?  A. Excuse me. (Pause) Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.  Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?  A. I have, yes.  Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?  A. They are, yes.  Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> <li>A. It does, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's when I assumed responsibility for the investigation, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> <li>A. It does, yes.</li> <li>Q. And are the contents of that witness statement true to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's when I assumed responsibility for the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> <li>A. It does, yes.</li> <li>Q. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's when I assumed responsibility for the investigation, yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think as we will explore in summary terms in a moment, the investigation that occurred between the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> <li>A. It does, yes.</li> <li>Q. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's when I assumed responsibility for the investigation, yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think as we will explore in summary terms in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> <li>A. It does, yes.</li> <li>Q. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's when I assumed responsibility for the investigation, yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think as we will explore in summary terms in a moment, the investigation that occurred between the 18 February, date of murder, and 1 March, 2016, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> <li>A. It does, yes.</li> <li>Q. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Now, I am not going to ask you questions about the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's when I assumed responsibility for the investigation, yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think as we will explore in summary terms in a moment, the investigation that occurred between the 18 February, date of murder, and 1 March, 2016, you taking over as the CTU investigator</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> <li>A. It does, yes.</li> <li>Q. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Now, I am not going to ask you questions about the issues raised in all 100 pages in total of your two witness statements.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's when I assumed responsibility for the investigation, yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think as we will explore in summary terms in a moment, the investigation that occurred between the 18 February, date of murder, and 1 March, 2016, you taking over as the CTU investigator</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q was undertaken by Greater Manchester Police's major</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The first, which is 97 pages long, and I think was signed yesterday, 23 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Excuse me.  (Pause)  Yes, I am on it now, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy that bears your signature?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a second witness statement which is three pages long.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the third page of that witness statement bear your signature?</li> <li>A. It does, yes.</li> <li>Q. And are the contents of that witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. They are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Now, I am not going to ask you questions about the issues raised in all 100 pages in total of your two</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And I think you have remained in the CTU since then; is that right?</li> <li>A. I have, yes.</li> <li>Q. In February 2016 you were still a detective inspector in investigations in NWCTU; is that right?</li> <li>A. I was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Ie at the time of Mr Uddin's murder.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think it was in that capacity, DI in investigations, in NWCTU, that you became the senior investigating officer for Operation Cangle; is that right?</li> <li>A. I did, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which was the North West CTU investigation into Mr Uddin's murder, which commenced on 1 March, 2016; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's when I assumed responsibility for the investigation, yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think as we will explore in summary terms in a moment, the investigation that occurred between the 18 February, date of murder, and 1 March, 2016, you taking over as the CTU investigator</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>

1 investigation team; is that right?   1				
3 Q. So a new-CTU investigator. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And dat was led by DCT Terrence Crompton; is that right? 6 right? 7 A. That's right, yes. 8 Q. Ibank you. I think you have held the role of head of investigations, which is in the CTU, since May 2022; is that first current, yes. 9 Q. Ibank you. I think you have held the role of head of investigations, which is in the CTU, since May 2022; is that if we can imagine a jigsaw puzzle, you are providing fils statement at the point of the individuals involved and their circumstances.* 8 So you are essentially swing there, do I have this right? 10 A. That's correct, yes. 11 A. That's right, yes. 12 Q. And I think that's the function you perform now; is that right? 13 Q. And you are essentially swing: please bear in mind for others, your colleagues, essentially, flut they were responsible for collecting the pieces or he pigsaw and putting them together and they didn't have a complete picture? 13 Yes, I am, ye. 14 Q. Thank you. Anoth the CTU is now known as Counter Terrorism Potchia, West, yeah, that's the correct lifty, yes. 15 Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the beas on which you make you wincess statement, an we look please at your first your wincess statement, an we look please at your first your please that may be a defined to the page 117  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah. 2 Q. Yes, and in paragnaphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the histoure, to boar in mind?  2 A. Yes, that's correct. 3 Page 117  1 A. Yes, I have the bearing of the likely notice for the didn'th was a rund with the proper in the providing files in the concerned of the providing files in the concerned of the providing files and provided provided in the minder of Mr. Uddin's murder of Mr. Uddin's murder of Mr. Vest others, your and paragnaphs 18 and 19 do you identify the read of the providing files and provided files and provided files and provided	1	investigation team; is that right?	1	"It is important to emphasise that in considering
4 A. Ves.  Q. And that was led by DCI Teremee Crompton: is that in right?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. Thank you it fains you have held the role of head of investigations, which is in the CTU, since May 2022: is that right?  10 that right correct, yes.  11 Q. And think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  12 Q. And think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  13 A. That's right, yes.  14 A. That's right, yes.  15 Q. Head of investigations.  16 A. Ves, I ana yes.  17 Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter 18 Terrorism North West enther than NWCTU; is that's they 20 Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter 21 Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter 22 your witness statement, can we look please at your first 23 winness statement, are we look please at your first 24 A. Yes.  25 Q. Do you have that?  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah.  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah.  2 Q. Yes, and in paragaphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 2 essentially a series of cavests that you ask the reader, 4 and now the listener, to hear in mind?  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah.  2 Q. Yes, and in paragaphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 4 essentially a series of cavests that you ask the reader, 4 and now the listener, to hear in mind?  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah.  1 If norder to prepare the statement a significant 4 and now the listener, to hear in mind?  2 A. Yes, that's correct.  3 A. Yes, that's correct.  4 A. Yes, that's correct.  4 C. Yes, usay that:  1 In order to prepare the statement a significant 4 and now the listener, to hear in mind?  2 A. Yes, that's correct.  3 A. Yes, that's correct.  4 A. Yes, that's correct.  5 A. Yes, that's correct.  6 Q. You say that:  1 In order to prepare the statement a significant 5 around to work has taken place to identify material 6 that's likely to be of relevance to the loquiry, by 6 recamining the reviews that have already taken place. 11 and revisiting the materials to prevent in the materials to prevent in the material to the material to prevent in the material	2	A. That's correct, yes.	2	issues such as the relevance of information or evidence
s quantity and a much broader and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's marter and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's much and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's much and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's much and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's much and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's much and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's mich and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely in hear to hear the mich and a much broader and complete understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's mich and inclinate and an and a much broader and complete in heart like and inclinate and an another like place and an another likely sou. And the CTU, since May 2022; is that right in the place and an another likely sou. And the CTU, since May 2022; is that right in the place and an another likely sou. And the CTU, since May 2022; is an understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's much and an understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's much and an understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's much and und	3	Q. So a non-CTU investigator.	3	(1) I have the benefit of knowing the identities of
marker and a much broader and complete understanding of the individuals involved and their ricumstances.**  No you are coestablely sughtle, do I have this investigations, which is in the CTU, since May 2022; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. And you are imagine at jigsaw puzzle, you are providing this statement at the point at which all of the pieces are known?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. And you are resemably agging please bear in mind for others, your colleagues, essentially, that they were responsible for collecting the pieces of the jigsaw and putting them together and they didn't have a complete picture?  A. Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the correct title, yes.  Q. Thankyou. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make your witness statement, an we look please at your first your witness statement, and we look please at your first work was too when the part of the pieces of the jigsaw and putting them together and they didn't have a complete picture?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have that?  A. Yes.  A. Yes, thave, yeah.  Q. You say that:  A. Yes, thave, yeah.  A. Yes, that's correct.  A. Yes, that's correct.  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. You say that:  "In order to prepare the statement a significant and ervisiting the muterials to precious the following the most of the pieces and putting the most of the pieces of the liquity. By reasonable. This has been undertaken and one who is listence, in fact you are very balanced.  Yes, that's correct.  A. Yes, that's correct on individuals other than Kaif	4	A. Yes.	4	those involved in the murder of Mr Uddin,
The first right, yes.  Q. Thank you. I think you have held the role of head of investigations, which is in the CTU, since May 2022; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And I think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. Head of investigations.  A. Yes, I am, yes.  Q. Head of investigations.  A. Yes, I am, yes.  Q. Head of investigations.  A. Yes, I am, yes.  Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the coverect title, yes.  Q. O. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the coverect title, yes.  Q. O. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make you witness statement, can we look please at your first you make you witness statement, can we look please are your first you witness statement, can we look please are your first you witness statement, can we look please are your first you witness statement, can we look please are your first you witness statement, can we look please are your first you make you witness statement, can we look please are your first you witness statement, can we look please are your first you make you witness statement, can we look please are your first you make you witness statement, can we look please are your first you witness statement, can we look please are your first you witness statement, can we look please are your first you make you witness statement as paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  Q. Do you have that?  Page 117  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah.  Q. Yes, and in prangraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us so sessentially a series of carveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Yes, and in prangraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us so sessentially a series of carveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to be or in mind?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Yes, shal's correct.  Q. You say that:  Q. You say that:  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. You say that:  Q. You say	5	Q. And that was led by DCI Terrence Crompton; is that	5	an understanding of the likely motive for Mr Uddin's
So you are essentially saying there, do I have this in westigations, which is in the CTU, since May 2022; is the dut-right?  A. That's correct, yes.  O. And I think that's a fair analogy, yes.  I think that's a fair analogy, yes.  I think that's a fair analogy, yes.  O. And I think that's a fair analogy, yes.  I think that's a fair analogy, yes.  O. Head of investigations.  A. Yes, I am, yes.  O. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter  Terrorism North West enther than NWCTU; is that right?  A. Counter Terrorism Politing North West, yeah, that's the correct title, yes.  O. Thank you. Now, in terms of the beais on which you make your wincess statement, can we look please at your first  winters statement, an we look please at your first  O. Do you have that?  A. Yes.  O. Do you have that?  A. Yes.  O. Do you have that?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  O. Wes, and in paragraphs I8 and 19 do you identify for us cosentially a series of eavests that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind?  A. Yes, that's correct.  O. You say that:  A. Yes, that's correct.  O. O. O. You say that:  A. Yes, that's correct.  O. You say that	6	right?	6	murder and a much broader and complete understanding of
mirestigations, which is in the CTU, since May 2022; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. And think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. Head of investigations.  15 Q. Head of investigations.  16 A. Yes, Lam, yes.  17 Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter  18 Terrorism North West ruther than NWCTU; is that right?  P. A. Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the correct title, yes.  Q. O. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make you with you make you with you make you with you you you with you with you with you with you with you with you you you with you with you with you with you you you with you with you you you with you you you you with you	7	A. That's right, yes.	7	the individuals involved and their circumstances."
minestigations, which is in the CTU, since May 2022; is that right?  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. And think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. Head of investigations.  A. Yes, Lam, yes.  Q. Head of investigations.  Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right?  Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter  Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right?  Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make correct title, yes.  Q. O'Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make you winces statement, are paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have that?  A. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us cosentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and row the listener, to bear in mind?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. You say that:  "In order to prepure the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that shilely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by read-industry that and they the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the material that became available in the course of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation is to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the police investigation in to the matter of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Sydull Hussain."  A. I think that's the fair that they use the proper of the	8	Q. Thank you. I think you have held the role of head of	8	So you are essentially saying there, do I have this
that right?  10 And think that's fine function you perform now; is that right?  20 And think that's fine function you perform now; is that right?  31 right?  42 A. That's right, yes.  43 Q. And you are essentially saying: please bear in mind for others, your colleagues, essentially, shut they were others, your colleagues, essentially, shut they were others, your colleagues, essentially, that they were others, your colleagues, essentially, shut they were others, your colleagues, essentially, that they were others, your colleagues, essentially, shut they were others, your correct of the part of the your shut they were others, your correct of the your statement at the point in the matterial that because a value in the your shut they are defended in part of the	9		9	
A. That's correct, yes.  1. Q. And think that's the function you perform now; is that 1 gight?  1. A. That's right, yes.  1. Q. Head of investigations.  1. A. Ves, I am, yes.  1. Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right?  1. A. Ves, I am, yes.  1. Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right?  2. A. Owe, in terms of the basis on which you make correct title, yes.  2. Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make you witness statement, and panagraph 18, which is on page 6.  2. A. Yes.  2. Q. Do you have that?  2. A. Yes.  2. Q. Ves, and in panagraph 18, which is on page 6.  2. A. Yes.  2. Q. Ves, and in panagraph 18 and 19 do you identify for us sessentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind?  2. A. Yes, that's correct.  3. A. Yes, that's correct.  4. A and now the listener, to bear in mind?  5. A. Yes, that's correct.  5. A. Yes, that's correct.  6. Q. You say that:  7. In order to prepare the statement a significant and now the order of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by recreaming the reviews that have already taken place, own provisiting the materials to present it as a related that a with the docksing the issues are assentially as possible. This has been undertaken have been flocused on individuals other than Kadir.  4. A. Wes, that's correct.  5. A. Yes, that's correct.  6. Q. You say that:  7. Q. You say that:  8. A. Yes, that's correct.  9. You want that in particular in relation to Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir.  9. A. Yes, that's correct.  10. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mrt Uddin were primating focused on individuals other than Kadir.  10. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mrt Uddin were primating focused on	10		10	
12 Q. And I think that's the function you perform now; is that right?  A. That's right, yes.  Q. Head of investigations.  16 A. Yes, I am, yes.  17 Q. Thanky you. And the CTU is now known as Counter Terrorism Poth West rather than NWCTU; is that right?  18 A. Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the counter Terrorism Position (Sony In the CTU is now known as Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the counter Terrorism Policing North West power with the power of the pairs		-		
13 right?  14 A. That's right, yes. 15 Q. Head of investigations. 16 A. Yes, I am, yes. 17 Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter 18 Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right? 19 A. Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the 20 correct title, yes. 21 Q. Thank, you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make 22 your witness statement, can we look please at your first 23 witness statement, an pangraph 18, which is on page 6. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you have that? 26 Q. Yes, and in pangraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 26 essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, 27 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 28 amount of work has taken place to identify material 29 that's likely to be of relevance to the liquiry, by 21 creaming the reviews of material and evidence which 23 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 24 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 25 A. Yes, that's correct. 26 Q. You say that: 27 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 28 amount of work has taken place to identify material 29 that's likely to be of relevance to the liquiry, by 30 recasmining the reviews that have a complete picture? 31 policie investigation in to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than 32 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken lave weal that's likely to be of relevance to identify material 3a over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the policie investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain. 3a Padeling were focused on individuals other than fadir. 3b A. Oh, day. 3c A. Do, day. 3c A. Oh, day. 3c A. That's early right, yes. 4c Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, 1 think:		•		
others, your colleagues, essentially, that they were responsible for collecting the pieces of the jigsaw and putting them together and they didn't have a complete picture?  A Yes, I am, yes.  O Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right?  A Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the correct file, yes.  O Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make your witness statement, an we look please at your first your witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A Yes.  O Do you have that?  A Yes, I have, yeah.  O Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind?  A Yes, that's correct.  O You say that:  "In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by a declicated team of individuals with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A Nes.  O Hough you with that, yes.  O Hough you load that, is likely to be of relevance to the finquiry. By a declinated team of individuals without CPI North West.  A Nes.  D Hough you load the yeight with that yes.  O Hough you load the with that yes.  O Hough you load and what you have read.  A Nes.  D Hough you load they didn't have a complete picture?  In relation to your second with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A Nes.  O Hough you load at the prices of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Huss		· ·		
15 Q. Head of investigations. 16 A. Yes, I am, yes. 17 Q. Thanky pou. And the CTU is now known as Counter 18 Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right? 19 A. Counter Terrorism Politing North West, yeah, that's the 20 correct tifte, yes. 21 Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make 22 your witness statement, can we look please at your first 23 witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you have that? 26 Q. Yes, and in paragraph 18 and 19 do you identify for us 27 and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 28 essentially a scries of caveats that you ask the reader, 29 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 20 A. Yes, that's correct. 31 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 42 A. Yes, that's correct. 43 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 44 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 45 A. Yes, that's correct. 46 Q. You say that: 47 "In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the laquiry, by a reasoniming the reviews that have already laken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken have been of detection was on somebody other than Kadir. 4 A. A Ses, that's correct. 5 A. A Wes, that's correct. 6 Q. You say that: 6 A. Yes, that's correct. 7 Q. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at that's likely to be of relevance to the laquiry, by a comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken have comprehensively as				
16 A. Yes, I am, yes. 17 Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter 18 Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right? 19 A. Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the 20 correct title, yes. 21 Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make 22 your wincess statement, an we look please at your first 23 witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you have that? 26 Q. Yos, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 27 essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, 28 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 29 A. Yes, that's correct. 30 A. Yes, that's correct. 41 might be relevant to Kadir, whereas at the material 42 fl think that's meant to say 'time'] operations such 43 a resembly flow or the murder of Mr Uddin were 44 primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir. 45 A. Yes, that's correct. 46 Q. You say that: 47 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 48 amount of work has taken place to identify material 49 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 40 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 41 and revisiting the materials to present it as 41 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 42 over many months with a view to addressing the susue 43 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 44 material that became available in the course of the 45 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 46 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 47 the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 48 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 49 Hussin." 40 A. I would agree with that, yes. 41 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 41 arise from 18, I think: 41 correct title correct to all of the 42 province the material that became available in the course of the 43 province the material that became available in the course of the 44 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 45 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeed				
17 Q. Thank you. And the CTU is now known as Counter 18 Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right? 29 A. Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the 20 correct title, yes. 21 Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make 22 your witness statement, can we look please at your first 23 witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you have that? 26 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 27 essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, 28 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 29 A. Yes, that's correct. 30 Q. You say that: 4 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 5 A. Yes, that's correct. 4 Q. You say that: 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 8 amount of work has taken place to identify material 9 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 10 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 11 and revisiting the materials to present it as 12 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 13 by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West 14 over many months with a view to addressing the issues 15 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 16 material that became available in the course of the 17 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 18 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 19 Hussain." 20 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. Panagraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 22 arise from 18, I think: 21 The further reviews of material and evidence which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which 22 In think that's meant to say time? operations such as a Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir. 3 A. Yes, that's correct. 4 A. Yes, that's correct. 5 Q. You are saying although we might be looking mainly at Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other		-		
Terrorism North West rather than NWCTU; is that right?  A. Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the correct title, yes.  Q. Chank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make your witness statement, can we look please at your first winess statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make your witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement at paragraph 19, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Zhank you witness statement, and evidence which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been undertaken have been to say 'time') operations such as Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir.  A. Yes, that's correct.  Zhank you witness paragraph 19, which is material that became a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material and witness prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir.  A. Yes, that's correct.  Zhank you witness statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at				
A. Counter Terrorism Policing North West, yeah, that's the correct title, yes.  21 Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make your witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  22 your winess statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  23 A. Yes.  24 A. Yes.  25 Q. Do you have that?  26 Do you have that?  27 Page 117  28 Page 117  29 Page 117  20 A. Yes, I have, yeah.  20 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind?  29 A. Yes, that's correct.  30 Q. Yosay, good. And you say in the second part:  4 "The further reviews of material and evidence which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been focused on individuals other than Kadir, whereas at the material [It think that's meant to say 'time'] operations such as Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir.  4 A. Yes, that's correct.  5 Q. You say that:  6 Q. You say that:  7 (In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by recxamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as uornerchemistry by a possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues over many months with a view to addressing the issues by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues over many months with a view to addressing the issues over many months with a view to addressing the issues over many months with a view to addressing the issues over many months with a view to addressing the issues over many months with a view to addressin		•		•
20 correct title, yes. 21 Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make 22 your witness statement, can we look please at your first 23 witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you have that? 26 Q. Do you have that? 27 Page 117  1 A. Yes, 1 have, yeah. 28 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 29 essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, 4 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 4 A. Yes, that's correct. 5 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 8 amount of work has taken place to identify material 9 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 10 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 11 and reviews that have already taken place, 12 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 13 by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West 14 over many months with a view to addressing the issues 15 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 16 material that became available in the course of the 17 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 18 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 19 Hussain." 20 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 22 are fair summary? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay, good. And you say in the second part: 24 "The further reviews of material and evidence which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been focused on matters at the material [I think that's meant to say 'time'] operations such as Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir, and the subsequent prof.  A. Yes, that's correct.  C. So you are saying although we might be booking mainly at Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in parti				
21 Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the basis on which you make 22 your witness statement, can we look please at your first 23 witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you have that? 26 Page 117  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah. 2 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 2 essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, 4 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 4 A. Yes, that's correct. 5 Q. You say that: 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 8 amount of work has taken place to identify material 9 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 10 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 11 and revisiting the materials to present it as 12 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 13 by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West 14 over many months with a view to addressing the issues 15 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 16 material that became available in the course of the 17 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 18 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 19 Hussain." 20 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not 22 going to get on if you don't! 23 A. Oh, okay. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 25 arise from 18, I think: 25 (A. Yes. 26 (Q. Okay, good. And you say in the second part: 26 (A. Yes. 27 (A. Yes. 28 (Q. Okay, good. And you say in the second part: 29 (A. Yes. 20 (A. Yes. 21 (I think that's meant to Kadir, whereas at the material 22 (I lithink that's meant to say 'time'] operations such 23 (I lithink that's meant to say 'time'] operations such 24 (R. Yes, that's meant to say 'time'] operations such 25 (I lithink that's meant to say 'time'] operations such 26 (R. Yes, that's meant to say 'time'] operations such 27 (I lithink that's meant to say 'time'] operations und individuals other than Kadir." 28 (A. Yes, that's correct. 39 (A. Yes, that				
your witness statement, can we look please at your first witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  A. Yes.  Do you have that?  Page 117  A. Yes, I have, yeah.  Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. You say that:  "In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  22 A. Yes.  A. Yes, ther turber reviews of material and evidence which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which have been undertaken have been focused on matters which that that material that became at the material of the material that became at a significant and revisiting the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Individuals within CTP North West over many morths with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Indi				
witness statement, at paragraph 18, which is on page 6.  24 A. Yes.  25 Q. Do you have that?  Page 117  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah. 26 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind? 27 A. Yes, that's correct. 28 G. Okay, good. And you say in the second part: 29 Page 119  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah. 20 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind? 29 A. Yes, that's correct. 30 Q. You say that: 40 White is the paragraph 18, which is on page 6. 41 A. Yes, I have, yeah. 42 If think that's meant to say 'time'] operations such as Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir. 43 A. Yes, that's correct. 44 amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material to present it as anount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material as amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material as a mount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material amount of work has taken place to identify material as prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir.  4 A. Yes, that's correct.  5 A. Yes, that's correct.  5 A. Yes, that's correct.  6 Q. You say sing although we might be looking mainly at Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was o				
24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you have that?  Page 117  Page 119  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah. 2 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us sessentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind? 4 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the material to proper the statement and that have been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals other than a service of individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir.  6 A. Yes, that's correct. 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  20 A. Iwould agree with that, yes. 21 Q. Ihope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't! 22 going to get on if you don't! 23 A. Oh, okay. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18,1 think: 25 Think you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And				
Page 117  A. Yes, I have, yeah.  Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. You say that:  "In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issue raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes. Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18,1 think:  Page 119  I might be relevant to Kadir, whereas at the material [I think that's meant to say 'time] operations such as Prideling were focused on individuals other than the material for the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir.  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to somebody ot				
Page 117  1 A. Yes, I have, yeah. 2 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, 4 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 5 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant a mount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain." 10 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't! 22 A. Oh, okay. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  1 In might be relevant to Kadir, whereas at the material at the material to say 'time'] operations such as Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir." 4 Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir." 5 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 A. Yes, that's correct. 7 Q. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on some visiting the materials to present it as somebody other than Kadir.  1 and revisiting the materials to present it as Somebody other than Kadir.  2 A. Absolutely, yes. 1 In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  2 A. Yes. 2 Q. You make the witness statement on the				
1 A. Yes, I have, yeah. 2 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 3 essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, 4 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 5 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 8 amount of work has taken place to identify material 9 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 10 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 11 and revisiting the materials to present it as 12 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 13 by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West 14 over many months with a view to addressing the issues 15 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 16 material that became available in the course of the 17 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 18 the subsequent prosecutions of Sycedy and Syadul 19 Hussain." 10 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not 22 going to get on if you don't! 23 A. Oh, okay. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 2 arise from 18, I think: 2 might be relevant to Kadir, whereas at the material 2 [I think that's meant to say 'time'] operations such as Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primari	25	Q. Do you have that?	25	have been undertaken have been focused on matters which
Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, and now the listener, to bear in mind?  A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that: "In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir."  A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right. Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement? A. Yes. Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read. A. That's exactly right, yes. Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And		Page 117		Page 119
2 Q. Yes, and in paragraphs 18 and 19 do you identify for us 3 essentially a series of caveats that you ask the reader, 4 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 5 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 8 amount of work has taken place to identify material 9 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 10 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 11 and revisiting the materials to present it as 12 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 13 by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West 14 over many months with a view to addressing the issues 15 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 16 material that became available in the course of the 17 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 18 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 19 Hussain." 20 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not 22 going to get on if you don't! 23 A. Oh, okay. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 25 arise from 18,1 think: 29 Intended that have a manually as prideling were focused on individuals other than 29 Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were 20 primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir." 20 A. Yes, that's correct. 21 Q. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at 22 Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, 23 A. Absolutely, yes. 24 Q. Okay, thank you. 25 In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on 26 and revisiting the materials to present it as 27 (D. Okay, thank you. 28 In relation to your second witness statement, no 29 need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on 29 which it is made, is it right that you had no 29 involvement in the matters to which it speaks? 20 A. That's right. 21 Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement? 22 A. Oth, okay. 23 A. That's exactly right, yes. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 25 arise from 18,1 think:	1	A. Yes. I have, yeah.	1	might be relevant to Kadir, whereas at the material
as Prideling were focused on individuals other than  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. You say that:  "In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by the recxamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes. Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  3 as Prideling were focused on individuals other than Kadir, and years prior to the murder of Mr Uddin were primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir.  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes. Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes. Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And				_
4 and now the listener, to bear in mind? 5 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 8 amount of work has taken place to identify material 9 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 10 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 11 and revisiting the materials to present it as 12 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 13 by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West 14 over many months with a view to addressing the issues 15 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 16 material that became available in the course of the 17 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 18 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 19 Hussain." 19 Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement? 20 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not 22 going to get on if you don't! 22 have been told and what you have read. 23 A. Oh, okay. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 25 arise from 18, I think: 25 investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And				
5 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 8 amount of work has taken place to identify material 9 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 10 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 11 and revisiting the materials to present it as 12 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 13 by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West 14 over many months with a view to addressing the issues 15 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 16 material that became available in the course of the 17 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 18 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 19 Hussain." 19 Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement? 20 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 22 arise from 18, I think: 25 primarily focused on individuals other than Kadir.  A. Yes, that's correct.  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That				_
6 Q. You say that: 7 "In order to prepare the statement a significant 8 amount of work has taken place to identify material 9 that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by 10 reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, 11 and revisiting the materials to present it as 12 comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken 13 by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West 14 over many months with a view to addressing the issues 15 raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the 16 material that became available in the course of the 17 police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and 18 the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul 19 Hussain." 10 A. I would agree with that, yes. 21 Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not 22 going to get on if you don't! 23 A. Oh, okay. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 25 arise from 18, I think: 26 A. Yes, that's correct. 7 Q. So you are saying although we might be looking mainly at Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. 13 Q. Okay, thank you. 14 In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks? 18 A. That's right. 19 Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read. 22 A. That's exactly right, yes. 23 A. That's exactly right, yes. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 25 arise from 18, I think: 26 A. That's exactly right, yes. 27 A. That's exactly right, yes. 28 Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And				
"In order to prepare the statement a significant amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that amount of work has taken place to identify material  Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  The comprehensively as possible.  This has been undertaken  10  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  17  18  18  18  19  19  10  10  11  11  11  12  12  13  14  14  15  16  17  17  18  18  18  18  19  19  19  10  10  11  11  11  12  12  13  14  15  16  17  17  18  18  18  18  19  19  19  19  19  10  10  11  11  11				•
amount of work has taken place to identify material that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  A. Kadir in this statement, in fact you are very balanced, you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on sembody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And				*
that's likely to be of relevance to the Inquiry, by reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  9 you look at everyone, bear in mind that in particular in relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you. In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Okay, thank you.  Q. Okay, thank you.  A. That's right. Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement? A. Yes. Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read. A. That's exactly right, yes. Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	,		· ·	
reexamining the reviews that have already taken place, and revisiting the materials to present it as  comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you poing to get on if you don't!  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  10  relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to Prideline the focus of attention was on somebody other than Kadir.  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to pour second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And				
and revisiting the materials to present it as  comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken  by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West  over many months with a view to addressing the issues  raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the  material that became available in the course of the  police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and  the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul  Hussain."  A. Absolutely, yes.  12  A. Absolutely, yes.  13  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  21  22  23  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  24  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And				
comprehensively as possible. This has been undertaken by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  A. Absolutely, yes.  Q. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And				
by a dedicated team of individuals within CTP North West over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  D. Okay, thank you.  In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And		•		•
over many months with a view to addressing the issues raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  14 In relation to your second witness statement, no need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  20 A. Yes.  21 Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  23 A. That's exactly right, yes.  24 Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And		• •		****
raised by the Inquiry and by reference to all of the material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  need to turn it up at the moment, as to the basis on which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And		-		
material that became available in the course of the police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  16 which it is made, is it right that you had no involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	14			•
police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  17 involvement in the matters to which it speaks?  A. That's right.  19 Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  20 A. Yes.  21 Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have been told and what you have read.  22 A. That's exactly right, yes.  23 A. That's exactly right, yes.  24 Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	15			
the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul Hussain."  A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  A. That's right.  Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  A. Yes.  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	16	material that became available in the course of the	16	which it is made, is it right that you had no
Hussain."  19 Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?  20 A. I would agree with that, yes.  21 Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not  22 going to get on if you don't!  23 A. Oh, okay.  24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that  25 arise from 18, I think:  20 A. Yes.  21 Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you  22 have been told and what you have read.  23 A. That's exactly right, yes.  24 Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of  25 investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And		police investigation in to the murder of Jalal Uddin and		involvement in the matters to which it speaks?
A. I would agree with that, yes.  Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  20 A. Yes. 21 Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have read. 22 A. That's exactly right, yes. 24 Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	18	the subsequent prosecutions of Syeedy and Syadul	18	A. That's right.
Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not going to get on if you don't!  A. Oh, okay.  Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that arise from 18, I think:  Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you have read.  A. That's exactly right, yes.  Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	19	Hussain."	19	Q. And so that's direct or indirect involvement?
22 going to get on if you don't! 23 A. Oh, okay. 24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 25 arise from 18, I think: 22 have been told and what you have read. 23 A. That's exactly right, yes. 24 Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	20	A. I would agree with that, yes.	20	A. Yes.
A. Oh, okay.  23 A. That's exactly right, yes.  24 Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that  25 arise from 18, I think:  28 A. That's exactly right, yes.  29 Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	21	Q. I hope so, because it is your statement. We are not	21	Q. You make the witness statement on the basis of what you
Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that 24 Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of 25 arise from 18, I think: 26 investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	22	going to get on if you don't!	22	have been told and what you have read.
25 arise from 18, I think: 25 investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And	23	A. Oh, okay.	23	A. That's exactly right, yes.
	24	Q. Paragraph 19, these are essentially the caveats that	24	Q. Thank you. Can we look at the sequence of
Page 118 Page 120	25	arise from 18, I think:	25	investigations, please, by going back to page 2. And
Page 118 Page 120		D 440		D 120
				120 co 1 / 11

1	you kindly at page 2, and on to page 3, set out a table	1	A. Yes.
2	which has sorry, are you there?	2	Q and found some important material upon it.
3	A. Apologies, I am there now, yeah.	3	A. Yes, that's correct.
4	Q. Which has five investigations in five boxes on it.	4	Q. Yes?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And so number 1 is Prideling, number 2 is Swan, number 3	6	Q. Okay. And then separately not listed in this five is
7	is Cangle, number 4 is Departer, and number 5 is	7	NWCTU's involvement in events leading up to Mr Uddin's
8	Maroquin.	8	death, and that's addressed exclusively by your second
9	A. Yes.	9	witness statement.
10	Q. And you speak in the subsequent parts of the witness	10	A. That's correct, yes.
11	statement to some of those operations.	11	Q. And as you say, you had no involvement in that either.
12	A. Mm-hm.	12	A. No involvement, no.
13	Q. Three of which you are listed as the SIO for, the last	13	Q. And you are essentially giving evidence as a corporate
14	three: Cangle, Departer and Maroquin.	14	witness, so-called, which in the lexicon of these
15	A. Yes.	15	proceedings means somebody who has been briefed, who has
16	Q. I think working backwards, Maroquin is the name given to	16	read material, or has been shown material.
17	GMP's response to the inquest into Mr Uddin's death and	17	A. Yes.
18	now the Inquiry into his death.	18	Q. And then Operations Swan and Cangle, they are the
19	A. Yes.	19	response to the murder of Mr Uddin; is that right?
20	Q. And so we can put that, I think, to one side for the	20	A. Yes, that's correct.
21	moment.	21	Q. And as we have said, the first one, Terrence Crompton,
22	A. Mm-hm.	22	DCI, was the SIO of, which lasted 13 days?
23	Q. And Operation Departer was a disclosure exercise for the	23	A. Yeah, yeah.
24	purposes of the prosecution of Syadul Hussain; is that	24	Q. I think 14 days, maybe?
25	right?	25	A. Yeah, about that.
23	right:	23	A. Itan, about that.
	Page 121		Page 123
1	A It was it was a little hit wider than that it was the	1	O It was a lean year actually
1	A. It was, it was a little bit wider than that, it was the	1 2	Q. It was a leap year, actually.
2	investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in	2	A. Yes, that's right, 29th.
2 3	investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.	2 3	A. Yes, that's right, 29th. Q. The 29th, yes.
2 3 4	investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.  Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual	2 3 4	A. Yes, that's right, 29th. Q. The 29th, yes. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li><li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li><li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li><li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li><li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.</li> <li>Now, the first in time was, of the other three</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> <li>A. Mm-hm.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. But when you became SIO of Operation Cangle your team</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> <li>A. Mm-hm.</li> <li>Q. And then if we go forwards, please, quite a bit to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. But when you became SIO of Operation Cangle your team reviewed material that had been obtained in Operation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> <li>A. Mm-hm.</li> <li>Q. And then if we go forwards, please, quite a bit to page 88. This is where you pick up your dealing with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. But when you became SIO of Operation Cangle your team reviewed material that had been obtained in Operation Prideling.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> <li>A. Mm-hm.</li> <li>Q. And then if we go forwards, please, quite a bit to page 88. This is where you pick up your dealing with Op Prideling.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. But when you became SIO of Operation Cangle your team reviewed material that had been obtained in Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> <li>A. Mm-hm.</li> <li>Q. And then if we go forwards, please, quite a bit to page 88. This is where you pick up your dealing with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. But when you became SIO of Operation Cangle your team reviewed material that had been obtained in Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Which had not been reviewed in the course of Operation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> <li>A. Mm-hm.</li> <li>Q. And then if we go forwards, please, quite a bit to page 88. This is where you pick up your dealing with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. You say "it was commenced in February '14 following</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. But when you became SIO of Operation Cangle your team reviewed material that had been obtained in Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> <li>A. Mm-hm.</li> <li>Q. And then if we go forwards, please, quite a bit to page 88. This is where you pick up your dealing with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>investigation of Mohammed Syadul Hussain and his role in assisting an offender in relation to Kadir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't just disclosure, it was the actual investigation?</li> <li>A. It was the investigation of him, yes.</li> <li>Q. But it is principally about assisting an offender?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly that.</li> <li>Q. Okay, thank you. I think we can probably put that to one side</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q for the moment.  Now, the first in time was, of the other three operations, was Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I think in relation to that, am I right in thinking that you had no involvement in it whatsoever at the time that it was happening?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. But when you became SIO of Operation Cangle your team reviewed material that had been obtained in Operation Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Which had not been reviewed in the course of Operation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's right, 29th.</li> <li>Q. The 29th, yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you took over on 1 March.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can we deal with them in chronological order, please, starting with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You speak to this from paragraph 11, which is at the foot of page 3. And you say:  "Op Prideling relates to a 2014 counter-terrorism investigation into Mohammed Syadul Hussain. Material and media devices seized during Prideling was considered and reviewed during the Jalal Uddin murder investigation."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As we have just discussed.</li> <li>A. Mm-hm.</li> <li>Q. And then if we go forwards, please, quite a bit to page 88. This is where you pick up your dealing with Op Prideling.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. You say "it was commenced in February '14 following</li> </ul>

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

1	That's a Met police's counter terrorism unit.	1	that no persons had been charged.
2	A. Yes.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. " internet referral unit report detailing the	3	Q. Yes, so you are just rehearsing here at the moment what
4	Facebook activities of Syadul Hussain."	4	you have read what has been shown to you.
5	A. Yes.	5	A. That's correct, yes.
6	Q. "An intelligence report was created on 11 February 2014	6	Q. You say in 224 the investigative strand of Op Prideling
7	by Humberside police, and then passed on to North West.	7	was considered complete in 2014 and the reason for
8	This said that Syadul Hussain had visited family members	8	closure was investigative strand of this enquiry is now
9	in Hull in November '13 and whilst there had spoken to	9	complete. There are no TACT/criminal offences. And
10	his eight year old nephew [who you call nephew 1] about	10	a recommendation instead that Syadul Hussain and
11	the Taliban in Syria, Afghanistan and Iraq. He had	11	nephew 2 be engaged by Channel officers with a view to
12	spoken about it in school and said that he, the nephew,	12	turning them away from extreme Islam.
13	had wanted to join the Taliban. The nephew had also	13	A. Yes.
14	stated that his uncle, ie Syadul Hussain, had shown him	14	Q. And in 225 you say following the murder of
15	YouTube footage of the Taliban."	15	Jalal Uddin so we are moving forward essentially 18
16	A. Yes.	16	months now to February 2016 "the priority was to
17	Q. Yes?	17	establish who was responsible for the murder and who
18	A. Yes.	18	assisting them."
19	Q. "The Facebook account associated to Syadul Hussain	19	Material from IC1 that was not reviewed in 2014 was
20	revealed his support for proscribed organisations and	20	reviewed in 2016, and some of that material was used in
21	his extremist views."	21	the later trial of Mohammed Syeedy.
22	A. Yes.	22	• •
23	Q. Incidentally, just on that line there, "Revealed his	23	Firstly, can you help us as to how it was picked up
			that there existed in Op Prideling material that was
24	support for proscribed organisations", can you recall	24	relevant to the investigation of the murder of
25	what that was?	25	Jalal Uddin?
	Page 125		Page 127
	A TOTAL AND A SECOND TO A SECO	١.	
1	A. That would have been support for ISIL, Islamic State.	1	A. Yes, because Syadul Hussain was considered significant
2	Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom	2	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited
2	Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?	2 3	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li><li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was</li></ul>	2 3 4	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then;</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from whom we have just heard, and a warrant was executed at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."  As you explain below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from whom we have just heard, and a warrant was executed at an address in Oswald Street, Rochdale. In the closing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."  As you explain below.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from whom we have just heard, and a warrant was executed at an address in Oswald Street, Rochdale. In the closing report prepared by DC Reid, which we have heard about,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."  As you explain below.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you then essentially say we can be satisfied
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from whom we have just heard, and a warrant was executed at an address in Oswald Street, Rochdale. In the closing report prepared by DC Reid, which we have heard about, the reporting officer recorded that the operational</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."  As you explain below.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you then essentially say we can be satisfied that all of the material that you then summarise had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from whom we have just heard, and a warrant was executed at an address in Oswald Street, Rochdale. In the closing report prepared by DC Reid, which we have heard about, the reporting officer recorded that the operational objective was to identify any TACT offences in the case,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."  As you explain below.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you then essentially say we can be satisfied that all of the material that you then summarise had existed up until the date of 14 August 2014
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from whom we have just heard, and a warrant was executed at an address in Oswald Street, Rochdale. In the closing report prepared by DC Reid, which we have heard about, the reporting officer recorded that the operational objective was to identify any TACT offences in the case, specifically section 2 of TACT 2006 and section 59 of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."  As you explain below.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you then essentially say we can be satisfied that all of the material that you then summarise had existed up until the date of 14 August 2014  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Was then, in 2014, supporting in the United Kingdom a proscribed organisation an offence?</li> <li>A. Inviting support for a proscribed organisation was an offence. Expressing support wasn't an offence then; it is now.</li> <li>Q. There was an amendment to TACT, wasn't there?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes, there was.</li> <li>Q. In?</li> <li>A. 2019.</li> <li>Q. 2019, thank you. You say that:  "In February '14 as a result of this intelligence North West launched an overt investigation relating to the activities of Syadul Hussain and that was called Operation Prideling."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It was led from your former colleague Mr Morris, from whom we have just heard, and a warrant was executed at an address in Oswald Street, Rochdale. In the closing report prepared by DC Reid, which we have heard about, the reporting officer recorded that the operational objective was to identify any TACT offences in the case, specifically section 2 of TACT 2006 and section 59 of TACT 2000. And that downloads and phones and computers</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in the investigation of the murder, and so we revisited all known information that we had on record in relation to Syadul Hussain. The previous investigation from 2014 was looked at again and during that process it emerged that the communications disk hadn't been examined by the analyst in 2014. So that communications disk was subsequently examined in 2016 and that's when additional data and information was found.  Q. Thank you. And you tell us over the page on page 90, if you hadn't turned the page already, in 227 that you "set out below a short summary of the material contained on the device".  A. Yes.  Q. And in line 4:  "The material found on the communications disk for ICW/1 was not reviewed until 2016."  As you explain below.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you then essentially say we can be satisfied that all of the material that you then summarise had existed up until the date of 14 August 2014  A. Yes.  Q because that was the date on which the phone was

1 2	A. That's correct.	1	A. No, there isn't. There is another system, so once
	Q. Thank you. So it is not as if more material has been	2	a digital device is extracted, that extract is run
3	added or anything like that.	3	through a computer software system called Nitra, and
4	A. No.	4	that system will identify if that file has been seen in
5	Q. Okay. Then you kindly summarise in paragraph 228 what	5	other counter terrorism investigations, and whether or
6	was shown from ICW/1 and I think that heading, "Media	6	not it has been charged, or whether it's been used as
7	files obtained from Retina review of ICW/1 in 2014",	7	supporting evidence, for example mindset.
8	does that mean that this was the material that the	8	Q. Thank you. Page 91. In 2016, the further Retina review
9	investigation did uncover?	9	identified some items that were considered relevant to
10	A. This was the electronic files which were recovered in	10	the Cangle investigation, and there are four that you
11	2014, yes.	11	mention, or four classes that you mention. Some
12	Q. Okay. You say that the media content on ICW/1 is	12	anti-taweez images.
13	indicative of the user having an extremist mindset with	13	A. Yes.
14	most of the mindset material being linked to ISIS and	14	Q. That's not really identifying any failure by Mr Morris'
15	terrorism.	15	team back in 2014, is it?
16	A. Yes.	16	A. Not at all.
17	Q. And that the review in 2014 identified material such as	17	Q. Because taweez was relevant in 2016
18	images of known Islamic extremist terrorists and	18	A. Yes.
19	leaders, including Bin Laden, al-Awlaki and Al Baghdadi,	19	Q whereas it may not have been relevant that Syadul
20	images of extremist fighters with weapons and ammunition	20	Hussain possessed images of taweez
21	in combat scenes, images in relation to ISIS and Jihad,	21	A. Absolutely.
22	images of weapons and support for Jihad, and support for	22	Q back in 2014; correct?
23	Gaza and Palestinians, images relating to antisemitic,	23	A. Yes, correct.
24	anti-western or pro-Palestinian, Gaza and Syria,	24	Q. An image of Mohammed Kadir. Again, does the same apply
25	lectures by al-Awlaki, images in relation to Osama bin	25	to that?
	D 120		D 424
	Page 129		Page 131
1	Laden.	1	A. Yes, the significance wouldn't have been appreciated in
2	A. Yes.	2	2014, obviously more relevant to the murder
3	Q. So that was the extent of what was discovered in 2014,	3	investigation.
4	and that's why you call it mindset material; is that	4	Q. The link between them may have been important.
5	right?	5	A. Yes, true.
6	A. Yes, that's correct.	6	
7			Q. Given some other content
	Q. 229 over the page at page 91, you tell us that on	7	A. Yes.
8	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full	7 8	A. Yes. Q that was recovered.
8	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full	7 8 9	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q that was recovered.</li><li>A. True.</li></ul>
8 9 10	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?	7 8 9 10	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q that was recovered.</li><li>A. True.</li><li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li></ul>
8 9 10 11	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital	7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q that was recovered. A. True. Q. Even back in 2014? A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?</li> <li>A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?</li> <li>A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?</li> <li>A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development,</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they mark it as "not relevant", "partially relevant", or	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. — that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development, therefore, a review of the communications data which</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they mark it as "not relevant", "partially relevant", or "relevant". If it is marked as relevant it will then	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development, therefore, a review of the communications data which happened in 2016 that hadn't happened in 2014?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they mark it as "not relevant", "partially relevant", or "relevant". If it is marked as relevant it will then get examined further by the officer in the case to see	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development, therefore, a review of the communications data which happened in 2016 that hadn't happened in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they mark it as "not relevant", "partially relevant", or "relevant". If it is marked as relevant it will then get examined further by the officer in the case to see if it is potential evidence that could be used in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development, therefore, a review of the communications data which happened in 2016 that hadn't happened in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And do you describe that in your paragraph 230 onwards?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they mark it as "not relevant", "partially relevant", or "relevant". If it is marked as relevant it will then get examined further by the officer in the case to see if it is potential evidence that could be used in a future prosecution. That's it in summary.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development, therefore, a review of the communications data which happened in 2016 that hadn't happened in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And do you describe that in your paragraph 230 onwards?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they mark it as "not relevant", "partially relevant", or "relevant". If it is marked as relevant it will then get examined further by the officer in the case to see if it is potential evidence that could be used in a future prosecution. That's it in summary.  Q. Thank you. Is there any classification on Retina of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. — that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development, therefore, a review of the communications data which happened in 2016 that hadn't happened in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And do you describe that in your paragraph 230 onwards?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us what happened in summary in 2014: an analyst</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they mark it as "not relevant", "partially relevant", or "relevant". If it is marked as relevant it will then get examined further by the officer in the case to see if it is potential evidence that could be used in a future prosecution. That's it in summary.  Q. Thank you. Is there any classification on Retina of images or videos or content that has previously been	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development, therefore, a review of the communications data which happened in 2016 that hadn't happened in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And do you describe that in your paragraph 230 onwards?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us what happened in summary in 2014: an analyst had been provided with a copy of the comms data disk for</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a Retina review I don't think we have heard a full description of what Retina is. Could you give us a full description of what Retina is?  A. I am no expert, but my understanding is a digital investigation unit will examine a mobile device. For example, they will extract the digital content. They will then load that digital content on to a server and that server then allows investigators to view the material on a standalone screen, and identify any potential offences. So they have a system where they mark it as "not relevant", "partially relevant", or "relevant". If it is marked as relevant it will then get examined further by the officer in the case to see if it is potential evidence that could be used in a future prosecution. That's it in summary.  Q. Thank you. Is there any classification on Retina of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. — that was recovered.</li> <li>A. True.</li> <li>Q. Even back in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then 3, images of Mohammed Syadul Hussain brandishing black and white flags and images in relation to ISIS or terrorism warfare. Are they simply more of the same kind of mindset material?</li> <li>A. More the same, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And then was the significant development, therefore, a review of the communications data which happened in 2016 that hadn't happened in 2014?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And do you describe that in your paragraph 230 onwards?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us what happened in summary in 2014: an analyst</li> </ul>

1	A. Yes.	1	been done at the time had the data been reviewed in
2	Q for them from the mobile telephone.	2	2014. It is possible that depending on factors such as
3	A. Yes.	3	the extent to which matters could be evidenced and the
4	Q. And had been asked to report in relation to it	4	views of the CPS, Syadul Hussain could have been
5	A. Yes.	5	arrested for terrorism offences and evidence referred to
6	Q but she had failed to do so.	6	the CPS for a charging decision."
_	A. Yes.	7	In relation to the conditional part of that
7		8	•
8	Q. And that had not been picked up in the course of	9	sentence, which says "depending on factors such as the extent to which matters could be evidenced", what did
9	Operation Prideling.		·
10	A. That's correct, yes.	10	you have in mind there?
11	Q. But it was picked up by your team.	11	A. So
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. The seizure of the phone, the housing of it, the
13	Q. And I think you in fact had two analysts look at the	13	possession and ownership of it, I think was evidentially
14	material; is that right?	14	sound.
15	A. Yes, we did.	15	A. Yes, I would agree with that. So for example, Syadul
16	Q. You give us a full account of it in your appendix 5	16	Hussain posted within some of the WhatsApp groups links
17	A. Yes.	17	to videos which showed footage of Islamic State
18	Q which I am not going to go into.	18	fighters, et cetera, and I think there was one video in
19	A. Mm-hm.	19	particular which was kind of a recruitment video trying
20	Q. But you tell us in your paragraph 231(i) to (iii)	20	to encourage individuals to travel to Syria.
21	a summary of it, and in your paragraph 232; is that	21	However, he posted links to those videos. Sometimes
22	right?	22	on investigations we found that those links were no
23	A. Yes, that's right.	23	longer active and we were therefore not able to
24	Q. And in fact 233.	24	demonstrate to an evidential standard that the link
25	A. Yes.	25	portrayed an exact video that we could then show to the
	Page 133		Page 135
1 1	O. Can we go, please, to paragraph 242. My mistake, 236.	1	CPS and say that the individual has shared this
1 2	Q. Can we go, please, to paragraph 242. My mistake. 236.	1 2	CPS and say that the individual has shared this
2	A. Yes.	2	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes
2 3	A. Yes.  Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the	2 3	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have
2 3 4	A. Yes.  Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in	2 3 4	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and
2 3 4 5	A. Yes.  Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the	2 3 4 5	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes.  Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed	2 3 4 5 6	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes.  Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."	2 3 4 5 6 7	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes.  Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "The decision to close was taken without it being</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held extremist views it would have been sensible to consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "The decision to close was taken without it being</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held extremist views it would have been sensible to consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "The decision to close was taken without it being appreciated that the data had not been reviewed, and to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held extremist views it would have been sensible to consider creating and submitting intelligence concerning Kadir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "The decision to close was taken without it being appreciated that the data had not been reviewed, and to that extent the SIO's objectives had not been met."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held extremist views it would have been sensible to consider creating and submitting intelligence concerning Kadir and potentially for him to be considered for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "The decision to close was taken without it being appreciated that the data had not been reviewed, and to that extent the SIO's objectives had not been met."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held extremist views it would have been sensible to consider creating and submitting intelligence concerning Kadir and potentially for him to be considered for intelligence development. As to the outcome of any of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "The decision to close was taken without it being appreciated that the data had not been reviewed, and to that extent the SIO's objectives had not been met."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you say:     "It is very difficult to say now what might have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held extremist views it would have been sensible to consider creating and submitting intelligence concerning Kadir and potentially for him to be considered for intelligence development. As to the outcome of any of these steps, at this stage it is simply speculation as to what might have happened."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that you "have been asked to reflect whether the objectives of Op Prideling were met," and you say, "in my view they were not the contents of the communications data from IC/1 should have been reviewed in 2014."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you are saying that, is this right, not just as a reflection by one senior investigator on the conduct of another investigator's operation, but by reference to the operational objectives that were set by that previous investigation?</li> <li>A. That's correct</li> <li>Q. Is that right?</li> <li>A yes.</li> <li>Q. You say that Mr Morris would have relied on his team to complete the review.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "The decision to close was taken without it being appreciated that the data had not been reviewed, and to that extent the SIO's objectives had not been met."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Then you say:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	particular video; they have shared a link but sometimes those links were no longer available. So it would have been conditional upon those links being effective and depicting the video that the individual was trying to share with the wider group, if that makes sense.  Q. Would that have been necessary before you arrested him?  A. No.  Q. And so looking back at it, do you think if the material that your team found in 2016 had been discovered in 2014, at least an arrest would have occurred?  A. With the benefit of hindsight I would say yes.  Q. But then the further stage, taking to the CPS charging decision, taking to the CPS for a charging decision, more variables are introduced such as the need properly to evidence in the way that you have just described.  A. That's correct, yes.  Q. And then you say:  "Secondly, given the indication that Kadir held extremist views it would have been sensible to consider creating and submitting intelligence concerning Kadir and potentially for him to be considered for intelligence development. As to the outcome of any of these steps, at this stage it is simply speculation as

1	A. Yes.	1	the CT Holmes system.
2	Q. You are pointing out there that if there had been	2	Q. And so you have to make a judgment at the beginning, is
3	an examination, a proper examination of the	3	this going to go down an executive action route or not?
4	communications data of ICW/1 in 2014, a sensible	4	And if it is unlikely to, you use the One Action
5	investigative step would have been to create	5	process, and if it is you use CT HOLMES?
6	an intelligence package concerning Mohammed Kadir, given	6	A. Not strictly the case. Some of our investigations very
7	what was revealed on the content.	7	much sit on the intelligence side only, in which case we
8	A. That's correct, yes.	8	would use a separate IT platform to manage those
9	Q. And you say "submitted" within the CTU.	9	investigations. If it is an investigation where there
10	A. Yes, submitted for further intelligence development.	10	is likely to be some form of overt activity, then it
11	Q. Ie potentially taken up as a project or as	11	would be created as a Holmes account, not always going
12	an investigation.	12	to executive action, there might be some investigations
13	A. Yes.	13	which don't actually progress that far, but nonetheless
14	Q. Thank you. Sir, I am about to turn to another topic, we	14	kind of overt investigation work is carried out.
15	are about halfway through the afternoon.	15	Q. And what's the thinking behind carving those cases out
16	THE CHAIR: Yes, shall we take a break.	16	as needing CT Holmes to be used?
17	MR BEER: Can we take a break there, please.	17	A. Because CT Holmes allows more effective action
18	THE CHAIR: Yes. Quarter of an hour? Or ten minutes.	18	management. So if as an SIO I wish tasks to be carried
19	Quarter of an hour, I think.	19	out as part of that investigation, the CT Holmes account
20	MR BEER: Yes, a quarter of an hour. Thank you, sir.	20	allows that to be done, it allows actions to be raised
21	I think there is a good chance we will finish the	21	and then when an officer has completed a particular line
22	officer today.	22	of enquiry, then they can write up a report in terms of
23	THE CHAIR: Oh.	23	that action being completed.
24	(3.15 pm)	24	And then there are checks and balances within that
25	(A short break)	25	system as well, so when that action is submitted it will
	,		
	Page 137		Page 139
,	(2.20)	1	
1	(3.30 pm)	1	be checked by a reader or receiver in the major incident
2	MR BEER: Thank you, sir.	2	room, and then there are other gate keepers as well, so
3	THE CHAIR: Sorry to keep you waiting.	3	there will be an office manager who will sign off that
4	MR BEER: Mr Meeks, we were just looking at the end of the	4	officer's report as being complete once it has been
5	paragraphs of your statement that concern Op Prideling.	5	through the reader receiver progress. If the reader
6	A. Yes.	6	receiver identifies that that action hasn't in fact been
7	Q. And if we turn up page 95, please, on paragraphs 238 to	7	completed then they will send it back to the reporting
8	242 you deal with things that have changed	8	officer and say
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Re-referred?
10	Q since 2014. And the fact that the One Action	10	A. Yes, you need to do additional work on this task, it is
11	process used to manage information in Op Prideling in	11	not complete. So there are a number of checks and
12	2014 is no longer used for CT investigations of	12	balances in the Holmes system. It also allows more
13	a similar nature, and then you say "that involve	13	effective case preparation, preparing cases for trial,
14	executive action". What does that qualifier mean, and	14	and it also allows a more effective disclosure process
15	how do you know whether an investigation involves	15	to make sure our obligations under CPIA are met.
16	executive action at the start?	16	Q. So essentially what you are saying is in relation to
17	A. So, for example, as Frank Morris explained earlier,	17	Operation Prideling it would have been managed today on
18	I think when he did his research around Syadul Hussain,	18	CT Holmes.
19	he formed the impression quite quickly that the	19	A. Yes, that's correct.
20	investigation was going to move to executive action,	20	Q. That CT Holmes contains a series of measures within it
21	which means that he was going to request that a search	21	that act as an audit function and a control function
22	warrant be obtained and a search warrant executed at the	22	over whether actions are completed, and if an officer
23	subject's home address. So if an investigation is	23	says that an action is completed, that isn't necessarily
24	likely to move to that arrest and search operation, then	24	taken at face value.
25	it would likely be created as a standalone account on	25	A. Exactly that, yeah, there are checks and balances, there
		1	
	Page 138		Page 140

1	is a governance process in place, yes.	1	investigating officer that was identified for Mohammed
2	Q. Everything that you say in 238 to 242, does that	2	Kadir was, for reasons outside of both his control and
3	essentially concern lessons learned or changes made	3	that of NWCTU, not placed in a position to discharge
4	following or arising from Operation Prideling? I am not	4	their duties as a senior investigating officer before
5	saying they were made because of it.	5	the murder of Mr Uddin on the 18 February, 2016.
6	A. Okay.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. But they relate to the issue that went wrong in	7	Q. Now, just on that, I think that's your view, your
8	Operation Prideling.	8	assessment, your opinion, of the closed evidence; is
9	A. I would agree with that. We had a very high volume of	9	that right?
10	investigations in 2014 due to the amount of individuals	10	A. That's correct, yes.
11	who were travelling to Syria, and that's where this	11	Q. You will appreciate that that is a matter for the
12	concept of an umbrella account was created with	12	chairman to determine, having regard to the evidence
13	One Action per investigation, created as a way of	13	that he has heard.
14	managing investigations, but subsequently found not to	14	A. I understand that, yes.
15	be the most appropriate way to deal with counter	15	Q. Thank you.
16	terrorism investigations.	16	You tell us that again for reasons that were
17	Q. And so these changes don't arise from Operations Swan,	17	explored during the closed hearing, which you cannot
18	Cangle or the NWCTU involvement in events in the run-up	18	reveal because of the restriction order, at no point in
19	to Mr Uddin's murder.	19	time before Mr Uddin's murder was the North West Counter
20	A. No, I think the process has evolved nationally. I mean	20	Terrorism Unit in a position to take positive
21	we are part of a national counter terrorism network, so	21	preventative steps in relation to Mohammed Kadir.
22	similar processes are adopted across the country.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Thank you. Can we then turn to the second topic, then,	23	Q. Again, I think the same qualifier applies to that;
24	which is NWCTU involvement in the events in the months	24	that's your opinion, but you would accept, I think, that
25	before Mr Uddin's death. So this is your second witness	25	will be a matter for the chairman to determine having
23	before the education and this is your second writtens	23	win so a matter for the chairman to determine having
	Page 141		Page 143
1	statement.	1	regard to all of the evidence that he has heard.
1 2	statement. A. Yes.	2	regard to all of the evidence that he has heard.  A. I accept that, ves.
	A. Yes.		A. I accept that, yes.
2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction</li></ul>	2	
2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were</li></ul>	2 3	A. I accept that, yes.  Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this</li></ul>	2 3 4	A. I accept that, yes.  Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes.  Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes.  Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes.  Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You understand, is this right, that on 10 December 2015</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us on the same day, that's 22 January, 2016,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You understand, is this right, that on 10 December 2015 NWCTU received a request to appoint a senior</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us on the same day, that's 22 January, 2016, and thereafter, twice in February 2016, the North East</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You understand, is this right, that on 10 December 2015 NWCTU received a request to appoint a senior investigating officer in relation to Mohammed Kadir?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us on the same day, that's 22 January, 2016, and thereafter, twice in February 2016, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit provided intelligence about Kadir</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You understand, is this right, that on 10 December 2015 NWCTU received a request to appoint a senior investigating officer in relation to Mohammed Kadir?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us on the same day, that's 22 January, 2016, and thereafter, twice in February 2016, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit provided intelligence about Kadir to the North West Counter Terrorism Unit, which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You understand, is this right, that on 10 December 2015 NWCTU received a request to appoint a senior investigating officer in relation to Mohammed Kadir?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that for reasons that we explored more fully</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us on the same day, that's 22 January, 2016, and thereafter, twice in February 2016, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit provided intelligence about Kadir to the North West Counter Terrorism Unit, which intelligence you cannot describe here, because of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You understand, is this right, that on 10 December 2015 NWCTU received a request to appoint a senior investigating officer in relation to Mohammed Kadir?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that for reasons that we explored more fully in the closed hearing, and which you can't tell us about</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us on the same day, that's 22 January, 2016, and thereafter, twice in February 2016, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit provided intelligence about Kadir to the North West Counter Terrorism Unit, which intelligence you cannot describe here, because of the restriction order.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You understand, is this right, that on 10 December 2015 NWCTU received a request to appoint a senior investigating officer in relation to Mohammed Kadir?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that for reasons that we explored more fully</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us on the same day, that's 22 January, 2016, and thereafter, twice in February 2016, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit provided intelligence about Kadir to the North West Counter Terrorism Unit, which intelligence you cannot describe here, because of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us in paragraph 2 that because of a restriction order made by the chair on the 31 July 2024, you were unable to provide a full account of events in this witness statement. Your evidence in the open proceedings could only properly be understood in conjunction with the extensive closed evidence that the chair has received.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you, I think, agreed at the beginning, this statement has been made on the basis of review of materials rather than direct and personal knowledge about any of them.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that you understand that on the 15 October 2015 Mohammed Kadir was identified as a person of potentially high risk and concern.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You understand, is this right, that on 10 December 2015 NWCTU received a request to appoint a senior investigating officer in relation to Mohammed Kadir?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us that for reasons that we explored more fully in the closed hearing, and which you can't tell us about</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I accept that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter NECTU, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit, continued to obtain evidence on Mohammed Kadir.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On 22 January, is this right, 2016, the North Eastern Counter Terrorism Unit informed the counter terrorism units of several regions, including your own, that they, NECTU, had a capacity issue arising from the number of individuals in respect of whom they were obtaining intelligence?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it right that they, NECTU, requested assistance from the counter terrorism units in dealing with individuals located in the respective areas of each counter terrorism unit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You tell us on the same day, that's 22 January, 2016, and thereafter, twice in February 2016, the North East Counter Terrorism Unit provided intelligence about Kadir to the North West Counter Terrorism Unit, which intelligence you cannot describe here, because of the restriction order.</li> </ul>

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

1	Q. Is it your understanding that NECTU's request was	1	paragraph 40. You describe that:
2	subsequently discussed at a meeting on 4 February 2016,	2	"At 9.25 on 19 February 2016, DCI Crompton took over
3	attended by each of the relevant CTUs, and that at that	3	responsibility for the investigation into the death of
4	meeting the North West agreed in principle, subject to	4	Jalal Uddin, being appointed the SIO at that time."
5	certain steps being taken, to provide support in	5	A. Yes.
6	relation to Kadir?	6	Q. And from that paragraph onwards, you address the setting
7	A. Yes.	7	up of Operation Swan and the actions taken by
8	Q. And again, for reasons that were explored during the	8	Operation Swan, including the thinking of DCI Crompton
9	closed hearing, and which, because of the restriction	9	as revealed by his policy book.
10	order, you cannot explain here, your view that for	10	A. That's correct, yes.
11	reasons outside the control of both the North West and	11	Q. And that takes us right up to paragraph 44 on page 12,
12	the North East Counter Terrorism units, the North West	12	if you can turn up, please.
13	was not placed in a position thereafter to provide the	13	A. Yes.
14	requested support before Mr Uddin's murder.	14	Q. In paragraph 45 you refer to CCTV footage having been
15	A. That's correct, yes.	15	recovered from a mosque.
16	Q. And does the qualifier that we have discussed, and	16	A. Yes.
17	I think you agreed with, applied earlier sorry, the	17	Q. Which revealed a male fitting the description of
18	qualifier that we discussed earlier, apply equally to	18	Jalal Uddin walking along South Street towards the park
19	this, namely that that's a judgment for the chairman to	19	at 8.41 on 18 February.
20	reach; this is your view?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. Yes, absolutely.	21	Q. At paragraph 46 you describe that the CCTV shows that
22	Q. Thank you. You tell us that thereafter, that's after	22	a minute later another male is also seen walking along
23	the 4 February 2016, the North East Counter Terrorism	23	the same road as him, next to a moving Vauxhall Astra
24	Unit continued to obtain intelligence relating to	24	with its lights switched off.
25	Mohammed Kadir which was shared with the North West, but	25	A. Yes.
20	The state of the s	23	71. 103.
	Page 145		Page 147
1	ofter Mr Hddin's murder	1	O. Was that in the context of the investigation
1	after Mr Uddin's murder.	1	Q. Was that in the context of the investigation
2	A. That's my understanding, yes.	2	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with
2 3	<ul><li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li><li>Q. And you conclude by saying:</li></ul>	2 3	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with  Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that
2 3 4	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]	2 3 4	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with  Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights
2 3 4 5	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have	2 3 4 5	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?
2 3 4 5 6	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate	2 3 4 5 6	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are] satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."	2 3 4 5 6 7	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with</li> <li>Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that</li> <li>person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights</li> <li>off?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant</li> <li>breakthrough for the major incident team investigation.</li> <li>Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are] satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation.</li> <li>Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.  A. Of course.  Q. Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 onwards.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 on wards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.  A. Of course.  Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 on wards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 onwards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary with exquisitely detailed cross-references to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes. Q driving up next to it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.  A. Of course.  Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 onwards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary with exquisitely detailed cross-references to the immediate circumstances leading up to Mr Uddin's death	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes. Q driving up next to it? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's my understanding, yes.  Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."  A. Yes.  Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.  A. Of course.  Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 on wards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary with exquisitely detailed cross-references to the immediate circumstances leading up to Mr Uddin's death and the circumstances of it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes. Q driving up next to it? A. Yes. Q. And Mohammed Kadir being seen to get into it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 onwards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary with exquisitely detailed cross-references to the immediate circumstances leading up to Mr Uddin's death and the circumstances of it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes. Q driving up next to it? A. Yes. Q. And Mohammed Kadir being seen to get into it? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 onwards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary with exquisitely detailed cross-references to the immediate circumstances leading up to Mr Uddin's death and the circumstances of it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. As I say, I am not going to ask you to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes. Q driving up next to it? A. Yes. Q. And Mohammed Kadir being seen to get into it? A. Yes. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 onwards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary with exquisitely detailed cross-references to the immediate circumstances leading up to Mr Uddin's death and the circumstances of it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. As I say, I am not going to ask you to repeat any of those. As I say, the witness statement</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes. Q driving up next to it? A. Yes. Q. And Mohammed Kadir being seen to get into it? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Can we go to page 14 at paragraph 49.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 onwards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary with exquisitely detailed cross-references to the immediate circumstances leading up to Mr Uddin's death and the circumstances of it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. As I say, I am not going to ask you to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes. Q driving up next to it? A. Yes. Q. And Mohammed Kadir being seen to get into it? A. Yes. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. That's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you conclude by saying:  "Having reviewed the available material [you are]  satisfied [in respect of the matters that we have just discussed] the North West took appropriate action."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, preeminently that's a matter for the chairman.</li> <li>A. Of course.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much.  Can we turn then to the third in time operations.  That's Operation Swan and then Operation Cangle. Can we start by looking at Operation Swan. It is page 9 of your first witness statement at paragraph 26 onwards.  Do you set out there from paragraph 26 on page 9 onwards, right up to paragraph 36 on page 10, a summary with exquisitely detailed cross-references to the immediate circumstances leading up to Mr Uddin's death and the circumstances of it?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. As I say, I am not going to ask you to repeat any of those. As I say, the witness statement</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a significant breakthrough in the Inquiry, with Jalal Uddin appearing to have been stalked, with that person walking next to a Vauxhall Astra with its lights off?  A. Yeah, the CCTV footage was indeed a significant breakthrough for the major incident team investigation. Q. Because it was possible to identify the Vauxhall Astra. A. Yes. Q. Identify the registered keeper of the Vauxhall Astra? A. Yes. Q. Identify where they lived and then subsequently to conduct a search and to arrest them? A. Yes, exactly. Q. And through that, I think, to track the Vauxhall Astra to see which car it was associated with, Mohammed Kadir's car A. Yes. Q driving up next to it? A. Yes. Q. And Mohammed Kadir being seen to get into it? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Can we go to page 14 at paragraph 49.

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

1	Q. You refer to, under the heading of "Operation Swan" DCI	1	being conducted into the death of Jalal Uddin?
2	Crompton's investigative strategy in relation to CCTV to	2	A. Yes.
3	identify the unknown hooded male and the Vauxhall Astra	3	Q. You:
4	you have just described, and that was obviously	4	"But following consultation with the CPS I explained
5	an important line of inquiry for him?	5	to the Gold Group that there was now a tactical option
6	A. Yes.	6	to arrest Syeedy under section 41 of the Terrorism Act
7	Q. At paragraph 52 you record that the Astra was identified	7	2000."
8	from the digits that were visible and the fact that it	8	A. Yes.
9	was registered to Syeedy and his address?	9	Q. Are you able to tell us in open what lay behind that?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yes, so he had been in custody by that time for about
11	Q. Further CCTV was made available, or obtained, showing	11	three or four days, I think, so they were considering
12	the car filling up with petrol?	12	whether there was sufficient evidence to charge him, and
13	A. Yes.	13	they felt as a group that the evidence fell short. So
14	Q. By the 21 February, 2016, this is your paragraph 53,	14	they were considering options to extend his detention
15	Syeedy had been declared a suspect and a search warrant	15	
	was obtained that day, and executed the next day,	16	period. So I suggested that one potential option would be to arrest him under the Terrorism Act, under
16	•		*
17	paragraph 54, at his address in Ramsay Street, Rochdale?	17	section 41, and that could potentially secure
18	A. Yes.	18	an additional period of detention. And that will be
19	Q. And you deal over the succeeding paragraphs with his	19	based on the fact that at that stage they had identified
20	interview; essentially he had had prepared a short	20	the flags and the patches which had been found in the
21	statement and then went "No comment", he said "I am not	21	wardrobe at [redacted] Ramsay Street. So there was
22	guilty of any offence relating to the death of	22	a suggestion that Syeedy had an extremist mindset and
23	Jalal Uddin" and then went "No comment" to everything	23	therefore might be involved in terrorism.
24	else?	24	It is a very low threshold to consider arresting
25	A. Yes, that's correct.	25	somebody under section 41, you just need a reasonable
	Page 149		Page 151
1	Q. The arrest of another suspect, which I am not going to	1	suspicion that somebody's involved in terrorism, so
2	deal with, and then over the page to page 16, the fact	2	I explained that was a potential tactical option, albeit
3	that it was decided at this time that there was	3	when we discussed it further as a group we decided it
4	insufficient evidence to charge Syeedy and the other	4	probably wasn't the most appropriate tactic to take at
5	suspect and they were both bailed until April?	5	that the point in time and he could be released on bail
6	A. Yes.	6	from his PACE detention and the investigation could
7	Q. You tell us about a meeting on 25 February 2016, which	7	continue and he could be rearrested if new evidence came
8	you attended for Operation Swan?	8	to light.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. I see, so it is not an arrest for something else, it is
10	Q. Chaired by the ACC. And in 16 you say that you made the	10	an arrest for the murder of Jalal Uddin, essentially, is
11	Gold Group members aware that Syeedy was known to CT	11	that right?
12	policing from 2014. What were you referring to there?	12	A. Yes, yes, so he is already in PACE detention for the
13		13	murder. It would have been a potential tactical option
	A. So that was his involvement in a convoy which left the		•
14	UK for Syria in December 2013.	14	to as a means of extending his detention.
15	Q. That was the convoy I think that left on the 21	15	Q. Because you get 14 days?
16	December 2013?	16	A. Yes, yes, up to 14 days, yes, yes. Sorry, we would have
17	A. That's correct.	17	had to make an application for a warrant of further
18	Q. That included Alan Henning?	18	detention almost immediately to Westminster Magistrates'
	A. That's correct, yes.	19	Court, and there is no guarantee that that would have
19		20	been granted. I was just explanation it as a potential
20	Q. Who was subsequently taken hostage and then beheaded?		
	<ul><li>Q. Who was subsequently taken hostage and then beheaded?</li><li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li></ul>	21	option.
20			<b>option.</b> Q. And so would that have been an arrest essentially under
20 21	A. Yes, that's correct.	21	•
20 21 22	A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. By the person known famously as Jihadi John?	21 22	Q. And so would that have been an arrest essentially under
20 21 22 23	<ul><li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li><li>Q. By the person known famously as Jihadi John?</li><li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li></ul>	21 22 23	Q. And so would that have been an arrest essentially under section 41?

1 O. -- Micra was his, Kadir's, car? because he had murdered Jalal Uddin, or would it be --2 A. Yes. A. Yes, because Kadir had had texted Syeedy after the 3 murder with details of his home address, [redacted] 3 Q. -- because of his possession of paraphernalia? 4 A. Both, because we had a reasonable suspicion he was 4 Chamber Road, Oldham and so it was as a result of a VODS 5 involved in terrorism, and he was also suspected of 5 check on the PNC, so a vehicle check on the PNC for the 6 being guilty of the murder of Jalal Uddin. At that time 6 address of [redacted] Chamber Road which identified the 7 we probably couldn't combine the two elements, albeit 7 Micra and the registration of it. 8 8 Q. We go to 673, please, page 19. Did that information there was an element of suspicion that I felt would have q 9 lead to the obtaining of a section 8 warrant under the justified an arrest under the Terrorism Act. 10 Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 for Mohammed Q. Okay. In the event this does not refer to some other 10 11 Kadir's address in Chamber Road, Oldham? entirely separate conduct? 11 12 12 A. No. A. It did, yes. 13 Q. That option wasn't taken. If we go down to paragraph 61 13 Q. And was that executed on is 26 February, 2016, only to 14 14 you tell us that an update was provided about Mohammed find there was nobody at home? 15 Syeedy using a WhatsApp group with a group of friends, 15 A. That's correct, yes. 16 and there was speculation on the group messages 16 Q. He had gone, but the car, the Micra, was still there? 17 regarding the murder of Mr Uddin, and a person called 17 A. Yes. 18 "Khadeer", spelled K-H-A-D-E-E-R. He had been Q. And if we skip forwards, please, to page 20 and 18 19 identified in the group as being involved in the 19 paragraph 72A, you address information that was I think 20 WhatsApp group and officers were to make enquiries in 20 subsequently discovered that revealed that Mohammed 21 relation to identifying that male? 21 Kadir had made a booking at 1.27 am on 21 February to 22 22 travel from Manchester Airport at 5.10 pm on 21 February 23 Q. Can we move forwards, please, to page 18 and 23 to arrive in Copenhagen? 24 paragraph 66. You say: 24 A. Yes. 25 "By the 26 February, whilst CCTV footage was being 25 Q. So five days after, you went through the door, or Page 153 Page 155 reviewed, it was noted that a Nissan Micra was seen 1 1 officers went through the door? 2 parking on Ramsay Street close to the Vauxhall Astra." 2 3 3 Q. Five days before he had in fact booked and left on A. Yes. 4 Q. Owned by Syeedy? 4 a flight for Copenhagen? 5 5 A. Yes. A. That's right, yes. 6 Q. It was noted that the driver of the Micra left the car, 6 Q. And you then set out further information which shows 7 7 that he indeed took that flight? enters the passenger side of the Vauxhall Astra, which 8 is then driven from Ramsay Street. The Micra remaining 8 A. Yes. Q in Ramsay Street until the Vauxhall Astra had returned 9 Q. Had left the United Kingdom. You tell us at the top of 10 10 page 21 that when Kadir had left the United Kingdom on immediately after Jalal Uddin had been attacked? 11 11 21 February he was the subject of a ports notification? 12 12 Q. Again, was it because of that discovery that the link A. Yes. 13 13 Q. But you cannot tell us in open what had led to the between Syeedy and Kadir was made? 14 A. Yes, there was some communications between the two 14 creation of a ports notification for Kadir, nor indeed 15 15 beforehand as well where they arranged to meet up and the ports action that was taken on 21 February, when he 16 there is another text message communication, I think, 16 had passed through Manchester airport, despite the ports 17 17 after the murder which we had identified at that point. notification? 18 Q. Had that been identified by this time? 18 A. Yes, I cannot elaborate further on that. 19 19 A. Yes. Q. Thank you. 20 Q. It had? 20 I think he was -- it was found that he had booked 21 A. Yes. 2.1 a further flight from Copenhagen to Istanbul to travel 22 22 on 22 February, is that right? Q. So there was a communications link? 23 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And I should have said that the --24 Q. Can we move forwards, please, to paragraph 80 on 25 A. Yes. 25 page 23. You deal from paragraph 80 on page 23 with the Page 154 Page 156

1	setting up of Operation Cangle?	1	Kadir when using the name of Abu Qital had identified
2	A. Yes.	2	a significant post made on the 5 September?
3	Q. The initiation and then setting up of Operation Cangle	3	A. Yes.
4	and your leadership of it. Can we turn to page 25. You	4	Q. We have heard a lot of evidence
5	deal with Mohammed Juhel Miah?	5	A. Yes.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q about the post of 5 September 2015.
7	Q. The fact that he was an associate of Mohammed Syeedy?	7	A. Yes.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. You set out the details of that post on page 35, ie the
9	Q. And a person who had emerged as someone of particular	9	initial post, the replies to it, and then Kadir's
10	significance?	10	replies, plural?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Can you summarise the significance of Juhel Miah?	12	Q. To either the message or the replies?
13	A. Yes, so I think the key piece of information which made	13	A. Yes.
14	him a suspect was Syeedy had sent a text message to	14	Q. In short, it was clear that, is it right, that Kadir was
15	Juhel Miah on the day before the murder, on 17 February,	15	engaging with and responding to a post which said:
16	and it said words to the effect of "can you send me some	16	"Muhammad said kill the magician."
17	pics of the taweez of the magician?" and there were also	17	A. Yes.
18	photographs that appeared to have been sent from	18	Q. Over the page, please, to page 36. You say that by this
19	Juhel Miah to Syeedy which suggested he had been	19	time you were aware that as part of a separate national
20	involved in some kind of targeting of the victim. So	20	security investigation that the North East Counter
21	there was sufficient grounds to justify his arrest, in	21	Terrorism Unit had captured a significant amount of
22	my view.	22	Facebook activity that was attributed to Mohammed Kadir?
23	Q. You set those out on page 25 at paragraph 85.2.	23	A. Yes.
24	A. Yes.	24	Q. The NECTU investigation and reference to the Facebook
25	Q. Syeedy had asked Miah for pictures of the taweez, of the	25	activity of Kadir, including the posts made on
	Page 157		Page 159
			50 4 1 11 11 11 1 1 1 1 0
1	magician, and recovered video images of Mr Uddin walking	1	5 September, was addressed by the closed evidence?
2	down the street	2	A. Yes, that's correct.
2 3	down the street A. Yes.	2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li><li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the</li></ul>
2 3 4	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li><li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	down the street A. Yes. Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the         Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic     </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content
2 3 4 5 6 7	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?  A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?  A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am skipping over other suspects, not to minimise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of Islamic State, Facebook posts that included those of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am skipping over other suspects, not to minimise  A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of Islamic State, Facebook posts that included those of males with weapons. Posts and images related to ISIS."</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am skipping over other suspects, not to minimise  A. Okay.  Q the importance of that material, but I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of Islamic State, Facebook posts that included those of males with weapons. Posts and images related to ISIS."</li> <li>Some of the materials described to be linked to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am skipping over other suspects, not to minimise  A. Okay.  Q the importance of that material, but I want to concentrate on the important, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of Islamic State, Facebook posts that included those of males with weapons. Posts and images related to ISIS."  Some of the materials described to be linked to persons linked with the proscribed organisation Islamic</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am skipping over other suspects, not to minimise  A. Okay.  Q the importance of that material, but I want to concentrate on the important, please.  A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of Islamic State, Facebook posts that included those of males with weapons. Posts and images related to ISIS." Some of the materials described to be linked to persons linked with the proscribed organisation Islamic State. The 5 September post. The Facebook post that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am skipping over other suspects, not to minimise  A. Okay.  Q the importance of that material, but I want to concentrate on the important, please.  A. Okay.  Q. Paragraph 116. You recorded in your policy book that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of Islamic State, Facebook posts that included those of males with weapons. Posts and images related to ISIS."  Some of the materials described to be linked to persons linked with the proscribed organisation Islamic State. The 5 September post. The Facebook post that showed evidence of executions and downloaded Islamic</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am skipping over other suspects, not to minimise  A. Okay.  Q the importance of that material, but I want to concentrate on the important, please.  A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of Islamic State, Facebook posts that included those of males with weapons. Posts and images related to ISIS." Some of the materials described to be linked to persons linked with the proscribed organisation Islamic State. The 5 September post. The Facebook post that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	down the street  A. Yes.  Q were found of Syeedy's mobile telephone?  A. That's correct.  Q. And they appear to have been taken from inside Juhel Miah's home address?  A. That's correct.  Q. So it appeared that Juhel Miah had been surveying Jalal Uddin and sending such surveillance to Syeedy?  A. Yes, that's right.  Q. You therefore caused Juhel Miah to be arrested on Thursday, 3 March?  A. Yes.  Q. He was interviewed and made no comment, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Thank you.  Can we go forwards, please, to page 35. I am skipping over other suspects, not to minimise  A. Okay.  Q the importance of that material, but I want to concentrate on the important, please.  A. Okay.  Q. Paragraph 116. You recorded in your policy book that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. But you tell us in paragraph 118 that a few of the Facebook posts from Kadir's Facebook account were as follows: firstly, Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State, including ISIS, IS and ISIL, and content believed could you explain what that means, "And content believed to have demonstrated that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with those of Islamic State"?</li> <li>A. Sorry, could you just refer me to the relevant paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Yes, 118A, I think there is a word missing and I don't want to</li> <li>A. "Facebook posts that referenced Islamic State and content believed to demonstrate", that should be.</li> <li>Q. "Content believed to demonstrate"</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. " that Mohammed Kadir had views aligned with that of Islamic State, Facebook posts that included those of males with weapons. Posts and images related to ISIS."  Some of the materials described to be linked to persons linked with the proscribed organisation Islamic State. The 5 September post. The Facebook post that showed evidence of executions and downloaded Islamic</li> </ul>

1	
1 people in the west to commit attacks on westerners	1 paragraphs above as well. 2 O. Yes.
travelling to Syria and joining Islamic State.      A profile picture for a Facebook account of Mohammed	
A profile picture for a Facebook account of Mohammed     Kadir and including a caption of "actually violence is	P
	F ************************************
,	l control of the cont
8 Islamic State that it will pay the price dearly, Allah's     9 willing."	
	9 were seen in the Facebook posts would likely constitute 10 TACT offences".
1	
11 "The spread of al-Islam, the Jihad and Dawah still 12 carries on, I am not the although I love to be	
	, ,
13 amongst them."	13 likely, as I have said, hit the mark for charging?
14 And a profile picture assigned to Abu Qital,	14 A. Yes, I would agree.
15 ie Mohammed Kadir's Facebook page, on 26 November 2015,	15 Q. Is that right?
16 a cartoon figure of an Asian male with a log dark beard	16 A. Yes, I would agree with that.
17 with Abu Qital written over the image, stating "Islamic	Q. Not the somewhat weaker "I would have considered
18 State bakyr", meaning Islamic State is here to stay,	18 referring them to the CPS, it is always a matter for the
demonstrating further his support for the proscribed	19 CPS to decide".
20 organisation Islamic State?	20 A. Yes.
21 A. Yes.	Q. Your experience thought that these were things that
22 Q. You collect together your views in paragraph 119 of this	22 would likely constitute offences?
23 material, and you say:	23 A. In my experience, yes.
24 "In relation to these Facebook posts the below alone	Q. Thank you. And is it right that there were plenty of
25 would likely constitute TACT offences, and there are	25 posts and images that fall within your categories A and
Page 161	Page 163
1 others. Firstly Facebook posts that show images of	1 B?
<ol> <li>executions and download Islamic State videos. Secondly,</li> </ol>	2 A. There was a lot of material because we used it as
3 images and posts believed to encourage people in the	3 important supportive evidence to demonstrate the motive
4 west to commit attacks on westerners travelling to Syria	4 for the murder during the criminal trial.
5 and joining Islamic State."	5 Q. Supportive evidence against who?
6 I just want to spend a little time on this, if	6 A. Against Kadir.
7 I may.	7 Q. Okay. And that's because you needed to prove that Kadir
8 A. Okay.	8 was guilty of the murder?
9 Q. You say:	9 A. We needed to demonstrate that he was a supporter of
10 "Below alone would likely constitute TACT offences	10 Islamic State, that he had an extremist mindset and
11 and there are others."	11 therefore would have wanted to execute him for
12 You are making it clear that this isn't	12 practising ruqyah.
an exhaustive statement of the TACT offences that were	13 Q. So it was a stepping stone on the way to proving Kadir's
14 likely shown by Kadir's Facebook posts?	guilt, albeit he wasn't before the court?
15 A. That's right, yes.	15 A. It was key evidence going to the heart of the murder,
16 Q. You say that these two descriptions would likely	16 yes.
17 constitute TACT offences. Is that an assessment that's	17 Q. Thank you.
made by you or somebody looking at the Facebook posts?	You, in the balance of your statement, address
19 A. That's my assessment based on my experience of	19 summaries of the very substantial appendices that you
20 prosecutions that I have led in the past which have	20 annex to your witness statement?
resulted in charges under section 1 and/or section 2 of	21 A. Yes.
22 TACT 2006.	22 Q. Summarising and in some places extracting the posts,
Q. And so you thought there were a series of posts that hit	23 communications, downloads and images found on a series
24 the mark for charging?	24 of devices recovered from suspects?
25 A. I think I was referring to some of the material in the	25 A. Yes.
D 1/2	D 174
Page 162	Page 164

1	Q. Including Syeedy and Syadul Hussain?	1	THE CHAIR: That's what he said.
2	A. That's correct.	2	MR BASU: Well, that's really my point; in relation to the
3	Q. And others?	3	post there was one post that said "kill the
4	A. Yes.	4	magician" and he did not engage with or reply to that
5	Q. In relation to Kadir, were any relevant devices found at	5	post, and I think you say that in your statement; he did
6	his address?	6	not reply to that message.
7	A. There was some digital devices but they had very limited	7	A. Yes, that's correct, I do say that in my statement.
8	content on them. His main device he sold before he left	8	Q. And that's the true position, I take it?
9	to go to Denmark, which we did recover, but it had been	9	A. Yes.
10	restored to factory settings, essentially.	10	MR BASU: Thank you.
11	Q. So there had been a factory reset?	11	THE CHAIR: When you said that "he was engaging with and
12	A. Exactly that.	12	responding to the post that said 'kill the
13	Q. And that meant there was no recoverable material?	13	magician" what did you mean, did you mean the
14	A. That's correct.	14	subsequent engagement in the conversation?
15	Q. Thank you. Thank you very much. Those are the	15	A. Yes, sir, yes. I think he interacts with a number of
16	questions that I am going to ask you. Before I finish,	16	individuals.
17	can I thank you for the provision of an exceptionally	17	THE CHAIR: Yes, yes.
18	detailed witness statement. I suspect it is not just	18	A. I don't think he specifically replied to that particular
19	your work, indeed it may be the work of many.	19	comment saying "kill the magician".
20	A. Indeed.	20	THE CHAIR: Yes, yes.
21	Q. Or some people in particular.	21	A. That's my understanding.
22	A. Yes.	22	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
23	MR BEER: And it has benefit very helpful to the Inquiry.	23	Well, Mr Meeks, the Inquiry's extremely grateful to
24	Thank you.	24	you. It is very obvious to me that a huge amount of
25	A. Okay.	25	work has gone into the preparation of that statement,
	Page 165		Page 167
1	THE CHAIR: There there any other questions?	1	and I just want to add my personal thanks to those which
2	Questions from MR BASU	2	Mr Beer has just expressed on behalf of this Inquiry,
3	MR BASU: There is one, if I may.	3	thank you very much.
4	THE CHAIR: Yes.	4	A. I am very grateful, sir, thank you.
5	MR BASU: Can I ask you to go back, if I could, Chief	5	THE CHAIR: It is extremely helpful to us.
6	Superintendent, to paragraph 116 of your statement.	6	MR BEER: Sir, that's the evidence for today.
7	A. Yes.	7	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
8	Q. It is there that you refer to the 5 September post by	8	MR BEER: Can we reconvene tomorrow at 10.30.
9	Kadir.	9	THE CHAIR: 10.30 again?
10	A. Yes.	10	MR BEER: For Mr Dunkerley.
11	Q. To which there are replies, some of which, but not all	11	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
12	of which, he himself interacted with or replied to?	12	(4.18 pm)
13	A. Yes.	13	(The hearing adjourned until 10.30 am the following day)
14	Q. And I think, if I heard my learned friend Mr Beer	14	
15	correctly, it may have been a slip of the tongue and	15	
16	I think your answer may similarly be a slip of the	16	
17	tongue, if I heard him correctly I think he asked you if	17	
18	Kadir had interacted with posts and replied to them,	18	
19	including the reply to him that had said "kill the	19	
20	magician". And I think you said "yes" but your witness	20	
21	statement was	21	
22	THE CHAIR: What he said was that "Mohammed Kadir was	22	
23	engaging with and responding to a post that said 'kill	23	
24	the magician'."	24	
25	MR BASU: Yes.	25	
	Page 166		Page 168
i	1 490 100	l .	1 450 100

	-	-		
<b>A</b>	111:10	90:9	Allah 61:22,23,25	<b>Andrew</b> 113:14,15
<b>A&amp;E</b> 4:6	acrylic 14:12	administered 17:5	63:4,5 69:13,13	113:24 169:10
abbreviation	act 41:14 51:8	admittedly 108:24	69:14,15,16,19	angels 47:14
66:13	70:24,25 87:11	adopted 141:22	70:15,17,19 71:4	Anglicisation
abet 70:24	140:21 151:6,16	advising 31:23	71:5,6	64:16,17
abilities 46:20	153:9 155:10 <sup>°</sup>	advocates 73:5	<b>Allah's</b> 161:8	<b>Anjem</b> 95:17
ability 34:24 37:5	163:5	affirmation 54:2	allegiance 56:21	annex 164:20
38:18 41:7 42:8	acted 78:19 113:2	afforded 47:20	allocate 79:1	announced 80:4
104:9	acting 31:23	Afghanistan	allocated 94:10	answer 34:14
able 24:21 36:21	action 12:17,18	125:11	allows 130:15	36:20 161:5
38:19 49:8 51:5	72:8 86:9 91:19	afield 89:3	139:17,20,20	166:16
56:9 57:12 64:3	91:21 92:1,1,7	Africa 56:18	140:12,14	anti-taweez
66:19 72:22	105:21 110:8,17	afternoon 74:15	alphabet 46:18	131:12
78:14 93:23 99:7	138:10,14,16,20	89:21 90:5,5	alter 49:21	anti-western
104:19 105:8	139:3,4,12,17,23	94:3 113:20,22	alternative 17:11	129:24
135:23 151:9	139:25 140:6,23	137:15	17:12	antisemitic 95:17
abracadabra-type	141:13 146:7	ago 98:20	alveolar 11:25	129:23
46:12	156:15	agree 15:18 48:20	12:1	Anwar 95:7
abrasion 11:6	actions 92:5 93:3	49:9,9 100:1	ameen 69:20	anybody 73:8
abroad 90:23	93:10,13 104:19	101:25 102:22	amen 69:19 71:7,7	apart 77:14
absolutely 36:1	139:20 140:22	104:15 107:12	amendment 126:7	apologies 60:21
111:18 120:12	147:7	111:9 118:20	ammunition 95:11	121:3
131:21 145:21	active 135:23	135:15 141:9	129:20	apologise 71:24
<b>Abu</b> 56:5,21 59:11	activities 82:20	163:14,16	amount 21:11,12	74:20
59:12,14,19 60:7	125:4 126:14	agreed 107:14	100:3 118:8	<b>Apothecaries</b> 2:12
60:7 95:7 159:1	activity 50:24 85:1	142:11 145:4,17	141:10 159:21	appear 12:14,17
161:6,14,17	139:10 159:22,25	agreement 103:22	167:24	29:14,17 94:18
academic 30:11	acts 47:5,5,5,6,8	Ahmadiyya 72:5	amplifying 26:16	158:6
45:10	actual 34:24 35:7	aid 69:15 70:20,23	amulet 42:22 43:6	appeared 11:23
ACC 150:10	44:11 45:8,19	aiming 56:15	44:1,12,13 68:3	110:22 157:18
accept 107:5 111:3	46:2 122:4	aims 40:6 43:19,20	68:18	158:9
143:24 144:2	add 168:1	airport 155:22	amuletic 53:10	appearing 56:18
accepted 36:18	added 129:3	156:16	amulets 38:17,22	148:3
47:19	addition 80:11	airway 23:4,15	40:12 42:8,16	<b>appears</b> 35:3 92:7
access 46:8 90:22	additional 88:23	<b>Akhi</b> 61:17	43:12 48:3	106:20 112:19,24
account 15:15,15	102:16 128:8	<b>Al</b> 129:19	analogy 119:12	appended 27:13
88:11 99:16	140:10 151:18	al-Awlaki 95:7	analysed 92:12	27:24 28:13
105:16 111:16	address 59:12	129:19,25	104:12,14 106:9	appendices 99:24
125:19 133:16	70:10 126:19,25	al-Baghdadi 56:5	107:3 111:5	164:19
138:25 139:11,19	138:23 147:6	56:21 95:8 161:6	analysing 39:23	appendix 133:16
141:12 142:5	149:9,17 155:3,6	<b>al-Islam</b> 161:11	analysis 91:10	appertaining 95:2
160:4 161:3	155:11,19 158:7	Al-Qaeda 51:12	96:3 97:9 107:16	application 87:9
accounts 90:20,22	164:18 165:6	52:2,3,6	110:13 158:25	87:15,25 88:7,17
accretions 39:16	addressed 123:8	Alan 150:18	analyst 94:4,10,13	89:7,10 152:17
accurately 112:16	160:1	albeit 152:2 153:7	94:16 98:12	applications 87:13
113:3	addressing 118:14	164:14	128:7 132:23	applied 100:18
achieve 14:17 30:7	adherent 20:15	alcohol 21:24	analysts 133:13	145:17
achieved 62:19	adjourned 168:13	aligned 160:9,18	and/or 23:4 85:20	applies 143:23
acknowledge 27:2	adjournment 90:3	alive 23:12	162:21	apply 90:23

				1 480 171
131:24 145:18	86:13 87:2 90:10	71:20,23 91:20	authorised 100:20	based 75:18 77:8
appoint 142:20	103:1 136:11	95:25 106:14	authorities 51:1	77:12 110:13,16
appointed 65:25	138:24 148:13	assistance 32:23	available 103:21	151:19 162:19
80:18 109:4	150:1 151:6,16	58:2 59:3 60:24	106:25 108:22	basically 7:15 8:20
147:4	152:9,10,22	144:14	118:16 136:3	21:6 55:20 62:14
appreciate 106:8	153:9 157:21	assisted 62:6	146:4 149:11	64:4
143:11	arrested 102:11,18	assisting 80:23,24	awarded 30:3	basis 53:22 105:3
appreciated	135:5 136:7	122:3,7 127:18	aware 67:23 80:22	117:21 120:15,21
101:21 132:1	158:12	associate 157:7	82:9,19 89:24	142:12
134:21	arresting 86:11	associated 4:3	98:4 109:14	BASU 166:2,3,5
appreciating	151:24	10:24 11:23,23	110:15 150:11	166:25 167:2,10
97:16	arrive 96:2 155:23	12:19 19:5,17	159:19	169:12
approach 52:4,4	art 36:8	45:18 64:18	axis 5:14	bear 114:16 118:4
91:22 92:8	ascertain 88:25	125:19 148:16		119:13 120:9
appropriate 86:8	Asia 56:18	associates 84:20	B	beard 60:1,2
141:15 146:6	<b>Asian</b> 161:16	85:6,14 109:23	<b>B</b> 164:1	161:16
152:4	asked 25:14 29:19	associating 41:11	<b>BA</b> 29:5,20	bearing 69:7
approve 49:19	45:23 53:15	41:15,16	<b>bachelor</b> 2:8,9,9	bears 114:8
approximate	66:23 71:16,19	association 10:5	back 7:16 12:7,9	becoming 38:6
71:18	81:21 107:22	41:16 64:17	12:25 13:9 15:1	Beer 1:5,11,12,12
approximately	108:2,6 110:8,14	assumed 116:18	19:18,24 20:9	16:9 19:16 25:17
12:13 21:15	112:9 133:4	<b>Astra</b> 147:23	24:15 39:18	25:23 26:2,5
<b>April</b> 1:17 27:11	134:3 157:25	148:4,8,10,15	61:13 78:3 90:25	89:23 113:13,14
27:15 76:1 77:7	166:17	149:3,7 154:2,7,9	97:13 104:17	113:19,20,20
108:10,12 150:5	asking 26:22 54:10	astray 40:17	109:19 110:7	137:17,20 138:2
<b>Arabic</b> 29:3 30:23	62:4 78:2 106:8	attack 55:2 77:15	113:12 120:25	138:4 165:23
33:4 37:1,23	asks 108:20	attacked 154:10	131:15,22 132:10	166:14 168:2,6,8
38:1 46:6,7,9,18	aspect 9:18 10:7	attacks 51:14	136:9 140:7	168:10 169:4,11
54:13,13 59:15	12:8 87:20 89:10	161:1 162:4	166:5	began 53:25
65:13 66:13	99:15 103:24	attempt 56:3	background 3:17	beginning 139:2
69:23	113:4	attempting 57:1	52:13 54:1 75:8	142:11
area 6:10,18 7:4	aspects 32:17	attempts 64:4	75:15 80:19,25	<b>behalf</b> 1:13 26:21
7:10,11 12:6	53:13 107:23	attended 77:17	87:24 115:11	113:21 168:2
14:23 21:5,14	aspired 84:6	145:3 150:8	backs 20:11	<b>behave</b> 39:23
44:9 52:23 55:13	assault 15:14	attending 4:6	backwards 121:16	behaviour 68:20
56:10,14,15	assaulted 20:5	attention 120:10	<b>bad</b> 47:6	beheaded 53:2
80:14	assess 20:20	attitude 52:17	Baghdadi 129:19	150:20
areas 8:14 19:13	assessed 105:17	attract 49:8	bail 152:5	beings 47:14
20:10 54:18	assessment 88:24	attributed 72:16	bailed 150:5	belief 2:1 28:7,23
144:16	92:23 96:1,23	158:25 159:22	Bakr 56:5,21 95:7	33:19 34:24 36:2
<b>Arena</b> 77:15	98:25 102:15,16	audio 29:8	161:6	39:16 41:21 47:3
argued 48:22	113:4 143:8	audit 92:9 111:17	bakyr 161:18	48:25 49:11
argument 43:2	162:17,19	140:21	<b>balance</b> 164:18	51:17 54:14,17
66:16	assigned 161:14	August 2:4 27:25	balanced 120:8	75:4 114:11,20
arising 102:20	assist 32:19 39:2	32:8 74:19 75:2	balances 139:24	believe 32:9 35:7
141:4 144:10	42:3 43:23 50:3	90:12 94:2 98:16	140:12,25	37:18 38:1 39:13
arms 19:23	50:7 53:15 55:8	128:22	<b>ball</b> 9:7,8	40:18,19,21
arranged 154:15	59:13 62:5,17	aunty 61:12	Barclay 14:4,8,9	41:25 43:4 49:2
arrest 23:3,10	64:8 69:7,22	authored 27:4	15:24	49:3,4 50:18,22
			barrier 42:21	

	·	•	-	
51:2 54:3,15,16	22:4 23:8 24:18	broader 119:6	capable 91:24	caught 62:3 65:4
86:11 100:16	25:8	broadly 5:14	capacity 116:10	cause 8:14 15:4
believed 19:16	<b>body</b> 19:20 44:6	85:11	144:10	18:13 20:8 21:22
46:18,20 160:7,8	bone 5:16,19,25	broken 12:22	<b>caption</b> 161:4,6	23:9,13,25 24:12
160:15,16,25	6:5,10 7:8,12,15	14:18 66:2	captured 159:21	25:8,15 54:23
162:3	7:18 10:2,22	brother 97:20,22	car 148:16,17	67:2 89:19 95:24
believing 41:7	11:25 12:1,2	98:3	149:12 154:6	caused 4:14 8:10
belonging 98:19	19:5 21:3,6,20	brothers 61:17	155:1,16	11:11,12,17
Beneath 6:21	22:8,12	bruise 17:16 21:22	cardiac 23:10	12:17 15:21
beneficial 36:12	bones 6:20,22 10:3	bruised 9:8 12:5	cardiorespiratory	16:16,18,20,23
36:13	22:20,24	bruising 9:1,6	23:3,12	17:20 21:5 22:3
benefit 36:14	bony 22:14	11:23 18:25 19:6	cards 87:17	23:3,19,23 24:5
43:16 47:9 119:3	book 63:16,18	19:16	career 77:18	158:12
136:12 165:23	81:22 94:1 147:9	building 34:14	carefully 36:9	causing 14:21
<b>Bengali</b> 46:8,9	158:24	bundle 26:25	carried 68:16	24:19 43:2,8,20
best 2:1 28:6,23	booked 156:3,20	<b>bundles</b> 36:23	78:12 139:14,18	44:17
75:4 106:4	booking 155:21	<b>burn</b> 63:19	carries 161:12	caveats 118:3,24
114:11,20	books 44:24,25	burning 63:25	carrying 68:11	cavities 10:21
<b>bet</b> 61:13	58:22,22 61:18	bury 64:2	cartoon 161:16	cavity 10:4 14:21
better 38:2	<b>boost</b> 56:3		Cartwright 26:5	14:25,25 21:21
<b>beyond</b> 6:19 57:13	border 82:16	C	26:12,19,20,21	24:5,24
big 55:19,25	born 59:21	Cabinet 31:20	29:18,19 38:3	<b>CCTV</b> 147:14,21
103:10	bowl 61:20 62:16	caliph 56:5	40:9 57:5,8,11,15	148:6 149:2,11
biggest 61:12	box 68:25	caliphate 55:9,14	57:18,20,24 59:3	153:25
<b>bin</b> 95:7 129:19,25	boxes 121:4	55:19 56:1,2,4,10	59:7,9 60:14,16	centimetre 11:7,8
<b>bit</b> 7:3 20:22 46:16	<b>brain</b> 21:21 22:19	56:10,12,12,13	60:20,23 63:14	11:22 12:6,8,13
52:3 64:12 75:14	23:11 24:6,11,12	56:16,23,25	64:12 65:13	18:17
81:16 84:8 91:15	brandishing	79:25 80:5 84:10	67:14 71:13 73:4	centimetres 5:11
112:17 122:1	132:13	caliphates 56:20	73:9,15,20,22,24	5:13,14 6:1 8:4,5
124:20	<b>break</b> 14:16 35:21	call 1:5 33:21	74:4,8,14,15 79:7	9:2,2 10:1,1
black 33:12,21,22	57:6,9,10,16,19	35:19 37:9,11	89:13,18,22 90:1	11:22 12:6 18:22
34:3 69:11	57:22 73:21 74:1	38:20 41:14,24	90:5 100:8,10	18:22,24,25 19:1
132:13	87:20 89:9,13	44:12 51:6 52:17	112:3,6 113:7,12	21:15,17
blacked 108:16	137:16,17,25	68:9 96:5 125:10	169:6,8	central 7:12 10:23
Blair 108:13 109:2	breakdown 43:21	130:4	carving 139:15	11:21 22:13
109:6 112:10,19	breakthrough	called 2:20 22:17	case 6:9 16:2 18:11	56:18
blase 100:17	148:2,7	51:9 59:21 92:1	20:13,23 23:17	centred 10:9
bleeding 9:4	breathing 23:9	109:7 126:14	58:1 60:4 92:4	centres 23:12
<b>blood</b> 4:4,11 15:10	<b>bridge</b> 9:18 10:6	131:3 153:17	93:17,25 94:18	centuries 35:5,19
bloodstained 4:3	brief 51:16	calling 39:25 56:3 56:4	126:22 130:20	39:6 50:16
blow 8:9,10,13	<b>briefed</b> 123:15	calvarium 22:17	139:6,7 140:13	century 33:18
9:15 11:11,17	<b>briefing</b> 3:21 81:1		cases 52:23 139:15	39:11 55:17
14:20 15:2,3	83:9	cameras 29:16	140:13	cerebral 21:12,14
17:13,15,17 19:7	briefly 2:23 58:14	Cangle 116:12 121:7,14 122:20	cast 37:6 78:2	certain 112:11
20:8,12 24:10,22	87:4 93:7	123:18 131:10	catch 26:12	145:5
blows 17:4 19:10	Bristol 30:15	141:18 146:14	categorically	certainly 6:14 7:3
blunt 15:3,20	brittle 14:13	157:1,3	36:15	7:7 18:11 22:8
16:12,19,23,25	broad 8:3	canthus 9:19,20,23	categories 34:8	26:4,11 74:7
17:18,24 20:6	broaden 16:14	capability 38:13	112:11 163:8,25	81:16 85:8 90:24
	l	capability 50.15		l

				1480 173
97:17 102:21	162:24 163:7,13	142:8,24 143:8	13:12 34:11	40:12
110:3	chased 111:11	143:17 145:9	Commonwealth	completeness
cetera 135:18	<b>chat</b> 85:16	160:1	31:21	103:7
<b>chair</b> 1:8,10 16:5,7	check 61:10 74:22	<b>closing</b> 99:6,9	comms 97:15	completion 93:14
19:12,14 25:18	110:11 155:5,5	126:19	107:13 132:24	compliant 105:25
25:24 26:4,7,11	checked 140:1	closure 99:14,15	communicated	complied 105:18
26:16 29:9,12,14	checks 139:24	99:24 127:8	108:11	105:24
37:7,10 40:3	140:11,25	<b>clot</b> 4:4	communication	component 6:4
57:7,10,13,17,19	cheek 7:15	clothing 58:6	84:21 92:11 94:9	composition 42:7
59:2,6,8 60:13,15	<b>cherry</b> 107:25	co-localisation	97:17 103:20	comprehensive
60:19,21 63:7,9	Chief 101:5 166:5	12:16	109:23 154:16	103:14
64:11 65:11	<b>child</b> 59:19	collapsed 22:13	communications	comprehensively
66:23 67:2,7,10	choosing 37:7	colleague 126:17	82:14 91:12 94:7	118:12
67:13 71:12 73:7	66:23	colleagues 78:15	94:17 96:3 97:10	compromise 54:9
73:12,19,21,23	Choudary 95:17	100:17 119:14	98:11 101:15,18	54:12
74:2,7,11,13 79:6	chronological	collect 161:22	101:22 102:7	compromised
89:16,19,25	124:7	collecting 119:15	103:15 104:11	40:22 51:7 54:7
100:7,9 111:19	<b>CID</b> 76:9	<b>College</b> 2:10,13	106:11 107:3,5	compromising
112:5 113:9,16	circumstances 3:4	combat 52:5	108:22 109:14	41:18
137:16,18,23	54:7 119:7	129:21	110:22 111:4,12	computer 131:3
138:3 142:4,9	146:20,21	combat-type 95:11	128:6,7,16	computers 87:17
166:1,4,22 167:1	<b>cite</b> 66:11	combine 153:7	132:18 134:6	88:22 126:24
167:11,17,20,22	cities 80:3	combined 96:19	137:4 154:14,22	concentrate
168:5,7,9,11	civil 79:21	come 38:21 40:4	164:23	158:22
Chaired 150:10	claim 55:20,20	40:13 41:2 42:18	communities	concept 55:6
chairman 66:4	65:24	43:10 44:19 46:3	34:11 42:6 50:19	141:12
143:12,25 145:19	claimed 52:11	65:3,6 78:1,17	community 38:18	<b>concepts</b> 32:20,24
146:10	claiming 66:19	94:6 103:4	39:8,9,12,18,18	concern 95:24
<b>Chamber</b> 155:4,6	clarification 27:18	comes 22:23	39:19 40:5,13,16	138:5 141:3
155:11	32:23 53:16 66:2	comfortable 26:9	41:25 42:12,18	142:17
chance 137:21	70:12 101:8	coming 43:4	43:10,11,13,15	concerning 4:1
change 67:3	clarified 78:1	commas 65:15,18	43:21 47:18,21	100:2 104:3
changed 138:8	clarify 32:4	65:23	47:23 48:9,11,24	109:17 110:22
changes 111:20	classed 34:3	commenced 13:5	54:21 55:24	112:23 136:21
141:3,17	classes 131:11	116:16 124:24	68:21 69:25	137:6
Channel 104:20	classical 58:11	comment 20:23	72:13,20,23,25	concerns 81:11
104:24 105:2,10	classification	68:2 71:16	73:1	88:12,15,23
127:11 characteristics	130:23	112:23,25 113:1	companions 39:20 complete 14:11	conclude 57:11 73:9 146:3
23:18	classify 96:15 clear 34:21 87:25	149:21,23 158:15 167:19	65:9 100:10	concluded 86:8
	159:14 162:12	comments 22:2		concludes 113:7
<b>charge</b> 100:19,25 150:4 151:12		comments 22:2	101:2,17 105:9 105:15 107:1	concludes 113:7
	clearly 13:22 36:1 72:25 96:23	162:4	119:6,16 127:7,9	98:10 99:21
<b>charged</b> 96:7 127:1 131:6	CLERK 29:11,13	commitment	134:18 140:4,11	conclusions 15:16
charges 102:3	close 101:20	54:23	completed 78:6,10	15:17 111:2
106:5 162:21	134:20 154:2	committed 68:3	85:25 98:18	concussive 23:3
charging 100:4	closed 92:12	common 33:4	139:21,23 140:7	condemn 52:15
102:12,19 103:8	101:23 107:7,12	36:24 37:1 48:7	140:22,23	condemned 48:21
135:6 136:13,14	107:18 111:4	commonly 2:22	completely 39:17	conditional 135:7
155.0 150.15,17	10/.10 111.7		completely 37.17	Conditional 133.7
	<u> </u>	l	l	I

136:4	45:19	56:14,16 80:3	88:3,10,13 89:8	163:5
conditions 4:14	constructing 42:15	140:21 143:2	90:13 92:18,21	courses 77:18
conduct 2:25 3:3	construction 42:7	145:11	93:20 94:5,8,11	court 10:13 11:10
81:20 134:11	44:13,18 45:5,16	controlled 36:10	94:15,22 99:2	18:7 28:19 29:11
148:13 153:11	45:18 48:3 53:1	56:11	105:10 107:19	29:13 79:23
conducted 3:5,10	53:10	controversial	111:18 112:13	90:11 96:7
81:24 82:4 151:1	consultant 13:16	34:17	115:13,19,22	113:16 152:19
conducting 13:15	consultation 151:4	contusion 21:17	117:2,11,20	164:14
confirm 28:5	contact 8:16 11:12	21:23	118:5 120:6	courtroom 26:17
72:16 75:3 79:7	70:3 80:13 87:24	conversation	122:19 123:3,10	<b>cousin</b> 96:21
79:11 82:13	97:11 109:16	37:23 167:14	123:20 126:8	cover 33:6 89:20
107:15 112:16	110:20	convexivity 21:13	127:5 129:1	<b>covered</b> 52:8 68:5
confirmed 32:13	contain 99:25	convicted 80:23	130:6 131:22,23	89:12
58:5,7,10 74:8	contained 14:8	96:7	133:10 134:14	covering 76:12
78:17 88:2	88:11 95:1	convoy 150:13,15	136:17 137:8	<b>CPIA</b> 140:15
conformity 39:25	128:12	150:24	140:19 143:10	<b>CPS</b> 102:10,12,19
conjunction 142:8	contains 27:1	cooperating 106:2	144:25 145:15	103:8 135:4,6
connected 6:24	140:20	Copenhagen	146:22 147:10	136:1,13,14
21:19	contemporary	155:23 156:4,21	149:25 150:17,19	151:4 163:7,18
connection 18:8	31:10,14 37:14	<b>copy</b> 1:17,19,21	150:21,23 155:15	163:19
73:16	content 60:17	68:10,11,16	158:5,8,16 160:2	crackdown 49:6
conscious 15:7	73:24 74:5 88:25	114:8 132:24	165:2,14 167:7	<b>Craig</b> 14:3 15:24
57:5	101:14 129:12	core 25:18 40:21	correcting 27:20	<b>cranial</b> 21:21 24:5
consequences	130:13,14,24	112:7	correctly 166:15	<b>create</b> 34:25 42:8
35:14 41:1	132:6 137:7	Coroner 3:7	166:17	46:2 56:16 137:5
consequently	160:6,8,15,16	corporate 101:4	corrupted 39:9	created 47:12
41:21 43:14	163:3 165:8	104:9 123:13	corruption 51:4	52:23 68:14
consider 16:13	<b>contents</b> 1:25 28:5	correct 1:24 2:2,19	52:5	125:6 138:25
86:6,15 97:5	28:22 75:3	2:22 3:9,13,22	counselling 48:1	139:11 141:12,13
104:2 108:2	114:10,19 134:5	4:9,12,24 5:6,18	<b>counter</b> 77:8,24	creating 38:16
112:23 136:20	context 53:21	6:2 7:2,22,23 8:8	82:17 117:17,19	42:16 45:2,12
151:24	55:21 66:21 72:5	8:17 9:9,12	125:1 131:5	104:3 136:21
consideration	84:9 106:7 108:9	11:16 13:21 14:1	141:15,21 143:19	creation 156:14
102:21 103:1,5,7	109:5,8 148:1	15:22 16:21	144:4,8,8,15,17	crime 76:16,25
considered 97:12	150:25	18:20 19:8,11,15	144:21,22 145:12	77:1,19
104:4 110:1	contextualise 50:6	19:25 20:2,25	145:23 159:20	criminal 76:13
124:14 127:7	108:3	21:18,20 22:1,24	counter-terrorism	84:1 85:1 105:18
128:1 131:9	continue 89:14	23:20 24:3,13	124:12	106:5 109:7
136:22 150:24	90:6 152:7	25:4,7,10 27:7,12	country 141:22	155:10 164:4
163:17	<b>continued</b> 6:16	27:17 28:1,4,15	coup 21:17,23	criminalising
<b>considering</b> 119:1	88:14 144:4	28:20 30:19,21	couple 108:1 109:10	105:7
151:11,14 constable 75:17	145:24 <b>continues</b> 50:12	30:24 31:4,7,11		criminality 85:21
constable /5:1/ constitute 161:25	100:13	31:12,16,22,25 32:3 45:6 75:13	course 8:12 12:24 16:12 17:9 18:6	86:17,20 87:1
162:10,17 163:4	contributed 4:14	75:22 76:8,14,19	25:24 51:12 70:7	Crompton 117:5 123:21 147:2,8
162:10,17 163:4	4:18 25:5	73:22 76:8,14,19	74:4 77:20,22,24	Crompton's 149:2
construct 37:6	contributes 70:22	79:10,16 80:1,10	78:7 86:9 101:11	crompton \$ 149:2
45:24	contributes 70.22 control 52:18,24	81:25 82:7 85:23	118:16 122:24	146:19
constructed 44:15	54:11 55:13	86:4,21 87:14,19	133:8 146:11	crossed 100:4
Constituence TT.13	JT.11 JJ.1J	00.7,21 07.17,19	133.0 170.11	CIUSSCU IUU.T
	1			l

				1 age 173
crosses 99:1	137:4	debates 35:8	12:24,25 13:3,10	69:14,15 70:17
Crown 10:13	date 109:15	debating 51:20	13:20 14:2,12,16	70:19
11:10 18:7 31:24	116:22 128:22,24	deceased 15:13	14:20,24 15:8,12	detail 4:20 44:21
100:19	dated 28:18 74:19	95:6	dentures 13:22	51:18 53:9 75:15
crucial 34:19	dates 94:2	<b>December</b> 142:19	<b>Departer</b> 121:7,14	81:1 83:20 87:6
CT 2:16 77:12	Dawah 161:11	150:14,16	121:23	87:15
78:7,15,19 82:14	day 3:12 30:22	decide 62:24	department 29:25	detailed 87:16
92:23 111:21	56:19 61:15 94:3	163:19	30:7 77:9,13	88:2,7 91:16
138:12 139:1,5	144:19 149:16,16	decided 61:22 86:1	department's 4:7	107:25 146:19
139:16,17,19	157:15 168:13	103:2 150:3	departments	165:18
140:18,20 150:11	days 123:22,24	152:3	31:19	detailing 125:3
CTP 118:13	151:11 152:15,16	decision 101:20	dependent 106:17	details 99:17 155:3
CTU 78:14 79:19	155:25 156:3	102:13,19 103:5	depending 8:13	159:8
80:13 81:2	days' 32:4	103:8 134:20	102:8 103:9	<b>detective</b> 3:17 76:9
115:20 116:2,15	DC 83:8 87:9	135:6 136:14,14	135:2,8	77:19 92:3 101:5
116:23 117:9,17	92:20,23 93:25	163:5,7	depicting 136:5	115:12,16,17,25
137:9	94:21,25 95:21	decisions 101:19	depressed 5:25 6:6	116:5
CTUs 145:3	96:12,14 98:10	declaration 55:9	6:7,17,23 16:21	detectives 77:4
cuff 112:25	98:13 99:8 100:1	declare 55:18 56:9	18:3 21:2,8	83:2,5
culture 40:5	100:20 126:20	57:1	22:11 23:22 24:4	detention 151:14
currently 29:2	<b>DCI</b> 117:5 123:22	declared 55:11,25	depths 5:16,20	151:18 152:6,12
115:11	147:2,8 149:1	56:25 149:15	7:19 10:20	152:14,18
curse 69:13	deal 29:1 41:3	decode 7:3	deputy 77:13	determine 86:25
custody 76:7	53:13 78:17	dedicated 111:16	deradicalise	143:12,25
151:10	80:17 83:18 87:4	118:13	106:22	develop 112:17
cut 39:16 73:16	87:6 91:9,15	deeply 10:15	<b>Derek</b> 1:15	developed 34:8
74:9	111:2 124:7	defect 6:11 18:17	describe 5:1,11	52:6
	138:8 141:15	<b>defend</b> 20:11	6:16 12:1 76:1	development
D	149:19 150:2	defensive 20:1,4	76:24 77:17	77:22 104:5
<b>D</b> 169:2	156:25 157:5	definitely 110:6	79:14 80:11	110:4 132:17
damage 8:14	<b>dealing</b> 61:3 73:18	degree 2:8 29:5,20	91:19 92:22	136:23 137:10
10:23 14:23	79:4 124:21	29:24 36:3 51:17	132:21 144:23	deviation 64:14
16:25 24:19	144:15	54:18	147:1,21	device 53:10
damaged 22:9	deals 82:14	delay 67:22	described 33:16	128:13 130:12
dangerous 40:16	<b>dealt</b> 53:17 90:9	delayed 1:3	33:16 58:18	131:2 165:8
42:1 49:1,2,7	102:14 112:14	delegated 92:20	136:16 149:4	<b>devices</b> 88:2 93:4
62:19 68:18	dearly 161:8	deleted 105:15	160:21	93:24 100:2,15
Daniels 34:22	<b>death</b> 4:15,16 22:3	demonstrate 55:3	describing 5:22	124:14 164:24
dark 161:16	23:2,7,13 25:6,8	135:24 160:15,16	6:23 18:7 80:5	165:5,7
<b>Darren</b> 83:3	25:15 41:5,22	164:3,9	98:2	devising 54:20
data 91:12 92:11	69:15 70:18	demonstrated	description 8:1	<b>DI</b> 76:16,20,24
94:7,9,10,17 96:3	121:17,18 123:8	160:8	12:12,21 33:8	77:2,22 115:25
97:10,10,17	141:25 146:20	demonstrating	130:9,10 147:17	116:1,10
98:11 101:15,18	147:3 149:22	161:19	descriptions	diabetes 4:11
101:22 102:7	151:1	denied 41:5	162:16	<b>diameter</b> 6:1 12:8
104:11 107:3,5	deaths 77:1	Denmark 165:9	desecrate 62:25	12:13 21:15
107:13 108:22	debate 35:11	densely 20:15	despite 156:16	died 22:5
111:4,12 128:9	51:16	dental 14:13	destinations 84:14	differ 36:3 49:12
132:18,24 134:6	<b>debated</b> 35:3,5,18	<b>denture</b> 4:3 12:22	destroy 63:22	difference 68:10
134:21 135:1				

102:23 103:10	<b>disposing</b> 63:16,24	drink 62:23	125:10	55:16 153:11
different 8:9 17:16	dispute 43:3,4,8	drive 24:11	either 4:14 13:6,7	entry 108:7,8,25
18:14 33:7,24,25	104:8	driven 21:20 24:4	14:14 15:23 25:2	112:9
37:3 47:22 48:9	disrespect 103:17	24:16 154:8	77:13 123:11	envoy 109:4
50:10 51:23	disrupted 13:2	driver 154:6	159:12	equally 11:11 99:3
56:19,20 58:24	disruption 11:24	driving 148:19	elaborate 156:18	145:18
103:4,4	44:17 105:20	dropped 14:14	electronic 87:16	equipment 88:19
differentiate 25:1	dissection 4:22 5:2	drug 75:21	88:1,19 129:10	Er 9:14 20:2 67:17
difficult 14:17	5:22 7:21 8:18	drugs 21:24	element 18:1,3,8	essence 87:21
20:21 22:10,25	9:11 13:5,8	<b>DS</b> 83:3	47:11 55:5 153:8	107:16
45:13 102:6	disseminated 89:3	<b>DSI</b> 60:12	elements 153:7	essential 47:11
134:25	disseminating	dua 62:1 64:25,25	email 90:20 99:16	55:5
difficulties 89:19	88:9	65:1,2	emerged 39:5	essentially 10:8
digital 87:17	dissemination	due 101:11 141:10	51:10,11 128:5	11:8 22:13 27:13
101:17 130:11,13	87:12	Dunkerley 168:10	157:9	27:24 42:2 44:25
130:14 131:2	dissolve 62:22	duties 75:20 76:25	emergence 79:20	47:6 58:18 73:16
165:7	dissolved 62:21	80:12 143:4	emphasise 54:13	75:11 78:9 86:22
digits 149:8	dissolving 62:20		119:1	87:23 89:6 93:3
diploma 2:10,11	63:23	E	emphasises 54:6	93:23 94:9 98:17
2:16	distinct 19:13	E 169:2	<b>enable</b> 42:23,23	98:19 99:10
direct 24:5,12	distinction 30:3	ear 18:16	43:6	103:16 106:21
120:19 142:13	33:12	earlier 58:15	encourage 35:10	107:25 108:8
direction 79:1	divided 33:20	64:16 66:23 69:2	135:20 160:25	118:3,24 119:8
directly 22:18	divine 41:6,8	138:17 145:17,18	162:3	119:13,14 123:13
98:13	division 34:1	early 39:18,19,20	encouragement	127:15 128:20
discharge 143:3	75:18,24 76:3	55:16,24,24	87:12,22	140:16 141:3
disclosure 121:23	115:23	56:13	encouraging 88:25	149:20 152:10,22
122:4 140:14	divisional 75:21	easier 20:19	enemy 51:25	165:10
disconnect 102:23	doctorate 30:7	easiest 60:10,16	enforcement 53:3	establish 83:20
discourtesy 107:24	document 83:9	East 109:4 144:4	<b>engage</b> 35:6,9,12	127:17
discovered 130:3	107:25 108:6	144:20 145:12,23	88:25 167:4	established 32:24
136:10 155:20	documented 52:22	159:20	engaged 51:3	55:12
discovery 154:12	110:20	Eastern 29:24,25	127:11	establishment
<b>discussed</b> 34:15,16	documents 53:8	30:8 144:7	engagement 105:9	80:4
124:18 145:2,16	<b>doing</b> 37:12 38:23	easy 20:19	105:14 167:14	et 135:18
145:18 146:6	61:13 71:1 91:25	eat 70:2	engaging 106:20	<b>ethmoid</b> 10:4,22
152:3	donations 43:12	ect 61:4,4,20,20	159:15 166:23	ethmoidal 10:22
discussion 48:18	door 155:25 156:1	edge 11:24 18:18	167:11	16:25
66:17 69:5	download 162:2	edges 18:13	<b>English</b> 35:20 37:1	event 56:24 89:25
discussions 66:7	downloaded	effect 15:20 34:21	37:8,22 38:2	153:10
66:10	160:24	35:11 48:23 49:4	66:24 109:6	events 67:21 71:15
disease 25:5 42:25	downloads 93:24	49:8,13,17 54:11	enquiries 91:25	71:21 103:14,16
disk 98:12 128:6,7	126:24 164:23	157:16	153:20	123:7 141:18,24
128:16 132:24	Dr 1:5,7,8,12,15	effective 48:19,20	enquiry 127:8	142:5
disks 93:24	25:20,23 169:3	62:24 136:4	139:22	eventually 46:1
display 67:22	draw 78:14 89:11	139:17 140:13,14	entered 24:23	51:13 56:11,22
disposal 62:19	drawing 48:24	effects 23:4 34:25	enters 154:7	everybody 34:21
dispose 63:18	draws 40:20	35:2,8 37:20 70:4	entire 18:24	36:15
disposed 61:19	dream 56:19,23	eight 81:8 103:3	<b>entirely</b> 26:7 41:13	evidence 2:3,5
		eight 01.0 103.3		

27:10 32:5,8,10	₹
27:10 32:5,8,10	
1 00 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10.8 10
	1,23 15:3
50 2 57 11 50 4	:12 22:13
58:15 66:3 67:15   16:21 17:18 20:5   <b>expand</b> 56:15 68:7   22:16 152:14   13:7 16	.12 22.13
67:19 72:12 20:12 37:5 60:2 <b>expanded</b> 56:14 <b>extensive</b> 7:11 <b>Facebook</b>	59.10
72 10 14 10 10	61:1,5,8
74 5 70 24 04 6 100 21 22 120 12 142 20 102 11 142 0	2:20 86:7
86:19,25 89:14   131:7 135:15   expelled 39:17   extensively 34:16   88:11,1	
89:20,24 90:6 138:17 49:12 46:16 47:2 90:24 9	
0.27	05:15,22
100:11 101:8,10   108:25   75:16 78:15   83:21 92:24   106:2 1	
101 17 102 10 10	159:22,24
100 10 100 14	5,14,19
107:21 110:12   exceptionally   experienced 79:19   130:3 134:22   160:43	
111:25 112:16	
113:4,7,9 115:2   exchange 43:12   expert 32:1 38:13   external 4:21 5:1,8   162:1,1	*
119:2,24 123:13 71:17 130:11 6:4 7:17 10:25 163:9	1,10
130:21 131:7   exchanges 66:5   expertise 29:2   13:4 19:21,21   facial 7:2	12 22.9
135:5 136:16 67:16 68:1 78:15 24:22 42:13 22:14,1	
142:6,8 143:8,12   110:15   explain 6:7 9:20   extinguish 63:20   fact 27:15	
144 1 # 146 0#	3,14 49:1
150 4 151 10 10           1 100 0     00 4 16 01 4       4 4 100 10	:11 81:21
152:7 155:10   Excuse 114:5   46:25 78:23 84:8   131:2   87:15 9	
159:4 160:1,24   execute 164:11   104:23 128:18   extracted 99:13   94:2,6,1	
164:3,5,15 168:6   executed 90:12   145:10 160:7   131:2 132:25   102:17	
'    1100 10	33:13,24
135:3,9	
evident 9:3 10:3   149:16 155:13   explanation   36:3   149:8 1	
evidential 135:24   executing 82:3   152:20   extreme 98:22   151:19	
evidentially execution 52:19 explanations 108:24 127:12 157:7	
135:13   55:3   17:11,12   extremely 39:5   factors 10	)2:9
evil 42:13 43:5,20   executions 98:23   explicit 34:7   50:15 70:1,4   135:2,8	
46:20 69:19 71:5   160:24 162:2   <b>explicitly</b> 45:9   167:23 168:5   <b>factory</b> 16	65:10,11
71:7   executive 138:14   explore 23:6   extremism 80:14   factual 32	2:22
<b>evolved</b> 141:20	
exact 135:25   139:12   explored 142:23   extremist 83:22   Faculty 2	:14
exactly 61:10   exercise 121:23   143:17 145:8   84:7,11 95:6,10   failed 133	
66:18 120:23   Exeter 29:3 30:23   expose 69:20,24   104:1 106:20   failure 13	1:14
122:8 140:25   exhaustive 162:13   exposing 10:3   125:21 129:13,18   fair 86:22	119:12
148:14 165:12   exhibit 27:20   22:14 61:23 64:8   129:20 136:20   119:21	
examination 3:5   58:25 93:5 95:1   64:12,13,14 71:3   151:22 164:10   fairly 24:	
3:10 5:2 13:8,12   exhibited 91:4,6   express 37:7 66:24   eye 7:8,20 9:3,4,5   fall 23:19	,20
13:13 20:14 22:3   exhibits 53:14   expressed 54:19   9:7,8,21,22,23   163:25	
88:1 137:3,3 58:5,16 91:15 168:2 18:16 fallen 97:	
examinations 2:25 99:4 Expressing 126:5 eyeball 7:8 falling 15	
3:3   exist 49:20 50:12   expressly 48:16   eyebrow 5:12 8:6   falls 106:	
examine 20:22   existed 54:21   exquisitely 146:19   eyelid 9:1,25 10:7   family 38	
90:19 130:12   127:23 128:22   <b>extend</b> 48:15   17:17   43:3,4,8	3 125:8

				1480 170
famously 150:22	155:14	forbidden 40:12	19:13 131:10,11	<b>further</b> 18:21 23:6
far 16:14 70:6	<b>findings</b> 101:19	49:5 53:9	151:11	27:24 28:16
105:9,15 139:13	fine 1:10	force 13:23 14:15	fourth 28:12	32:23 61:21
father 59:14,17,18	finger 53:23 54:3	14:18 15:20	fracture 5:25 6:6,7	67:20 84:19 85:4
59:19 60:2,3,4,7	finish 137:21	21:10 22:4,10,18	6:17,24 13:20	88:1,21,23,24
favourite 109:2	165:16	22:21,25 23:8,10	14:14 16:22 18:3	89:3 101:8
featured 110:2	fire 69:17	24:8,9 25:8	19:5 21:2,8	110:24 119:24
features 25:16	first 27:11,13 29:2	42:14	22:11,25 24:4	130:20 131:8
February 3:11	32:18 33:1 35:22	forces 31:23 34:25	fractured 5:16,19	136:13 137:10
71:18 76:15	39:2 50:4,9 52:9	35:10 37:18 41:8	7:18 10:3 12:3	149:11 152:3,17
81:14 101:16	58:3 59:21 61:9	47:7 49:9 54:10	13:3 15:10,12	156:6,18,21
116:5,22 124:24	64:15 70:15	55:17	22:21	161:19
125:6 126:12	83:12,24 105:12	forearm 20:9	fractures 7:1	<b>future</b> 130:22
127:16 143:5	105:23 106:13	forehead 5:12	23:22	
144:20 145:2,23	114:3 117:22	Foreign 31:20	fracturing 7:9,12	G
147:2,19 149:14	122:13 123:21	<b>forensic</b> 2:11,15	8:20,21 10:2,24	gain 38:17 50:24
150:7 153:25	146:16	2:17 13:25 14:11	15:8 16:24	<b>Galaxy</b> 91:3,11
155:13,21,22	firstly 22:6 87:21	88:20	fragment 13:9	<b>gaping</b> 5:12 11:21
156:11,15,22	127:22 160:5	form 16:9 24:15	fragmentation	gate 140:2
157:15	162:1	35:1 45:22 46:1	6:18,21 7:5	gathered 44:15
<b>fed</b> 44:17 103:15	fitted 13:1	50:13 52:14	11:25	Gaza 95:16 129:23
<b>feed</b> 74:8	<b>fitting</b> 147:17	139:10	fragmented 4:2	129:24
<b>feel</b> 46:1	<b>five</b> 121:4,4 123:6	formation 50:11	12:25	<b>general</b> 40:25 50:4
feet 5:4 19:23	155:25 156:3	formed 4:13 84:10	fragments 7:9	62:4 66:3 67:14
fell 52:1 151:13	five-page 14:7	138:19	franchised 56:17	80:25 81:4
Fellow 2:13	flags 50:1 53:14	former 75:9	Frank 74:10,17	generally 33:9,23
felt 52:2 151:13	95:13 132:13	126:17	101:16 138:17	33:25 38:7,9
153:8	151:20	forms 10:22 12:3	169:7	39:4 93:15
<b>fend</b> 20:7	flesh 70:3	34:10 35:12	frequent 109:16	108:23
fighters 53:25	<b>flight</b> 156:4,7,21	45:10	friend 113:12	geographical
54:25 95:10,17	floor 4:4	formula 45:25	166:14	34:13
129:20 135:18	focus 31:1,2 51:19	formulaic 45:4	friends 98:7	geopolitics 69:4,10
<b>fighting</b> 51:7,24,24	120:10	<b>forums</b> 66:10	153:15	gesture 53:16
59:14 60:4,7,8	focused 81:2	<b>forward</b> 127:15	<b>front</b> 1:17 5:15	54:20,20 55:1
108:13	119:25 120:3,5	forwards 124:20	19:23 26:25	getting 26:13
<b>figure</b> 65:21	<b>follow</b> 66:19	153:23 155:18	frontal 5:25 21:3	42:21 89:20
161:16	followed 104:20	156:24 158:18	21:16 22:12	gibberish 46:5
file 131:4	110:9	<b>fought</b> 161:7	fuel 69:16 71:5	give 1:13 17:22
files 129:7,10	following 15:14	<b>found</b> 4:21,21 5:1	full 1:13 11:21	26:22 32:14
<b>filling</b> 149:12	61:2 124:24	5:22,24 12:24,25	15:5 26:23 74:16	36:22 38:3 44:3
filthy 69:16	127:14 141:4	14:24,25 46:21	113:23 130:8,9	44:18 45:22 50:2
final 55:6 71:13	151:4 168:13	52:19 58:6 71:22	133:16 142:5	50:4 53:4,21
87:20	follows 69:10	99:25 100:2	fully 107:17	66:4 67:15,20
finally 55:8 69:18	95:21 101:13	123:2 128:9,16	142:23	72:18 74:15 81:4 82:23 83:7 101:8
71:4 72:11	160:5	135:22 136:10	function 72:24	102:16 110:12
103:24 111:1	<b>foot</b> 5:8 124:11	141:14 151:20	92:9 117:12	111:19 112:1
find 20:17 27:10	footage 125:15	156:20 158:4	140:21,21	113:23 130:9
27:23 34:10	135:17 147:14	164:23 165:5	functions 48:9	133:16
45:10 100:15	148:6 153:25	four 19:10,12,13	<b>funded</b> 31:19	given 3:17 4:5
				given 3.17 4.3

				1 age 177
43:25 63:17 81:1	105:5 110:7	153:6 164:8	heard 46:24 52:9	84:21 115:11
102:21 104:1	111:22 112:15	gum 11:24 12:4	72:12 126:18,20	Holmes 91:22,24
105:4 121:16	114:23 115:8	guy 108:15	130:8 143:13	92:2,10,17
132:6 136:19	118:22 120:25	guy's 61:18	144:1 159:4	111:16 139:1,5
137:6	133:18 138:20,21	<b>Su</b> y 5 01.10	166:14,17	139:11,16,17,19
gives 52:13 60:5	139:3,11 146:23	H	hearing 73:17	140:12,18,20
95:24 108:9	150:1 164:15	<b>Hadith</b> 66:11	142:24 143:17	home 2:17,20,23
giving 36:20 67:24	165:16	haemorrhage 9:3	145:9 168:13	3:1 31:21 44:24
73:1 84:8 106:14	Gold 150:11 151:5	9:6 21:12,16	heart 164:15	58:17 138:23
123:13	good 1:5 36:19	haemorrhaging	heavy 16:23,25	155:3,14 158:7
glass 62:23	41:18 47:5 50:5	21:14	17:24 23:23	honorific 72:18
Gleave 26:2,3,4,5	54:9 59:16 63:11	half 5:11 32:19,20	24:10 79:18	hooded 149:3
26:6,7,12,20,24	74:15 90:5,5	60:22	height 5:4	hope 32:24 74:23
28:25 32:18	113:20,22 119:23	halfway 137:15	held 30:20 83:22	118:21
57:25 73:4,12	137:21	hammer 18:9,12	104:1 117:8	hopefully 57:12
169:5	governance 141:1	23:23	130:25 136:19	Hospital 3:15
Gleave's 73:10	government 31:19	hammers 18:13	hell 69:17 70:19	hostage 150:20
79:24	57:2	hand 14:16 34:23	help 24:21 33:1	hour 137:18,19,20
global 56:12,16,22	<b>GP</b> 4:7	53:23 113:12	34:5 44:19 62:8	housing 135:12
GMP's 121:17	gradually 38:15	handled 13:22	86:12,25 127:22	huge 56:22 167:24
go 1:22 7:16 23:9	granted 152:20	hands 19:23 20:9	helped 63:16	Hull 81:8 96:21
44:21 53:12	graphic 95:1,13	<b>happen</b> 44:7 47:9	helpful 71:12	125:9
79:17 81:19 82:8	graphics 95:19	66:17 106:8	165:23 168:5	human 47:14
83:7 93:16 101:6	grateful 73:14	happened 92:11	helpfully 81:22	humanitarian
104:17 109:19	167:23 168:4	104:7 132:19,19	92:19,22 98:15	84:7
110:7 111:22	graze 17:11	132:23 136:25	99:13	Humberside 81:9
124:20 133:18	great 63:12 72:15	happening 66:5	helping 25:20	125:7
134:1 139:3	greater 3:18 44:23	122:18	73:13	humiliate 69:14
148:24 153:13	46:22 54:18 75:9	happens 37:15	hemisphere 21:13	70:18
155:8 158:18	75:16 87:8	62:2 65:2	21:14	Hussain 2:4 27:6
165:9 166:5	103:12 116:25	<b>happy</b> 74:12 92:24	Henning 150:18	28:3,12,22 32:2
<b>gob</b> 61:12	ground 11:12	hard 14:14 22:8,24	heretical 70:16	32:12 69:5 71:17
<b>God</b> 40:21 41:6,9	15:13 68:6	harder 20:22	heretics 42:2 72:2	80:20,23 81:7
41:13,17,19	grounded 40:2	harm 34:2 43:19	72:4	82:10,21 83:21
47:12 48:25 54:2	grounds 157:21	47:10	high 4:11 78:22	84:6 85:1,13,21
54:4,7,11,12,14	group 49:3,3 52:1	harness 47:7	83:12 100:18	86:12 88:11
54:15,17 63:5	69:4,10 136:6	harnessed 37:19	103:19 104:23	90:14,18 91:3
God's 41:17 66:14	150:11 151:5,13	harvested 94:9	141:9 142:17	96:23,23 97:5,11
72:24	152:3 153:15,15	106:10	highlight 88:23	98:2,19 102:11
goes 96:14,18	153:16,19,20	hastened 91:1	highlighted 88:15	102:18 103:21
going 3:20 4:25	groups 51:23 69:3	head 5:9 7:17 19:3	highly 15:11	104:21 105:9,17
10:14 14:6 16:25	69:5 135:16	19:4 22:4,6 23:4	109:25	108:11,12,14
23:6 24:14,15	guarantee 152:19	23:8,17,18 25:9	hindsight 136:12	110:15,18,21
41:2 44:21 50:2	guidance 63:17	117:8,15	<b>history</b> 4:17 31:5	113:1 118:19
53:12 56:11 57:6	<b>guide</b> 69:13 70:15	header 5:23	50:11	121:24 122:2
60:23 73:17 78:1	108:13	heading 77:3	hit 14:15 162:23	124:13 125:4,8
83:18 85:19 87:4	<b>guilt</b> 164:14	129:6 149:1	163:13	125:14,19 126:14
89:19 90:6 91:9	guilty 41:13,22	healing 43:1	hold 2:10,15 38:6	127:10 128:1,4
91:14 101:2,3	52:19 149:22	hear 26:18 101:3	44:5 47:21,23	131:20 132:12
		101:10		
L.,	-	-	-	-

135:4,16 138:18   165:1   1320   image 4:2 68:1,8   131:24 161:5,17   imagery 50:2   53:14 95:10,18   96:8 98:20   100:15,24   images 67:25 95:2   103:15 106:11   1C/I 134:6   98:22,23 99:25   100:129:18,20   100:129:18,20   100:15,24   images 67:25 50:12   100:13,21 104:10   106:9 108:22   109:15,25 110:13   129:12,22,32.25   109:15,25 110:13   128:17 129:6,7   129:12 132:25   137:4   106:20,25 16:21   166:19   160:19				_	1 age 100
165:1   Hussain's 86:2,7   image 4:2 68:1,8   inaudible 50:25   70:16 108:21   individual's 43:22   16:1,11,16,22   individual's 43:22   16:1,11,16,22   individual's 43:22   17:2,3,6,7,8,9,13   individual's 20:17   17:2,9,25   18:2,1   18:7   17:2,9,25   18:2,1   18:7   17:2,9,25   18:2,1   18:7   17:2,2,5   18:2,1   18:7   17:2,2,5   18:2,1   18:3,1   19:18   20:4,8   21:19 23:4,8,25	135:4,16 138:18	identities 119:3	70:4	46:17 48:6.9	11:10,20 12:15
Hussain's 86:2,7   91:11 97:18   131:24 161:5,17   imager 4:2 68:1,8   131:24 161:5,17   imagery 50:2   53:14 95:10,18   96:8 98:20   103:15 106:11   96:8 98:20   103:15 106:11   98:22,23 99:25   100:15,24   images 67:25 95:2   incident 140:1   43:18 97:5   118:13 119:7   100:15,24   images 67:25 95:2   incident 140:1   43:18 97:5   21:19 23:4,8,25   24:5,12,15   100:12 99:19,13,20   100:12 91:18,20   100:12 91:18,20   100:12 91:18,20   100:12 91:18,20   129:12,22,33.25   130:24 131:12,20   100:12 51:10:13   128:17 129:6,7   129:12 132:25   130:24 131:13 131:14 166:20   164:23   imagine 119:9   imam 47:24 61:13   164:23   imagine 119:9   imam 47:24 61:13   168:21   104:20 150:18   168:21   104:20 150:18   168:21   104:20 150:18   168:21   104:20 150:18   168:21   104:20 150:18   168:21   104:20 150:18   168:21   104:20 150:18   168:21   104:20 150:18   169:20   104:20 150:18   164:23   imagine 119:9   includes 37:4 46:4   including 31:20   164:33   immediate 146:20   immediate 161:1   17:19   31:19 142:16   17:19   25 18:4,5,5   21:5 24:19,23   implements 23:24   imcledet 13:3   increase 80:8   increase 80:8   increase 80:8   increasing 80:14   implements 23:24   importance 83:14   49:15 54:3,22   19:22 20:1,13,24   11:15:5 16:5   instantaneously	•				
91:11 97:18					
107:6 109:15   111:4   53:14 95:10,18   58:19   100:15,24   100:15,24   100:15,24   100:15,24   100:15,24   100:15,24   100:127:19   100:2 129:18,20   100:2 129:18,20   125:23   100:2 129:18,20   130:24 131:12,20   130:2		<u> </u>		,	
111:4			-		
T		0 0			
IC 99:25 102:20   images 67:25 95:2   sps:2,23 99:25   incident 140:1   148:7   129:13,20,234   130:24 131:12,20   125:23   included 39:1 23:2   103:13,21 104:10   160:20,25 162:1   162:3 163:25   109:15,25 110:13   128:17 129:6,7   129:12 132:25   137:4   165:21   Imams 65:14,19   160:20,35 164:13   137:4   165:21   Imams 65:14,19   160:40 39:1 31:3 41:10 149:1   165:23 88:10 88:17 92:19 93:4   43:18 89:5   118:13 119:7   24:51,21 10:7   20:15 21:1,10   inquest 121:17   inquiry 1:13 25:21   162:23 163:25   included 59:10   161:1 76:25 80:12   inferior 6:17 18:18   18:23   26:21,23 27:4   26:21,23 27:4   27:10 10:18   18:23   26:21,23 27:4   27:10 10:18   160:19   induence 68:20   36:23 39:2 46:24   32:10,18,19,22   influence 68:20   36:23 39:2 46:24   36:23 39:2 46:24   36:23 39:2 46:24   36:23 39:2 46:24   36:23 39:3 46:3 46:3 59:19   induence 68:20   36:23 39:2 46:24		· ·			
IC 99:25 102:20   images 67:25 95:2   95:5,13,18 96:11   48:7   118:13 119:7   24:5,12,15   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:13 119:7   120:3,5 135:20   118:14:10 144:11,16   20:15 21:1,10   inquest 121:17   inquiry 1:13 25:21   16:10 16:19   16:10 16:20,25 16:21   16:17 6:25 80:12   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:20   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:20   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:20   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:23   18:20   18:23   18:2	I			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
103:15 106:11	IC 99:25 102:20				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
IC/I 134:6   IC I 127:19   100:2 129:18,20   125:23   141:10 144:11,16   144	103:15 106:11	0			
ICI 127:19	IC/1 134:6				
ICW/191:4,11   94:1,7,13,20,24   96:9 101:15   130:24 131:12,20   13	IC1 127:19	7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
94:1,7,13,20,24   96:9 101:15   130:24 131:12,20   132:12,13 158:1   160:20,25 162:1   160:20   160:	ICW/1 91:4,11				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
106:9 101:15	94:1,7,13,20,24				_
103:13,21 104:10					
106:9 108:22   162:3 163:25   164:23   164:23   164:23   164:23   164:23   166:19   160:19					· /
109:15,25 110:13		•			
128:17 129:6,7   129:12 132:25   137:123   160:19   160	109:15,25 110:13				
129:12 132:25					-
137:4   1CW/2 91:6   1CW/3 91:7   65:24,24,25 66:1   1mams 65:14,19   65:24,24,25 66:1   1mams' 61:3 65:9   100:11 103:11,13 10:15 10:15   10:18 103:7   10:18 10:18 10:17   10:18 10:18 10:17   10:18 10:18 10:18 10:19   10:18	129:12 132:25				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ICW/2 91:6   ICW/3 91:7   65:24,24,25 66:1   77:18 82:10 86:7   83:8 84:2 86:6   100:11 103:11,13   107:22 108:20   101:18 103:7   111:21 129:19   138:11 155:8,19   152:18 154:10   159:25 160:6   166:19   17:19   131:9 142:16   143:1 149:7   151:19 153:19   154:17,18 155:6   159:1   identifies 93:3   identifies 93:	137:4				
ICW/3 91:7					_
ICW/5 91:7         Imams' 61:3 65:9         98:21 99:14         89:1 99:8 111:19         107:22 108:20           idea 36:22 38:4         imbued 68:13         98:21 99:14         89:1 99:8 111:19         107:22 108:20           identified 3:6 32:1         immediately         111:21 129:19         138:11 155:8,19         115:2 118:9,15           45:1 64:8 81:23         immediately         144:9 147:8         156:6 157:13         121:18 148:2           87:21,23 88:10         impact 8:11 17:6,7         43:5 92:8         166:19         incoming 20:7,12         initial 77:19         168:2           99:10 129:17         17:19         40:15 49:11         initiation 157:3         insertion 42:10           131:9 142:16         15:2 24:19,23         increase 80:8         5:3,9 6:13,15,21         10:21 14:24           159:1         implements 23:24         implements 23:24         incedible 14:18         12:14,20 14:22         inspector 3:18           identifies 93:3         importance 83:14         49:15 54:3,22         19:22 20:1,13,24         instantaneously				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
idea 36:22 38:4         imbued 68:13         imbued 68:13         inmediate 146:20         inmediate 146:20         inmediate 146:20         inmediate 146:20         inmediate 146:20         informed 144:8					,
identified 3:6 32:1					
identified 3:6 32:1         immediately         144:9 147:8         156:6 157:13         121:18 148:2           45:1 64:8 81:23         152:18 154:10         159:25 160:6         informed 144:8         149:5 165:23           84:15 85:20         impact 8:11 17:6,7         161:4 165:1         initial 77:19         168:2           87:21,23 88:10         43:5 92:8         impacting 21:21         incoming 20:7,12         initially 75:20         43:23 72:12           99:10 129:17         17:19         40:15 49:11         initiation 157:3         insertion 42:10           131:9 142:16         17:19,25 18:4,5,5         17:19,25 18:4,5,5         increase 80:8         5:3,9 6:13,15,21         inside 7:20 9:22           154:17,18 155:6         159:1         implemented 51:1         increasing 80:14         6:24 7:17 9:15         20:18 68:2 158:6           159:1         implements 23:24         implements 23:24         indicate 33:11         16:12,14 19:19         115:25 116:5           160:12,14 19:19         19:22 20:1,13,24         instantaneously				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
45:1 64:8 81:23 84:15 85:20 87:21,23 88:10 88:17 92:19 93:4 93:18 97:19,22 99:10 129:17 131:9 142:16 143:1 149:7 151:19 153:19 154:17,18 155:6 159:1 identifies 93:3  45:1 64:8 81:23 152:18 154:10 159:25 160:6 161:4 165:1 166:19 166:19 166:19 17:19 166:19 17:19 166:19 17:19 166:19 17:19 17:19 18:10 163:1 159:25 160:6 161:4 165:1 161:4 165:1 161:4 165:1 161:4 165:1 163:1 17:19 168:2 Inquiry's 42:3 43:23 72:12 105:1,11 105:1,11 115:5 167:23 115:19 157:3 115:19 153:19 17:19,25 18:4,5,5 18:10 105:1,11 18:10 17:10 168:2 115:11 15:5 167:23 115:11	identified 3:6 32:1				-
84:15 85:20       impact 8:11 17:6,7       161:4 165:1       161:4 165:1       168:2         88:17 92:19 93:4       43:5 92:8       impacting 21:21       incoming 20:7,12       initial 77:19       43:23 72:12         99:10 129:17       131:9 142:16       17:19       40:15 49:11       incorrect 94:8       injuries 4:20,22,25       inside 7:20 9:22         143:1 149:7       17:19,25 18:4,5,5       increase 80:8       5:3,9 6:13,15,21       10:21 14:24         159:1       implements 23:24       indicate 33:11       12:14,20 14:22       10:21 15:25 116:5         importance 83:14       49:15 54:3,22       19:22 20:1,13,24       instantaneously		•			
87:21,23 88:10       43:5 92:8       166:19       105:11 159:9       Inquiry's 42:3         88:17 92:19 93:4       93:18 97:19,22       impacts 8:11       incorporated       105:1,11       43:23 72:12         99:10 129:17       17:19       40:15 49:11       initially 75:20       115:5 167:23         131:9 142:16       implement 16:19       incorrect 94:8       injuries 4:20,22,25       inside 7:20 9:22         151:19 153:19       21:5 24:19,23       increase 80:8       5:3,9 6:13,15,21       10:21 14:24         159:1       implemented 51:1       incredible 14:18       12:14,20 14:22       inspector 3:18         159:1       implements 23:24       indicate 33:11       16:12,14 19:19       115:25 116:5         inspector 3:18       115:25 116:5       115:25 116:5					
88:17 92:19 93:4 93:18 97:19,22 99:10 129:17 131:9 142:16 143:1 149:7 151:19 153:19 154:17,18 155:6 159:1 identifies 93:3       impacting 21:21 incoming 20:7,12 incoming		_			
93:18 97:19,22       impacts 8:11       incorporated       105:1,11       115:5 167:23         99:10 129:17       131:9 142:16       implement 16:19       incorporated       40:15 49:11       initiation 157:3       insertion 42:10         143:1 149:7       17:19,25 18:4,5,5       increase 80:8       5:3,9 6:13,15,21       10:21 14:24         151:19 153:19       21:5 24:19,23       increasing 80:14       6:24 7:17 9:15       20:18 68:2 158:6         159:1       implements 23:24       indicate 33:11       16:12,14 19:19       115:25 116:5         identifies 93:3       importance 83:14       49:15 54:3,22       19:22 20:1,13,24       instantaneously	•				
99:10 129:17 131:9 142:16 143:1 149:7 151:19 153:19 154:17,18 155:6 159:1 identifies 93:3  17:19  40:15 49:11 incorrect 94:8 increase 80:8 increase 80:8 increasing 80:14 incredible 14:18 indicate 33:11 incredible 14:18 indicate 33:11 indicate 33:11 indicate 33:11 indicate 33:11 indicate 33:11 indicate 33:11 indicate 33:14		1 0			
131:9 142:16       implement 16:19       incorrect 94:8       injuries 4:20,22,25       inside 7:20 9:22         143:1 149:7       17:19,25 18:4,5,5       increase 80:8       5:3,9 6:13,15,21       10:21 14:24         151:19 153:19       21:5 24:19,23       increasing 80:14       6:24 7:17 9:15       20:18 68:2 158:6         159:1       implements 23:24       indicate 33:11       16:12,14 19:19       115:25 116:5         identifies 93:3       importance 83:14       49:15 54:3,22       19:22 20:1,13,24       instantaneously	· ·	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
143:1 149:7       17:19,25 18:4,5,5       increase 80:8       5:3,9 6:13,15,21       10:21 14:24         151:19 153:19       21:5 24:19,23       increasing 80:14       6:24 7:17 9:15       20:18 68:2 158:6         159:1       implements 23:24       indicate 33:11       16:12,14 19:19       115:25 116:5         identifies 93:3       importance 83:14       49:15 54:3,22       19:22 20:1,13,24       instantaneously	131:9 142:16				
151:19 153:19 154:17,18 155:6 159:1 identifies 93:3 implements 23:24 importance 83:14 incredible 14:18 implements 23:24 importance 83:14 incredible 14:18 indicate 33:11 49:15 54:3,22 incredible 14:18 indicate 33:11 49:15 54:3,22 incredible 14:18 incredible 14:1	143:1 149:7	-			
154:17,18 155:6   implemented 51:1   incredible 14:18   12:14,20 14:22   inspector 3:18   159:1   implements 23:24   indicate 33:11   16:12,14 19:19   115:25 116:5   instantaneously				· / / /	
159:1   implements 23:24   indicate 33:11   16:12,14 19:19   115:25 116:5   identifies 93:3   importance 83:14   49:15 54:3,22   19:22 20:1,13,24   instantaneously	154:17,18 155:6	· ·	_		
identifies 93:3   importance 83:14   49:15 54:3,22   19:22 20:1,13,24   instantaneously	·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19.13 5 1.3,22 19.13,21 Instantaneously		-			
30.0 103.14,17   [58:2]   Indicated 53:12   77:446 16 73:18   73:73	96:6 103:14,19	158:21	indicated 53:12	22:4,4,6,16 23:18	73:23
140:6   important 23:11   indicating 22:21   23:20,23 24:2,14   instruction 3:7				1 1 1	
identify 27:8 30:25 72:23 83:25 65:23 25:20,25 2 1:2,11 instrument 15:4		-	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
81:13 84:20,25 84:23 119:1 indication 104:1 injury 5:7,17,20 insufficient 150:4					
85:20 93:23   123:2 132:4   136:19   5:24 6:3,4,12,14   insulin 19:17	· ·			9 6	
109:22 118:2,8   149:5 158:22   indicative 129:13   7:19,20,25 8:2,3   insult 70:6					
126:22 130:16   164:3   indirect 120:19   8:7,10,19,21,23   intelligence 81:3,5	•				
131:4 148:8,10   impression 138:19   individual 36:25   8:25 9:13,16   96:20 97:23 98:5					
148:12 149:3   impure 70:3   41:21 42:12,18   10:2,6,12,16,18   104:3,5,10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
identifying 131:14   impurifying 70:2   43:17 44:3 45:21   10:20,25 11:2,5,5   106:18 110:3,19		_	,		* *
153:21   Impuritying 70:2   45:17 44:5 45:21   10:20,25 11:2,5,5   100:10 110:5,19		pujg / 0.2	15.17 11.5 15.21	10.20,20 11.2,0,0	100.10 110.5,17
;				l	l

	_			
110:24,25 125:6	134:13 137:12	irregular 5:12	<b>J</b> 163:3	K-H-A-D-E-E-R
126:12 136:21,23	138:15,20,23	6:18 7:4 8:4,17	JA/2 59:1	153:18
137:6,10 139:7	139:9,14,19	9:25 18:17	JA/4 59:1	<b>K-U-F-R</b> 64:9,10
144:12,21,23	141:13 147:3	ISIL 126:1 160:6	jadu 61:13 62:13	<b>Kadir</b> 59:10 61:7
145:24	148:1,7 150:25	ISIS 50:1,5,6,7,9	Jalal 3:7 72:13	61:16,21 69:6
intend 89:20	152:6 159:20,24	52:1 53:18 54:25	118:17 124:15	71:17 80:24 97:9
intending 2:6	investigations 77:3	79:20 95:13,18	127:15,25 147:4	97:12,15,19,22
intending 2.6	77:9,12 86:24	129:14,21 132:14	147:18 148:3	98:2 103:20
interacted 166:12	115:23 116:6,11	160:6,20	149:23 151:1	104:1,3,11
166:18	117:9,15 120:25	Islam 31:10 33:2,3	152:10 153:1,6	106:15 107:21
interacts 167:15	121:4 131:5	35:25 39:4,21	154:10 158:10	108:10,12,17
interest 83:21	135:22 138:12	40:17,21 47:19	January 28:13,14	109:15 110:2,16
interference 29:8	139:6,9,12	49:23 50:16 51:4	75:23 144:7,19	110:18,21,25
internal 20:14	141:10,14,16	51:5,8,25 52:5	Jason 1:12 113:20	113:1 120:1,4,5,8
22:14 56:3	investigative 78:24	55:17,23 64:14	Jazak 61:22 63:4,5	120:11 122:3
internet 82:12,19	115:18 127:6,8	70:6 127:12	jigsaw 119:9,15	131:24 136:19,21
89:4 125:3	137:5 149:2	Islamic 30:7,11,14	Jihad 51:6,7 95:14	137:6 142:16,21
interrogate 94:16	investigator	30:18 31:6,14,15	95:19 129:21,22	143:2,21 144:5
106:1	116:23 117:3	34:15 35:14	161:11	144:21 145:6,25
interrogated	134:10	36:13 41:21	Jihadi 51:9,15,22	148:21 154:13
106:15 110:1	investigator's	49:11 50:9 51:19	51:23 52:1,14	155:2,21 156:10
interruption 29:9	134:11	52:10,12,14,20	54:5,16 95:17	156:14 159:1,14
interventions	investigators	52:23 53:2,3,8,24	150:22	159:22,25 160:9
105:5	82:25 130:15	54:23 55:5,11,14	jinn 46:25 47:1	160:18 161:4
interview 103:9	Inviting 126:4	56:6,13,16,17	job 92:15	164:6,7 165:5
149:20	involve 22:18	57:2 64:13 65:22	John 150:22	166:9,18,22
interviewed	35:15 42:9 50:23	69:4,10 70:8,23	join 56:22 81:10	Kadir's 61:5
158:15	138:13	80:5 95:6 108:13	95:18 125:13	148:17 155:1,11
introduced 136:15	involved 50:23	126:1 129:18	joined 75:16 76:9	159:9 160:4
inverted 65:15,18	51:5,6 82:11	135:17 160:5,9	77:7 115:20	161:15 162:14
65:23	85:21 86:17	160:14,19,22,24	joining 75:21	164:13
investigated 87:10	119:4,7 151:23	161:2,8,17,18,20	161:2 162:5	keen 41:23
investigating 79:9	152:1 153:5,19	162:2,5 164:10	<b>jotted</b> 45:19	keep 23:11 138:3
116:12 142:21	157:20	Islamist 98:22	judgment 139:2	keeper 148:10
143:1,4	involvement 78:6	Islamists 109:3	145:19	keepers 140:2
investigation	78:18 120:17,19	isnt 61:23	<b>Juhel</b> 157:5,12,15	key 157:13 164:15
76:12,25 79:2	122:17 123:7,11	isolation 16:11	157:19 158:7,9	Khadeer 153:18
82:2,11,25 83:17	123:12 141:18,24	issue 40:24 91:10	158:12	khair 61:22 63:5
84:13 88:7 91:1	150:13	141:7 144:10	<b>July</b> 69:3,9 75:11	khinzir 69:23
91:20,21 92:4	involves 42:6	issues 32:20 38:19	75:17 87:9 142:4	kick 15:3
93:22 97:4	138:15	114:24 118:14	June 55:10,11	kicks 15:7
101:20,23 111:11	involving 8:5	119:2	jurisprudence	kill 61:6 66:20
116:15,19,21	iPads 88:22	Istanbul 156:21	2:12 31:15	109:9 159:16
117:1 118:17	<b>iPod</b> 91:7	item 53:16 58:6,10	jurists 36:5	166:19,23 167:3
122:2,5,6 124:13	<b>Iraq</b> 50:9 52:2,10	items 86:23,24	justification 31:14	167:12,19
124:16 126:13	52:24 55:11	87:17 91:2 131:9	justifications 31:2	<b>killing</b> 108:19
127:24 128:2,4	56:21 109:3	items/material	justified 153:9	kills 72:2
129:9 130:12	125:11	88:21	justify 157:21	kind 10:11 100:15
131:10 132:3	Iraqi 80:3			100:17 132:15
		J	K	
	I	I	I	I

				1480 102
135:19 139:14	59:15	liberty 86:14	location 10:16	34:3,4,6,11,14,20
157:20	laptop 91:6	lids 9:22	84:15	34:21 35:1,4,20
kindly 91:18 121:1	laptops 88:22	lie 21:7	locations 6:22	35:23 36:4,8,18
129:5	large 6:18 7:4	life 43:22 69:14	19:15 20:8	36:22,25 37:9,12
Kingdom 126:2	58:21,22	70:18	log 99:17 161:16	37:17,22,25 38:2
156:9,10	lasted 123:22	light 152:8	London 2:13	38:4,7,24 40:10
kinzirs 69:21,22	Latin 33:5	lights 147:24	long 1:20 5:14	40:11,18,19 41:2
know 9:14 26:18	launched 126:13	148:4	11:8 26:14 56:23	41:24 43:15
36:2 37:14 42:19	law 35:14 36:13	limbs 20:7,11	114:3,14	47:17 48:15 50:8
42:24 46:5,12	lay 151:9	limit 50:21	longer 57:17 89:16	54:8 62:11,22
49:10 52:8 60:1	layer 20:18	limited 105:20	135:23 136:3	66:8 67:9,11
61:14 62:1 63:11	lead 155:9	115:8 165:7	138:12	69:11
66:11,18 67:4	leader 56:4 161:5	line 12:10 63:8	look 17:25 18:1	magical 33:9 35:9
70:7 72:8 80:22	leaders 95:6	64:11,15 92:9	38:21 78:2 83:13	38:12,20 40:23
83:16 90:14 97:9	129:19	125:23 128:15	85:19 94:6	41:24 45:7 47:7
97:11,15 98:1	leadership 57:4	139:21 149:5	110:11 114:1	52:16
107:2 111:14	157:4	linear 18:1,18	117:22 120:9,24	magician 36:24
138:15	<b>leading</b> 40:16 77:2	lines 20:18 67:6,7	133:13	37:4 38:13 41:1
knowing 107:2	123:7 146:20	67:8 112:20	looked 45:5 82:19	58:19 61:6 62:10
119:3	leap 124:1	<b>lining</b> 21:10	96:12 97:3,14,17	62:11 66:20,25
knowledge 2:1	learned 113:12	link 108:12 132:4	98:20 100:25	67:5 71:1 157:17
28:7,23 75:4	141:3 166:14	135:24 136:2	105:12 109:19	158:1 159:16
114:11,20 142:13	leave 29:10 70:16	154:12,22	128:5	166:20 167:4,19
known 41:14	<b>leaving</b> 6:11 17:2	linked 31:13 50:1	looking 7:20 44:25	magician' 166:24
45:11,12 52:7,9	lecture 30:14	58:1 104:11	83:16 85:12,14	167:13
52:11 59:25 72:4	lectures 129:25	129:14 160:21,22	85:16 109:22	magician's 63:18
72:13,21 73:1	led 13:19 31:13	links 135:16,21,22	120:7 136:9	magicians 41:10
95:5 96:19 98:6	117:5 126:17	136:3,4	138:4 146:15	47:18 69:16
98:7 110:5	156:13 162:20	<b>lip</b> 11:21 15:5	162:18	70:20
117:17 119:11	<b>left</b> 6:20 7:11,13	list 3:1 4:22 91:2	<b>lot</b> 48:17 54:25	Magistrates 90:10
128:3 129:18	9:18,19,25 10:10	<b>listed</b> 92:5 121:13	84:11 90:25	Magistrates'
150:11,22	18:16,16 93:15	123:6	105:21 109:5	152:18
knows 20:3	150:13,15 154:6	listener 118:4	159:4 164:2	main 10:5,11
Koranic 73:2	156:3,9,10 165:8	literally 41:15	love 161:12	18:21 25:16
kufr 61:23 64:13	legal 2:15 31:3	59:14 109:10	low 151:24	61:18 165:8
64:23	35:13 52:20	little 2:20 5:20 7:3	lower 10:7 11:21	major 116:25
<b>Kuma</b> 69:19	legislation 82:4	9:22 20:22 44:4	15:5 20:11	140:1 148:7
	legitimate 57:2	57:13 75:14	loyalty 55:3	majority 36:6,7
laceration 5:13 8:4	legs 19:23	89:16 91:15	Lumb 1:5,7,8,12	maker 37:5
10:1 11:22 12:7	lessons 141:3	112:17 122:1	1:15 25:20,23	making 53:1
12:10,11 15:5	lest 87:6	162:6	169:3	162:12
18:22,23	let's 16:14 57:19	lived 39:19 81:11	lunch 87:21 88:6	male 147:17,22
lacerations 10:5	80:17	96:22 148:12	89:9,13,15 93:19	149:3 153:21
15:6 23:17	letters 46:17 163:3	living 90:15	97:3	161:16
Laden 95:7 129:19	level 78:22 83:12	load 130:14	luncheon 90:3,9	males 98:24
130:1	103:19 104:23	local 61:3 65:10	<u>M</u>	160:20
language 32:20	lexicon 123:14	localised 21:22	magic 33:2,3,6,10	males/beheaded
35:20,20	liable 41:4,22	located 44:5 56:6	33:13,13,14,20	98:24
languages 37:2	51:20,21,22	144:16	33:21,21,22 34:1	manage 78:25
ianguages 57.2	l	l	33.21,21,22 37.1	l

				Page 183
85:6 138:11	133:14 136:9	18:17,22,23,25	met 99:11 101:12	124:19 133:19
139:8	146:4 158:21	21:15,16	101:24 107:17	mobile 87:17
managed 140:17	161:23 162:25	mechanism 17:16	111:8 125:1	90:18 91:4,7
management	163:6 164:2	23:7 24:18	134:4,22 140:15	96:22 98:18
77:19 92:19	165:13	mechanisms 23:2	methacrylate	107:6 130:12
139:18	materials 118:11	23:8,14	14:12	133:2 158:4
manager 140:3	142:12 160:21	media 52:8 88:20	Metropolitan	modern 35:19,20
managing 91:17	mathematical	94:20,24 96:4	82:13	Mohammed 2:4
141:14	45:24	98:18 99:16	Miah 157:5,12,15	28:3,12,22 32:5
Manchester 3:8,18	matter 143:11,25	100:21 124:14	157:19,25 158:9	32:12 59:19,20
30:1,9 44:23	146:9 163:18	129:6,12	158:12	59:22 69:6 71:17
46:22 75:9,16	matters 32:22	medial 5:11 6:20	Miah's 158:7	80:20,22 82:20
77:15 87:8	67:22,22 71:13	7:13	Micra 154:1,6,8	85:13 86:2 97:9
103:12 116:25	78:13,20 102:9	medical 2:8,12 4:5	155:1,7,16	97:12,18,19,21
155:22 156:16	104:10 119:25	4:17 25:8	microphone 26:14	98:2,2 102:10
Mangan 83:3	120:17 135:3,9	medicine 2:9,15	26:17 29:15	103:20,20 104:11
93:19	146:5	Meeks 60:12 101:5	mid 12:10	107:21 108:10
manner 23:13	maxilla 6:20 7:14	101:11 103:25	mid-part 8:5	109:15 110:2
<b>March</b> 27:24	7:15	104:15 106:17	middle 23:21	122:2 124:13
28:17,19 115:20	maxillary 14:22	113:14,15,24	29:24,25 30:8	127:21 131:24
116:16,22 124:5	mean 2:23 7:4	138:4 167:23	109:4	132:12 137:6
158:13	10:17 13:21	169:10	mind 69:7 78:3	142:16,21 143:1
mark 64:2 130:18	18:19 20:4,16	meet 9:22 154:15	118:4 119:13	143:21 144:5
162:24 163:13	22:7 37:16,16,17	meeting 145:2,4	120:9 135:10	145:25 148:16,21
marked 130:19	37:17 41:4 45:7	150:7	mindset 83:22	153:14 155:10,20
Maroquin 121:8	46:13 58:21	member 2:14 43:2	84:12,21,25	157:5,7 158:25
121:14,16	59:20 62:18	81:2 83:8	88:12 95:3 96:16	159:22 160:8,18
marriage 47:25	63:24 103:17	members 43:10	100:3 110:23	161:3,15 166:22
Martin 26:24	129:8 138:14	69:6 125:8	129:13,14 130:4	moment 17:2
martyrs 98:23	141:20 167:13,13	150:11	131:7 132:15	26:13 29:17
masjid 61:3 65:10	meaning 46:14	men 69:14	151:22 164:10	38:22 55:6 98:20
65:11,12	59:12 60:7,8	meninges 20:15,17		116:21 120:15
master's 29:24	71:23 72:10	21:1,7	158:19	121:21 122:12
match-making	161:18	menthol 14:11	minimum 17:4	127:3
48:1	means 41:16 59:14	mention 20:14	19:12,13	monopolising
material 45:5	59:19 63:5,5	29:13 131:11,11	minute 57:9,15,19	54:22
67:24 88:11,24	64:16 65:1 67:8	mentioned 18:8	60:22 147:22	monopoly 52:12
89:3 95:3,23	70:8 71:7,8	21:11 33:7 36:20	minutes 57:18	month 80:4
96:15,16,21	72:17,20 123:15	38:4,23 40:24	73:25 137:18	months 118:14
100:3,14,17	138:21 152:14	47:1 72:1 112:10	minutiae 51:16,21	127:16 141:24
102:20 103:6	160:7	merged 6:17 7:1	misleadingly 2:20	morning 1:5
106:20 118:8,16	meant 56:25 64:21	message 40:17,21	missing 160:12	Morris 73:24 74:5
119:24 120:1	108:16 120:2	50:19 51:8 54:5	mission 39:15	74:10,17,18 75:7
122:21 123:2,16	165:13	54:5 55:5 61:7	51:13	89:9,23 90:6
123:16 124:13	measure 19:4	70:11,13 154:16	mistake 134:1	94:8 101:16
127:19,20,23	measures 140:20	157:14 159:12 167:6	mistakes 64:7	103:17 107:9
128:12,16,21	measuring 5:13 6:1 8:4 9:2 10:1		misuse 21:24 mixture 46:10	109:12 111:25
129:2,8,14,17 130:4,16 132:15	11:7,22 12:6,7,13	messages 153:16 messaging 68:9,20	Mm-hm 121:12,22	112:4,7,9 113:8 126:17 134:17
150.7,10 152.15	11.7,22 12.0,7,13	incosaging 00.7,20	141111-11111 121.12,22	140.1/134.1/
	1	l	l	l

				Page 164
138:17 169:7	153:17 154:17	<b>NECTU</b> 144:3,10	117:18,19 118:13	<b>object</b> 43:25 44:11
Morris' 89:14	155:3 157:15	144:14 159:24	125:7 126:13	62:19
131:14	164:4,8,15	NECTU's 145:1	143:19 144:3,7	objective 83:25
mortem 2:16,25	murdered 153:1	need 38:9,10 39:10	144:20,22 145:4	84:9,19,23 85:5
3:3,5,10 13:8,12	Muslim 31:3,9	39:16,17,17	145:11,12,12,23	85:18 109:22
mortuary 3:14	33:18,20 34:3,6,9	42:20 50:23,23	145:25 146:6	126:22
mosque 47:25	34:9,11,17,19	51:5 54:17 57:6	159:20	objectives 83:10
65:12,14 147:15	35:3,18 36:5,16	63:20,22 73:16	nose 7:10,10 9:18	83:14,14,19,20
Mosul 80:3	36:17 37:2,7	87:5 120:15	10:6,10 11:6,9	97:2,4,21 99:10
mother 108:15	39:8,9,16 40:2,15	136:15 140:10	17:11	101:12,24 107:16
motherfucker	41:25 42:5,11	151:25	note 3:23 59:4	109:20 111:6,7
108:17	47:3,22 50:19	needed 44:16 52:3	100:20 112:19	134:4,12,22
motive 119:5	51:1 54:14,21	164:7,9	notebook 45:17,23	objects 42:11
164:3	55:17 59:15	needing 139:16	46:22	obligations 140:15
mouth 4:1 12:9	66:23 68:21	needs 36:9 49:12	notebooks 58:16	observation 4:21
13:1,7,9,23 14:19	69:25	108:19	noted 14:23 15:6	13:4 62:4
15:8 24:17,19,23	Muslims 36:3	negative 70:1	15:10 154:1,6	observations 3:25
move 14:21 26:3	37:21 39:23 40:8	negatively 33:16	notes 4:6,7,7 81:23	observe 13:11
27:22 57:12 74:5	54:15 56:1 57:3	34:2 70:5	notice 65:14	observed 5:16,19
79:3 99:6 107:20	63:10	neglected 29:10	notification	7:19 17:23
138:20,24 153:23	muted 29:11,12,16	neither 51:2	156:11,14,17	obsession 51:17
156:24		Nelson 3:8	notion 37:14	obstruction 23:5
moved 79:5 105:2	N	nephew 81:8,9	November 125:9	23:15
movement 39:4,6	N 169:2	84:4 87:24	161:15	obtain 14:3 144:4
39:7,8 40:6	nail 11:13	104:21 125:10,10	Nth 51:17	145:24
50:13,15 55:12	naked 7:20	125:12,13 127:11	nullify 64:5	obtained 122:21
72:4	name 1:12,14,15	nephew's 91:6	number 6:12 8:22	129:7 138:22
movements 31:9	26:20,23 36:24	96:22	17:4,8,20,25 18:2	149:11,16
moves 41:20	37:1 52:12 59:10	network 141:21	23:16 31:13	obtaining 87:5,8
moving 28:10	73:2 74:16	new 56:5 152:7	32:21 34:18 37:2	90:10 144:11
127:15 147:23	113:20,23 121:16	nice 60:1	45:17 47:22,23	155:9
Muhammad 33:8	159:1	nine 28:18	48:8 50:10 53:4	obvious 167:24
33:19 39:11	names 43:25 50:10	Nissan 154:1	64:3 83:19 95:2	<b>obviously</b> 11:17,18
49:15,16,18 61:6	nasal 6:20 10:2,3	Nitra 131:3	95:5 99:16	71:15 81:10 84:1
66:12,12,15,20	nation 161:7	nobble 9:22	107:22 115:9	86:14 90:23
159:16	national 141:21	non-CT 78:13	121:6,6,6,7,7	97:14 102:14
Muhammad's	159:19	non-CTU 117:3	140:11 144:10	103:9 105:25
39:15	nationally 141:20	non-Islamic 49:10	167:15	109:2,3 132:2
multiple 7:9	natural 25:5	non-literal 59:23	numbers 46:15,17	149:4
murder 3:12 71:18	nature 2:24 8:13	normal 45:8	numerous 52:22	occasionally 8:12
116:8,16,22	16:9 17:23 18:2	normally 12:3	53:8 64:7 98:23	occasions 108:11
118:17 119:4,6	41:18 98:23	20:19 34:2,5	NWCTU 115:20	occurred 14:20
120:4 123:19	138:13	37:3,9 41:4 42:9	116:6,11 117:18	116:21 136:11
124:15 127:14,17	nearly 119:11	43:12,18 47:21	141:18,24 142:20	occurrence 13:24
127:24 128:2	neat 6:11	59:20	143:3	occurring 8:12
132:2 141:19	necessarily 37:25	north 3:8 56:18	<b>NWCTU's</b> 123:7	111:20
143:5,19 145:14	140:23	77:8 78:14 79:19		October 76:18
146:1 150:25	necessary 36:21	80:13 81:2	0	99:8 142:16
152:10,13 153:6	136:7	115:20 116:15	o'clock 5:15	odontologist 13:25
	neck 5:9,23 7:18		O'Regan 3:18	

	•	•		
offence 87:22 88:8	Oldham 3:14	option 151:5,15	P	146:16,17,18
96:10 126:3,5,5	155:4,11	152:2,13,21	PACE 152:6,12	147:1,6,11,14,21
149:22	once 131:1 140:4	153:13	package 137:6	148:24 149:7,14
offences 76:13	oneness 54:2,6,12	<b>options</b> 105:19	page 1:22 5:8,21	149:17 153:13,24
84:1 87:10 95:22	54:14,15,17	106:19,23,24	6:16 7:16 9:16	155:19 156:24,25
96:2,6 99:1	onwards 132:21	151:14	9:17 14:9 59:7	157:23 158:24
100:25 102:4,11	146:16,18 147:6	oral 14:21,25 15:1	59:12 60:12,14	160:3,11 161:22
102:18 126:22,25	<b>Op</b> 97:3,13 101:9	24:24 32:14	63:8,22 68:24,25	166:6
127:9 130:17	124:8,12,22	orbital 6:19 7:6,7	71:15 79:5 97:18	paragraphs 118:2
135:5 161:25	127:6,23 134:4	order 23:1 40:1	114:16 117:23	138:5,7 149:19
162:10,13,17	138:5,11	43:13 47:8 52:4	120:25 121:1,1	163:1
163:4,10,22	open 61:9 142:6	54:3 55:3 62:23	124:11,21 128:10	paralyse 61:25
offender 80:24	151:9 156:13	62:25 63:10	128:10,11 130:7	paramedics 4:6
122:3,7	opened 13:7	67:22 72:9 73:16	130:7 131:8	paraphernalia
offensive 100:3	opening 53:17	118:7 124:7	138:7 146:15,17	153:3
offered 92:10	operating 34:11	142:4,25 143:18	146:18,25 147:11	park 147:18
office 2:18,21,24	operation 78:6,18	144:24 145:10	148:24 150:2,2	parking 154:2
3:1 31:20,21,21	78:19 79:4,9,12	organisation 126:3	153:23 155:8,18	part 6:17 9:21
140:3	80:17 81:6 83:10	126:4 160:22	156:10,25,25	10:11,23 12:3,24
officer 75:9 79:9	83:15 84:24	161:20	157:4,23 158:18	13:3 19:20 22:17
92:4,24 93:17	85:11 91:16,17	organisations	159:8,18,18	31:12 39:14
101:11 103:25	92:12,15 93:11	55:18 56:17	161:15	44:22 47:3 49:23
115:14 116:12	99:9 100:12	88:14 125:20,24	pages 1:20 27:16	53:2 54:8 56:2
126:21 130:20	101:12 104:20	orientation 8:3	28:18 74:19	58:21,22 67:24
137:22 139:21	107:7,17,18	original 39:15	114:3,14,24	67:25 69:5 70:24
140:8,22 142:21	110:2 111:3,15	<b>Osama</b> 95:7	115:3	77:17 91:16
143:1,4	112:1 116:12	129:25	Pakistani 72:5	92:13 94:10
officer's 105:24	121:23 122:14,20	<b>Oswald</b> 90:15	palatal 14:25	106:13 119:23
140:4	122:21,24 126:15	126:19	Palestinians 95:16	135:7 139:19
officers 127:11	133:9 134:11	ought 57:10	129:23	141:21 159:19
153:20 156:1	138:24 140:17	outcome 104:5	paper 42:10 44:4,5	partial 11:25
officers' 105:18	141:4,8 146:14	136:23	44:6 45:20 62:22	partially 130:18
officially 65:21	146:14,15 147:7	outset 27:3 65:8	paragraph 23:16	participants 25:19
<b>Oh</b> 60:13,21 63:9	147:8 149:1	109:20	23:21 45:15	112:7
69:1 100:9	150:8 157:1,3	outside 7:22 41:20	60:14 79:18 81:4	participation
118:23 137:23	operational 99:10	70:6 143:2	81:19 82:9,23	52:25
okay 1:22 34:22	111:6,7 126:21	145:11	86:6 90:7 94:21	particular 5:23
50:9 57:19 67:10	134:12	overall 12:20	99:23 100:8,10	9:10 13:19 14:1
67:13 81:18 98:1	operations 79:15	18:17	100:12 101:6	22:16 24:1 31:1
115:1 118:23	120:2 121:11	overcome 43:7	102:1,4,15,24	34:12 37:19
119:23 120:13	122:14 123:18	overhang 7:8	103:25 104:18	39:13 42:13
122:4,9 123:6	141:17 146:13	overt 83:2 105:21	105:13 107:4,15	45:14 46:17,18
124:9 129:5,12	opinion 13:25 14:3	110:17 126:13	107:20 108:4	46:19,20 50:13
141:6 153:10	14:5 15:1,12	139:10,14	109:12 110:11	54:16 56:6 63:3
158:20,23 162:8	49:22 50:1 92:22	overview 50:4	111:24 112:15	68:8 71:11 72:4
164:7 165:25	100:23 143:8,24	66:3 67:15 81:4	117:23 118:24	80:7 84:15 108:2
old 81:8 84:3 85:8	opponents 72:6	82:24	124:10 129:5	120:9 135:19
87:24 96:20	opposed 24:23	Owned 154:4	132:21 133:20,21	136:2 139:21
125:10	91:22	ownership 135:13	134:1 142:3	157:9 165:21

				0
167:18	perfectly 68:17	96:5,22 98:19	28:25 29:16	police's 116:25
particularly 7:2	perform 35:23	128:24 135:12	32:18 39:3 42:4	125:1
16:24 52:16	38:11 39:13	phones 87:17	43:24 46:25 50:7	policies 50:25
59:24,25 72:19	40:19 42:1 47:4	88:22 126:24	50:8 53:22 55:10	policing 77:12,18
80:20 109:17,22	47:5,8,25 48:1	photographed	58:1 59:13 60:9	111:21 117:19
particulars 55:7	117:12	12:23	60:10,11 62:1,9	150:12
58:1 83:13	performing 72:23	photographs 3:21	64:24,25 65:1,2,3	policy 81:22 147:9
parts 8:15 23:11	performs 37:17	3:24 15:11 58:5	66:6 68:23,24	158:24
121:10	67:9	157:18	69:20,22,24 74:6	political 31:3
pass 38:14 69:20	period 39:21 53:24	phrase 42:4 63:10	74:15,22 75:7	50:24 55:13,15
69:24 130:25	55:24 79:19	66:13 71:20	78:23,24 79:3	55:15,16,18,21
passed 125:7	151:15,18	phrasing 63:6	80:17 81:6 83:1	56:8
156:16	permissible 36:8	physical 34:25	84:9 90:7 91:23	politics 29:5,20
passenger 154:7	68:17	pick 26:14 124:21	94:8 101:4 102:4	50:23
patches 151:20	permission 36:4	picked 127:22	104:17,18 107:20	popular 42:5
path 105:6	40:14 90:19,21	133:8,11	108:4 109:1	50:15 54:17
path 103.0 pathologist 2:17	91:1 106:1	picking 39:23	111:2 113:14,23	109:8 112:11
2:21	permitted 35:6,9	107:25	114:1 117:22	portion 73:17
pathologists 2:11	35:23 36:1,6,15	pics 157:17	119:13 120:25	portrayed 135:25
2:14 3:2 22:22	36:19 51:8	picture 44:8 101:3	124:7,20 134:1	portraying 60:5
pathology 2:9,11	Persian 46:6,7,10	119:17 161:3,14	137:17 138:7	ports 156:11,14,15
patient 15:6,9	person 3:6 36:12	pictures 157:25	147:12 153:23	156:16
patient's 14:19	37:17,24,25 43:9	piece 6:5 12:25	155:8,18 156:24	poses 96:23
Paul 34:22	43:9 44:1,5,14,19	21:6 22:12 42:10	158:18,22 159:18	position 5:15 26:3
Pause 60:19 114:6	45:12,22 46:3,14	44:3,4 45:20	plenty 95:23	30:17 57:4 94:15
pausing 82:11	47:17 59:20 60:5	62:22 68:8,18	163:24	96:2 107:2,2
83:12,24 90:20	66:23 67:5,9	157:13	plural 159:10	143:3,20 145:13
95:25 100:6	112:11 142:17	pieces 14:24 15:10	pm 3:11 57:21,23	167:8
101:25 102:14	148:4 150:22	53:14 119:11,11	90:2,4 137:24	positive 34:4 68:12
pay 161:8	153:17 157:9	119:15	138:1 155:22	72:12 143:20
paying 56:20	personal 38:19	pig 69:23 70:3,7	168:12	positively 33:17
PAYNE 112:8,9	142:13 168:1	pigs 69:25,25	PNC 155:5,5	possessed 131:20
113:6 169:9	personally 82:5	place 32:8 64:2	point 42:9 48:10	possession 135:13
peace 66:14	93:10	70:7,17 73:20	55:21 57:14 67:2	153:3
penalty 41:5,23	persons 127:1	107:8,16 118:8	71:22 80:13	possibilities 17:21
<b>people</b> 20:10 34:2	160:22	118:10 141:1	81:13 102:3	25:2
34:5 38:11 40:1	perspective 40:18	placed 143:3	119:10 143:18	possibility 18:6,9
40:16,18,18,19	41:11 101:9	145:13	152:5 154:17	18:11 24:20
41:10,11 42:1	persuade 106:21	places 164:22	167:2	possible 17:12,13
43:15 48:24 49:2	petitionary 65:1,6	plainly 91:16	pointing 137:2	35:24,25 44:12
52:24 59:24	petrol 149:12	103:18 107:24	points 33:24	82:2 102:8
65:22 66:11	pharynx 12:9 15:1	planning 109:9	<b>pole</b> 21:16	118:12 135:2
84:11,15 85:9	phenomenon 38:1	platform 139:8	<b>police</b> 3:18 31:23	148:8
88:25 105:5,7	67:11	plea 65:5	44:23 46:23	post 2:16,25 3:3,5
109:6 112:12	<b>Philip</b> 1:5,7,15	please 1:6,14,22	73:18 75:9,16,17	3:10 13:8,12
161:1 162:3	169:3	2:6 5:7 6:8 7:17	82:14 87:8	60:10,18,23 61:1
165:21	philosophy 29:5	8:2 12:1 19:2	103:12 115:14	61:5,21 64:8
perception 56:7	29:20	20:16 22:2 26:22	118:17 125:7	65:17 66:4 67:20
perfect 56:8	<b>phone</b> 91:4,7 95:2	27:10,22 28:10	155:10	159:2,6,8,9,15
_				
	I	I	I	1

				1 450 107
160:23,23 161:5	practices 33:9	pretty 15:19 68:19	problems 38:19	proper 41:20 56:2
161:10 166:8,23	35:9,12,15 36:4	70:14	proceedings 1:3	65:19,22,24,25
167:3,3,5,12	38:12,20 39:12	Prevent 105:1,4	123:15 142:7	137:3
posted 135:16,21	39:14,14 40:7,23	106:4,16,18	process 25:5 38:6	properly 40:2
163:3	41:24 43:9 49:17	110:8	38:24 45:1,16	61:19,23 104:12
posterior 12:8	49:22 50:17 51:3	preventative	58:9,18 67:24	136:15 142:7
18:21	51:4 52:5,16,18	143:21	87:4,7 88:20,21	property 86:3
postgraduate 2:15	52:21 53:9	previous 82:10	111:17 128:5	<b>Prophet</b> 33:8,19
postings 59:9	practise 36:21	128:4 134:13	138:11 139:5	39:11,15,20
108:2	38:4,24 45:25	previously 96:7,21	140:14 141:1,20	49:15,16,18
posts 47:22,24	48:15,21,22	130:24	processes 141:22	66:12,15
159:25 160:4,5	practised 39:20	price 161:8	proclaiming 56:5	<b>Prophet's 39:25</b>
160:14,19,20,25	practises 41:2	Prideline 120:10	produce 43:12	Prophetic 40:14
161:24 162:1,3	42:19	Prideling 78:6,18	44:2,2	66:18
162:14,18,23	practising 36:25	78:19 79:4,9	produced 15:24	proportionate
163:9,25 164:22	38:7 39:9 40:2,8	80:17 81:6 83:10	product 46:2	86:12,16 103:3
166:18	44:1 47:17 52:20	83:15 84:24	106:10 110:1	proscribed 88:14
potential 64:5	164:12	85:12 91:17	professional 43:11	125:20,24 126:3
106:21 110:24	pray 65:3,6	92:15 93:11 97:3	43:11 68:14	126:4 160:22
130:17,21 151:15	prayer 65:1,1,6	97:13 99:9	75:15	161:19
152:2,13,20	prayers 65:2 66:14	100:12 101:9,12	<b>Professor</b> 14:3,8,9	prosecution 31:24
potentially 15:4	preach 50:19	104:20 107:7,17	15:23 26:2,3,4,5	85:22 100:5,19
42:13 85:22	preacher 47:24	110:3 111:3,15	26:6,7,12,20	121:24 130:22
86:19 96:24	precise 67:4	112:1 120:3	28:25 29:3,19	prosecutions 27:5
97:23 104:4	precisely 13:6	121:6 122:14,22	30:23 32:18	118:18 162:20
136:22 137:11	preeminently	122:25 124:8,12	57:25 73:4,10,11	prosthesis 14:13
142:17 151:17	146:9	124:14,22 126:15	73:12 79:24	14:13
163:4	prefer 1:10	127:6,23 133:9	169:5	protagonist 62:21
pouch 44:4	pregnant 42:20,22	134:4 138:5,11	profile 86:7 97:19	protect 42:12
pounds 5:5	42:24	140:17 141:4,8	158:25 161:3,14	54:17 69:19 71:4
power 40:19 41:6	preoccupied 39:22	primarily 120:5	profiles 23:24	71:6
41:8,12,17,18,19	preparation 58:23	principally 122:7	programme	protection 44:9
42:12 45:11	106:13 140:13	principle 145:4	104:24,25	55:4
46:19 50:12	167:25	prior 78:19 80:18	progress 139:13	protects 70:21
53:24 54:9 63:21	prepare 118:7	120:4	140:5	<b>prove</b> 164:7
64:5 68:14	prepared 27:5	priority 127:16	progressed 97:4	<b>provide</b> 42:22,25
powerful 39:5	49:25 71:16 99:9	private 99:16	prohibited 35:15	43:1,3,5,13 60:24
45:22	110:20 126:20	pro-Palestinian	36:17 70:2,24,25	86:19 94:16,20
powerfully 51:10	149:20	129:24	71:2	98:15 99:7 142:5
51:11	preparing 140:13	probabilities	prohibition 36:4	145:5,13
powers 44:16	present 10:5 30:22	24:21	68:19	provided 14:10
46:17 47:8	44:10 79:23	probable 25:3	project 137:11	15:11 27:2 28:11
practice 34:10	118:11	probably 37:11	projecting 60:6	28:17,19 31:19
36:5 38:21 39:25	presents 72:7	57:6,18 58:24	projects 31:13	32:11 74:18
40:5,13 42:5,15	pressure 4:11	65:23 82:16	promise 161:7	80:19 81:22 83:9
44:7 47:19,23	prestige 38:18	85:16 122:9	promoted 30:17	89:6 90:18 91:18
48:16,24 49:5,10	56:3	152:4 153:7	75:23 76:1,16,17	93:25 94:3,13
49:11 50:8 51:18	presume 63:1	problem 43:22	propaganda 54:1	98:12 103:13
51:19 81:20	presuming 35:7	67:2	68:9	132:24 144:21

				1 450 100
153:14	Qital 59:11,12,14	raise 20:7,11 54:3	153:4	160:10 166:8
provides 44:8 89:1	60:7,7 159:1	raised 35:22 81:10	reasons 142:23	reference 27:20
providing 99:22	161:14,17	88:12 114:24	143:2,16 145:8	36:24 46:24
119:10	qualification	118:15 139:20	145:11	48:10 58:25 62:7
proving 164:13	78:10	raising 53:23	recall 3:23 125:24	62:8,12,16 63:15
provision 42:17	qualifications 2:7	Ramsay 149:17	received 81:3,5	64:24 67:4 68:4
165:17	29:1	151:21 154:2,8,9	94:1 100:12	68:7 69:7,12,24
provisional 15:19	qualified 36:12	ran 27:16	142:9,20	71:10,14,22 72:1
public 52:17,18	qualifier 138:14	range 76:13	receiver 140:1,5,6	72:3 80:2 93:5
53:3	143:23 145:16,18	106:19,23	recitation 72:24	97:8 102:4 108:5
publications 88:9	quarter 137:18,19	rank 76:2,6,16,18	73:3	112:19 118:15
publicly 53:2	137:20	76:20 115:12	recite 72:22	134:12 159:24
pulled 21:8	question 35:1,17	ranks 115:18	recites 72:20	referenced 33:11
punch 17:18	60:17 97:16	Re-referred 140:9	reciting 61:19	80:7 160:5,14
punched 6:5,10	100:25	reach 15:23	recognise 49:20	references 69:25
punishment 40:25	questions 1:11,12	145:20	56:2 57:4 65:19	108:1
48:14 71:3	25:18 26:19,21	reached 3:2 15:19	recognised 36:7,8	referencing 79:20
punishments	34:19 35:16,18	17:3 55:21 56:8	38:8,9 49:16,18	referral 82:12,19
35:13	35:22 55:7 73:5	99:22	49:19 65:21	103:8 104:24
pupillage 38:12	73:6,7 74:14	reaches 96:10	recognition 73:2	105:10 106:4
pupils 38:14,15	78:2 107:22	reaching 15:15	recommendation	110:24 125:3
pure 40:17	110:7 112:3,7,8	read 14:5,6,7	127:10	referrals 104:21
purely 15:13 52:11	113:19,21 114:23	45:13 60:23	recommended	referred 2:22
purification 39:7	115:8 165:16	99:19 105:13	68:17	33:15 34:5 45:14
39:10 40:6	166:1,2 169:4,6,8	108:8 112:15	reconvene 168:8	53:18 63:1 72:5
purify 39:17 50:16	169:9,11,12	120:22 123:16	record 128:3	81:12 102:12,19
51:5	quickly 22:5	127:4	149:7	105:3 106:16,18
purity 53:3	138:19	<b>Read's</b> 93:25	recorded 3:19	135:5 163:6
purpose 84:9	quite 6:11 7:11	94:25 98:13	102:1 111:24	referring 24:1
purposes 27:5	10:15 13:21	reader 30:17 65:5	126:21 158:24	67:5 96:4 150:12
36:12 48:4 71:13	34:16 37:21	118:3 140:1,5,5	records 4:5	162:25 163:18
72:11 84:7 85:18	43:14 45:13	readily 24:25	recover 165:9	reflect 20:19 81:23
85:22 100:21	47:21,23 124:20	55:19	recoverable	134:3
104:10 111:1,22	138:19	reading 45:7,7	165:13	reflection 134:10
121:24	<b>quote</b> 66:15	66:10 112:22	recovered 88:22	reflections 111:2
pursue 102:3	<b>Quran</b> 33:7,11,12	reads 95:21 102:5	99:17,18 129:10	111:10
<b>push</b> 87:2	33:14,14,17,17	real 34:20	132:8 147:15	reflects 100:18
pushed 21:6	34:7,9 45:4	reality 35:4	158:1 164:24	112:16
put 44:7 96:5	46:19 47:2 68:11	really 16:23 17:24	recovery 88:20	<b>reform</b> 39:7 50:15
121:20 122:9	68:11,16 72:20	22:15 24:25	recruitment	regard 14:1 23:14
putting 65:22	72:22	39:22 51:18	135:19	80:20 143:12
119:16	Quranic 58:10	113:3 131:14	redacted 151:21	144:1
puzzle 119:9	R	167:2	155:3,6	regarded 47:18
0		realm 53:3	reduced 50:12	regarding 97:24
Qadiyan 71:20,24	radiating 10:11 radical 52:4,14	rear 20:9	reexamining	153:17
72:3,7,10	radicalisation 85:7	rearrested 152:7	118:10	regards 16:1 22:13
Qadiyanis 72:6	85:13	reason 49:14 54:8	refer 2:6 59:24	regime 55:15
Qari 72:13,17,20	radicalising 96:25	127:7	104:10 147:14	regimes 55:16
72:21	97:6	reasonable 151:25	149:1 153:10	56:20
12.21	71.0			

				1 age 107
region 22:12 34:13	99:8,18 110:12	125:3,6 126:20	76:12 92:25	119:24
regions 144:9	111:19,25 120:1	133:4 139:22	116:18 147:3	revisited 128:2
register 2:18,24	127:24 130:18,18	140:4	responsible 70:24	revisiting 118:11
3:1	130:19,19 131:9	reported 96:19	77:2 119:15	reward 63:5
registered 148:10	131:17,19 132:2	reporting 126:21	127:17	rid 41:24 64:3
149:9	145:3 150:25	140:7	rest 21:9 70:10,12	ridge 6:19 7:6,7
registration 155:7	160:10 165:5	reports 14:11 27:1	restored 165:10	14:22
regular 97:11	relied 101:16	27:3,8 28:2,6,11	restricted 41:13	right 1:22 2:18 3:8
regularly 78:12	134:17	28:21 31:1,17,18	restriction 73:15	3:12 4:8,23 5:3
rehearse 3:20	religion 40:5	38:24 49:25 58:3	142:3,25 143:18	5:12,12,25 6:16
24:14	religious 51:16	represent 46:17	144:24 145:9	6:19 7:5,7,8 8:5
rehearsing 127:3	66:1 72:23	representative	result 8:9 15:2,7	8:19 9:1,3,4,11
Reid 92:20,23	religiously 35:23	66:7	22:5 82:3 98:18	10:6 11:6,15,24
94:21 95:21	36:1	request 48:4	126:12 155:4	12:5,9 13:25
96:12,14 98:10	remain 1:9 76:20	105:24 108:21	resulted 86:23	15:21 18:10
	100:23	138:21 142:20	162:21	19:18 20:24 21:2
99:8 100:1,20 126:20		138:21 142:20		
	remained 115:16		Retina 96:6,9,12	21:12,14,16,25
relate 28:2 141:7	116:2	requested 144:14	100:20 129:7	22:11,23 23:19
related 4:16 8:18	remaining 154:8	145:14	130:8,9,10,23	24:2 27:2 46:1
8:21 9:14 12:14	remember 13:6	requests 105:18	131:8	53:23 74:3 75:8
12:21 17:19	64:1 98:4	required 3:2	retirement 75:12	76:15 80:13
20:24 69:3 88:8	remove 20:21	requires 22:10,25	76:21 111:14	90:11 95:1 114:4
160:20	renowned 59:16	research 31:5,8,12	retrospect 110:13	115:12,21 116:3
relates 124:12	72:19	31:13 81:20,24	returned 154:9	116:6,13,17
relating 28:12	repeat 146:24	82:5 138:18	reveal 4:10 88:21	117:1,6,7,10,13
82:20 92:11	repel 43:7,20	reset 165:11	143:18	117:14,18 119:9
107:21 126:13	replicate 56:15	resources 79:1	revealed 22:3	120:16,18,23
129:23 145:24	replicated 55:24	respect 28:21	33:18 45:1 94:24	121:25 122:16
149:22	replicating 55:20	32:16 33:12	125:20,23 126:25	123:19 124:2
<b>relation</b> 3:6 15:24	replication 65:17	47:17,20 48:6,14	137:7 147:9,17	130:5 133:14,22
20:14 112:10	replied 61:16	50:8 55:9 60:25	155:20	133:23 134:9,15
120:10,14 122:3	108:14 166:12,18	72:15 79:19	review 44:22,24	142:19 143:9
122:16 128:3	167:18	82:10 86:2 91:10	53:15 93:9,13	144:7,14 146:18
129:21,25 132:13	replies 108:18	93:3 94:25 98:1	94:19,24 98:18	147:11 152:11
133:4 135:7	159:9,10,12	100:24 103:22	100:21,24 101:17	156:5,22 158:11
140:16 142:21	166:11	104:19 110:18	107:8 129:7,17	158:15 159:14
143:21 145:6	reply 61:7 166:19	111:5 112:1	130:8 131:8	162:15 163:15,24
149:2 153:21	167:4,6	144:11 146:5	132:18 134:18	ringleader 62:1
161:24 165:5	report 1:24 5:21	respected 43:14	142:12	risk 78:25 85:12
167:2	7:16 14:7 15:24	72:19,22	reviewed 14:10	96:24 142:17
relations 43:21	27:13,14,16,25	respective 144:16	58:15 67:23	river 61:20
relationship 97:21	28:14,18 53:13	respectively 99:25	93:10 96:9,15	road 147:23 155:4
released 25:23	59:4,11 60:11	responded 61:5	99:24 101:15,22	155:6,11
152:5	67:24 68:23	responding 159:15	102:8 107:6,13	<b>Robert</b> 26:6,24
relevance 81:5	71:14 79:4 94:25	166:23 167:12	122:21,24 124:15	169:5
118:9 119:2	95:21 96:15	response 75:20	127:19,20 128:17	<b>robust</b> 13:21
relevant 25:14	98:10,13 99:7,9	76:7 78:24	134:6,21 135:1	111:17
29:1 32:22 75:15	99:14,15,25	121:17 123:19	146:4 154:1	Rochdale 69:11
76:11 78:5,18	101:18 110:19	responsibility	reviews 118:10	90:15 126:19
ĺ				
	I	I	I	I

				1 age 170
149:17	49:4,9,9,17 50:18	second 8:7 32:20	self 56:7	87:7 121:1
role 30:20 76:6,11	50:21,22 51:2,15	105:24 109:16	self-administrat	128:11 134:12
76:24 77:17	52:1,15 54:16,16	114:13 119:23	19:17	146:17 156:6
78:12,23,25	66:19	120:14 123:8	self-explanatory	157:23 159:8
117:8 122:2	<b>Salafism</b> 39:3,4,7	141:23,25	71:6	setting 83:14
roles 115:18	40:9,25 48:14	secondly 58:14	send 140:7 157:16	147:6 157:1,3
room 20:3 50:5	50:14,14 51:9,9	88:6 136:19	sending 158:10	settings 165:10
140:2	51:15,22 52:15	162:2	senior 79:8 116:11	seventh 16:15
rose 115:18	Salafists 38:25	section 5:23 79:3	134:10 142:20,25	severe 6:15,21
rounded 5:25 6:9	salute 53:19	87:22 88:8 95:23	143:4	10:2 22:4,6,10,15
6:9 18:2,3,8,12	<b>Samsung</b> 91:3,11	95:23 99:1	sense 34:17 41:22	22:21,23,25 24:8
21:5 23:22,24	satisfied 82:6	126:23,23 151:6	45:14 46:11 49:7	24:8,9
24:4	128:20 146:5	151:17,25 152:23	49:21 56:8 66:1	severely 22:9,20
route 23:7 139:3	Save 19:16	155:9 162:21,21	66:9 136:6	105:20
row 68:4 71:22	saw 4:2	sections 87:11	sensible 104:2	sha 61:23,25
108:6	saws 66:20	secure 151:17	136:20 137:4	shape 12:12
Royal 2:10,13 3:14	saying 41:19 71:24	security 159:20	sent 70:19 108:12	shapes 18:14
rugyah 61:20	102:24 119:8,13	see 7:22 10:15,18	157:14,18	<b>share</b> 136:6
rules 55:23	120:7 134:9	10:20,21,23 13:3	sentence 135:8	<b>shared</b> 136:1,2
run 83:3 92:2,16	140:16 141:5	16:22,24 40:7	sentences 46:10,11	145:25
111:15 131:2	146:3 167:19	51:25 54:25	separate 8:7,11	sharp 20:6
run-up 141:18	says 14:8,9 64:1	56:17 58:3 59:11	9:15 17:13,15	sheet 44:6
running 55:22	66:12 69:10	60:23 61:10 62:5	19:7 45:20 139:8	shins 20:13
92:3	99:15 101:13	62:12 63:24	153:11 159:19	shirk 41:14,15
runs 27:14 74:19	103:25 135:8	67:19 84:1,5,12	separately 98:12	61:11 62:7 64:16
ruqyah 42:4,5,15	140:23	84:19 85:4 86:16	123:6	64:18 68:2,3
42:19 43:9 44:1	Scanning 2:16	93:2 94:25 96:10	September 1:1	shirky 61:18 64:15
52:25 62:15,16	scenarios 95:11	98:13 99:3 101:7	60:17 61:1 66:5	64:17,20
63:17 164:12	scene 3:21 4:2	102:24 108:3,10	114:4 159:2,6	<b>shop</b> 69:11
<u> </u>	12:23 15:11	108:17 112:6	160:1,23 166:8	short 57:8,22,25
	scenes 129:21	115:6 130:20	sequence 67:21	59:3 73:21
S-A-H-I-R 67:8	scholar 64:1	148:16 152:9	71:15,21 103:14	128:12 137:25
S-A-W-S 66:13	scholarship 34:15	seeking 32:23	103:16 120:24	149:20 151:13
S-H-I-R-K 41:15	school 81:9 125:12	43:17 82:3	sergeant 75:24	159:14
S-I-H-R 33:5	science 2:8 36:9	seen 13:12,13,17	76:6,7,7,9 77:20	shoulder 19:18
67:11	sclera 9:5	20:2,13 41:5	92:3 93:15,16,18	show 135:25 162:1
Sab 72:18	scleral 9:2	43:15 54:8,11	93:19	showed 21:24
<b>Sab</b> 72:13,17,21 <b>sahir</b> 61:11,12	scratch 11:8	61:18 66:15	series 35:16,17	95:10 135:17
62:8,10,11 67:6	screen 67:23	98:25 106:10	46:12 118:3	160:24
Salafi 40:17 41:11	130:16	107:23 131:4	140:20 162:23	showing 95:5
48:18 49:22	script 33:5	147:22 148:21	164:23	149:11
50:13,22 51:23	scriptural 40:14	154:1 163:9	serious 76:13 77:1	shown 3:21 14:22
54:5,5 66:7,9,10	seals 66:16	seized 44:24 58:16	77:19	46:22 58:5 89:2
68:9,20 70:22	search 86:1,13,22	86:24,24 91:3,15	seriously 86:15	123:16 125:14
71:2	87:5,8 88:8,10	100:16 124:14	server 130:14,15	127:4 129:6
Salafi-type 50:25	89:7,10 90:11	126:25 128:25 seizure 135:12	service 31:24 43:13 75:11	162:14
Salafis 39:22	138:21,22,24 148:13 149:15	select 115:9	100:19	shows 109:15 147:21 156:6
40:11 41:20	searched 88:19	selected 108:24	set 46:5 79:1 83:9	shutting 105:23
48:17,18,20 49:3	Scarcheu 00.17	Sciecteu 100.24	<b>SCL 4</b> 0.3 / <b>3</b> .1 03. <b>9</b>	Shutting 103.23
10.17,10,20 17.3	l			l

				1 age 171
sic 61:24 84:3	89:18 90:1,5	soft 14:22 52:3	spearheaded	stalked 148:3
side 7:11 10:6,8,9	100:8 108:5	software 131:3	51:12	stand 1:10 25:11
10:10 11:7,25	111:24 112:3	sold 165:8	specialism 31:1	26:8 74:12
12:5 121:20	113:6,7,18	solve 38:19	species 4:5	112:21
122:10 139:7	137:14,20 138:2	somebody 11:12	specific 34:12 48:4	standalone 130:16
154:7	167:15 168:4,6	29:10,15 120:11	specifically 33:11	138:25
sign 140:3	sit 1:8 26:8,15	123:15 151:25	36:11 67:20	standard 3:2
signature 114:8,17	61:13 74:11	162:18	71:19 79:20 80:2	68:19 70:14
signed 1:23 75:2	113:17 139:7	somebody's 152:1	81:2 107:22	135:24
106:1 114:4	site 17:6,7,8 21:22	someone's 20:5	108:7,20 126:23	standing 1:9
significance 90:21	sitting 26:10	86:14	167:18	stands 146:25
92:10 94:1 132:1	situ 14:19	somewhat 163:17	specificity 17:22	star-like 12:12
157:10,12	situation 105:19	son 59:21,21	specifics 108:9	start 2:6 26:10,22
significant 6:12,14	six 16:14	soo 61:24 62:1	spectrum 22:22	75:7 138:16
10:17 11:5 14:15	size 92:15	<b>Sophie</b> 26:20	speculation 104:6	146:15
14:20 15:2 56:24	skeleton 7:2 22:9	sorry 16:5 27:15	136:24 153:16	started 39:12,13
80:8 96:24	22:15,16	28:18 29:18 63:7	spell 37:5 42:23,25	57:14
111:20 112:24	skill 36:21	63:9 74:20 82:12	43:6,17,18,19	starting 3:10
118:7 128:1	skilled 38:11 47:19	94:12 106:24	45:6,21 58:19	61:25 124:8
132:17 148:2,6	skills 38:14 48:7	109:18 111:6	62:21,22,24	state 50:9 52:7,10
159:2,21	73:2	121:2 138:3	spelled 153:18	52:12,14,20,23
sihr 33:4,15,22	skin 10:11	145:17 152:16	spelling 64:7	53:8,24 54:23
37:24 61:4 62:10	skip 155:18	160:10	spells 37:6 38:16	55:11,22 56:6,17
67:11	skipping 158:19	sort 14:21 21:8	42:6,7,10,16,16	80:5 126:1
SIM 87:17	skull 5:16,19,24	33:8,9 38:12	53:1 62:14	135:17 160:6,9
similar 8:3 84:21	6:6,7,10,11,23,25	40:14 42:20,25	spend 162:6	160:14,19,23,25
84:25 110:23	7:18 16:21 18:3	43:21 45:10,16	spirit 47:1	161:2,8,18,18,20
138:13 141:22	19:5 20:18,20,22	45:25 46:10	spirits 43:5,7,20	162:2,5 164:10
similarly 166:16	21:2,6,8,9,10,20	47:11,13,13,25	spiritual 44:16	State's 53:2 55:5
Simon 3:8	22:11,17,20	48:10 52:13 53:2	54:10 64:5 68:14	56:16
simply 104:6	23:22 24:4,11	54:1 58:23 59:23	spit 15:9	stated 61:8,21
132:14 136:24	slayer 71:21,25	60:4 63:12,13	split 48:18 52:6	125:14
single 35:17 53:23	72:2,7,10	64:15 66:16 68:8	splits 10:11 51:20	<b>statement</b> 1:16,18
80:13	slays 72:3	72:24 112:17	51:22,23	3:19 4:20 27:11
<b>SIO</b> 77:13,13,22	sleight 34:23	sorts 33:6 37:15	spoke 105:23	27:19,23 28:13
77:24 78:7,10,13	slip 166:15,16	49:16	spoken 125:9,12	28:16,18 60:12
78:15,19,23,25	slot 37:23	sought 13:24 86:2	spread 50:19	66:15 74:18,24
79:8,14 80:9,18	small 21:11,11	87:16	161:11	75:3 79:8,11,18
81:21 93:9 101:9	26:17 32:21	sound 135:14	spreadsheet 91:18	86:5 87:7 90:17
101:23 121:13	smaller 10:4	163:12	91:21 92:6,8,16	92:15 93:8 99:22
122:20 123:22	so-called 40:23	<b>South</b> 147:18	92:20 93:3,9,10	101:5,12 104:17
139:18 147:4	123:14	spaces 10:22	98:17 99:3	106:14 112:14
SIO's 134:22	<b>SO15</b> 82:11,12,13	speak 4:25 121:10	103:19	114:11,14,16,19
SIOs 103:4	82:19 124:25	124:10	spreadsheets 92:2	117:22,23 118:7
sir 1:5 57:5,20,24	social 99:16	speaker 46:9	squares 46:15	118:21 119:10
58:25 59:4,11	Society 2:12	speaking 12:18	st 29:19	120:8,14,21
60:12,20 68:4	<b>SoE</b> 68:4 103:19	33:25 37:21	stage 47:13 89:14	121:11 123:9
71:14,21 73:5	107:23 108:1,3,7	41:12 81:8 98:7	93:7 97:2 104:6	138:5 142:1,6,11
74:12 79:5 89:13	112:20	speaks 120:17	136:13,24 151:19	146:16,24 149:21
		•	,	
	I	I	I	I

				Page 192
162 12 164 10 20	4 66 50 21 (1.10	157.10	1 110 24	154 4 12 155 2
162:13 164:18,20	stuff 58:21 61:19	157:12	surrounded 18:24	154:4,13 155:2
165:18 166:6,21	64:15,18,20	Summarising	surveillance	157:7,14,19,25
167:5,7,25	stupid 60:3	164:22	158:10	158:10 165:1
statements 27:1,3	stupidity 60:3	summary 8:24	surveying 158:9	Syeedy's 158:4
27:9 28:2,6,11,21	subdural 21:12	11:3 80:15 94:7	suspect 20:3 57:8	Syria 50:10 52:2
33:7 49:14 114:1	subject 83:21	94:20 98:17,19	73:25 149:15	52:10,24 55:11
114:25 115:5	145:4 156:11	99:14 116:20	150:1,5 157:14	56:21 79:21
stating 161:17	<b>subject's</b> 138:23	119:21 128:12	165:18	84:17 85:16
stay 58:17 161:18	<b>subjected</b> 88:20,24	130:22 132:23	suspected 52:19	125:11 129:24
stellate 12:10,11	submission 97:24	133:21 146:18	52:24 152:25	135:20 141:11
step 137:5	98:6	sums 38:1	153:5	150:14 161:2
stepping 164:13	submitted 137:9	<b>super</b> 103:16,18	suspects 158:19	162:4
steps 101:20 104:6	137:10 139:25	superficial 10:4	164:24	system 52:20 56:8
136:24 143:21	submitting 104:3	Superficially	suspicion 152:1	65:22 91:22,24
145:5	136:21	112:22	153:4,8	96:5 97:25
stone 164:13	subsequent 33:17	Superintendent	suspicious 3:3	100:20 130:17
stones 5:5	33:19 91:10 97:9	101:5 104:15	77:1	131:1,3,4 139:1
stop 23:9 74:2,4	118:18 121:10	115:12 166:6	Swan 121:6 123:18	139:25 140:12
105:5	167:14	<b>superior</b> 6:19 7:5	141:17 146:14,15	systematic 62:2
stopping 42:21	subsequently 3:25	7:7	147:7,8 149:1	65:4
storage 87:18	32:7 128:8	supernatural	150:8	systems 111:22
straight 26:3,4	141:14 145:2	34:24 35:10	switched 29:17	
straight-lined	148:12 150:20	37:18 41:8 42:13	147:24	T
18:19	155:20	42:21 47:1,6	swollen 9:1	T-A-W-H-I-D
strain 51:9	substance 14:8	49:8	sword 67:11	54:13
strand 88:4,6,6	22:24	supplementary	sworn 1:7 26:6	tab 27:10,22 28:10
127:6,8	substantial 164:19	27:19	74:10 113:15	28:16 59:5 60:11
strands 87:21 89:6	substantive 76:5	support 71:1	169:3,5,7,10	68:24 71:14
strategic 79:1	substantively 76:2	88:14 95:14,16	<b>Syadul</b> 27:6 28:12	74:22,23 75:1
strategy 149:2	76:17	125:20,24 126:1	28:22 32:2,12	101:6
stray 89:24	succeeding 149:19	126:4,5 129:22	69:5 71:17 80:20	table 20:15 21:2
street 90:15	success 51:13	129:22 145:5,14	80:22 82:20	121:1
126:19 147:18	sudden 23:13	161:19	85:13,21 86:2	TACT 95:22 96:2
149:17 151:21	<b>Sudoku</b> 46:16	supporter 164:9	90:14 97:18 98:2	96:10 99:1
154:2,8,9 158:2	suffered 4:10	supporting 126:2	98:19 102:10,17	100:25 102:3
strictly 41:12	sufficient 151:12	131:7	103:20 108:10	126:7,22,23,24
139:6	157:21	supportive 164:3,5	118:18 121:24	130:25 161:25
strip 40:7	sufficeent 61:24	supports 12:2	122:2 124:13	162:10,13,17,22
stripping 21:1,9	suggest 23:18	70:21	125:4,8,14,19	163:10
stripping 21:1,5 strong 14:12	57:15 89:16	suppose 44:12	126:14 127:10	TACT/criminal
strong 14.12 strongly 24:7	suggested 84:2	supposed 50:22	128:1,4 131:19	127:9
structure 22:14	151:15 157:19	suppress 72:9	132:12 135:4,15	tactic 152:4
structure 22.14 stuck 20:21	suggestion 151:22	sure 13:18 26:18	138:18 165:1	tactical 151:5
stuck 20.21 students 35:25	suggestion 131.22 suggestive 24:7	29:16 50:5 52:8	Syeedy 2:4 27:6	152:2,13
students 33.23 studies 29:3,24,25	suggestive 24.7 suggests 113:1	68:15 140:15	28:3 32:2,5 58:4	take 14:17,19
	suggests 113:1 suitable 57:14	surface 14:15	118:18 127:21	15:15 32:21
30:7,8,12,14,18				38:15 39:12,18
30:23 31:18	summaries 164:19	surfaces 24:22	149:9,15 150:4	54:10 57:9,10,15
study 29:5,20,23	summarise 113:3	surgery 2:10	150:11 151:6,22	57:19 60:9,10
30:4,6	128:21 129:5	surrendered 90:18	152:25 153:15	61:11,22 68:24
				01.11,22 00.24

_				Page 193
70.17.72.0.72.20	T112-17	02.4.17.07.11.12	75.6.76.22.77.6	162.21
70:17 72:8 73:20	Technically 12:17 teeth 4:1 12:2	82:4,17 87:11,12 87:23 89:1	75:6 76:23 77:6 77:16 78:9 79:3	163:21
73:21 89:16 92:25 101:4	telecoms 69:9	102:11,18 117:18		think 1:9,16 2:3
104:18 137:16,17		117:19 125:1	79:6 81:13,18 82:18 83:4,7,18	3:7 4:5,13,22 5:20 8:18,24
143:20 152:4	<b>telephone</b> 90:18 91:11 107:6	129:15 131:5	84:5,18 85:3,10	9:10 11:5 13:6
167:8	133:2 158:4	132:14 135:5	85:24 87:3 89:18	
taken 5:4 61:17	television 37:15	141:16,21 143:20	89:25 90:1 91:2	13:16,24 15:17 15:19 16:18
	tell 18:15 31:17	144:4,8,8,15,17	91:14 92:14	22:22 24:1,25
101:21 107:8,16	35:24 45:15	144:21,22 145:12	93:18,21 96:8,14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
110:18 118:8,10 134:20 137:11	61:12 76:11 77:7	145:23 151:6,16	98:9,15 100:9	25:14 26:2,16 27:15,18 28:2
140:24 145:5	77:11 79:17	151:23 152:1	104:16 105:8	· ·
140:24 143:3	81:19 82:8 86:5			29:9 30:3,20,22
153:13 156:15	86:18 90:17	153:5,9 159:21 163:5	106:7 107:1,11 109:11 111:1	30:25 31:5,17,20
158:6	92:14 93:8	terrorist 85:20	112:3,5 113:6,7,9	32:4,7,11,13
takes 147:11	104:19 105:8	87:13 88:9 89:2	112:3,3 113:0,7,9	37:11 44:21,22 44:23,25 45:1
	104:19 103:8	152:25	/ /	49:25 53:4,18
<b>Taliban</b> 81:10		terrorists 129:18	114:10,13,22	55:10 57:10,17
84:3 125:11,13 125:15	128:10 130:7 132:23 133:20		115:10 117:8,17 117:21 120:13,24	58:6,7,9,17 64:1
		testimony 32:2 text 45:8 68:24	122:9 126:11	
talk 23:14	142:3,15,23,24			67:4,17,25 68:5
talking 34:22,23 34:23 84:3	143:16 144:3,19	154:16 157:14	128:10 129:2 130:23 131:8	71:5,19 73:22 74:22 77:11 78:1
tally 3:24	145:22 150:7,24 151:9 153:14	textbook 45:10		
		textbooks 46:7 texted 155:2	132:17 137:14,20 138:2 141:23	78:5 79:7,23
targeting 157:20 task 140:10	156:9,13 160:3	texts 45:7 109:10	143:15 144:3	80:2,7,11,25
task 140.10 tasked 94:17	temporarily 75:23 76:15	thank 1:8,16,20,25	145:13 144.3	81:13,15,15,21 82:8,18 83:7
tasks 38:15 94:10	temporary 76:5	2:3,17 3:5 5:7	148:23 156:19	85:19,25,25
139:18	105:16	7:16 8:2,25 9:10	158:17 163:24	86:18 87:5,15,20
taweez 38:22 41:2	ten 137:18	9:13,16,24 11:1,4	164:17 165:15,15	89:12,22,22
43:24,24,25 44:2	term 33:2,4 55:14	11:19 25:13,17	165:17,24 167:10	90:11 91:9,19
44:9,11,14,18	56:23 66:1 72:15	25:20,20,23,25	167:22 168:3,4,7	92:14 93:2,18,22
45:2,16,18,20	terminology 32:19	26:7,25 28:9,25	168:11	93:25 94:7,19,20
48:16,18,19,25	32:25 33:24 62:5	29:19,23 30:6,25	thanks 113:8	95:10 96:14
49:4,10 50:8	terms 2:24 32:19	32:16 33:10	168:1	98:13,15 99:3,13
52:16 53:1,16	33:10 35:14 36:1	35:21 36:20 38:3	theological 54:1	99:14 104:18
58:7,11,20,23	36:13,22 37:3	38:21 40:24 42:3	theology 51:21	105:8,11,22
61:4,9 68:1,2,12	44:16 45:4 46:6	43:23 44:20	ther 61:23	106:10 107:1,14
131:17,20 157:17	47:22 51:13,14	46:24 47:15	they'd 163:12	107:14,21 108:1
157:25	56:7 70:2 80:25	48:12 49:24 53:4	thick 22:12	108:7,17,20
taweezes 58:24	84:14 87:9 90:21	53:7,11 54:24	thickness 11:21	109:5,7 110:17
tawhid 54:12 55:4	92:9 94:13 97:2	55:6 57:5,20,24	15:5	110:19 111:7,19
teach 31:5	103:12 105:20	58:13,25 59:2,6,8	thing 48:8 51:15	112:2 113:18,25
team 77:3 83:2,9	106:19 108:5,23	60:9 62:12,15	55:25 59:17	114:3,13 115:11
83:16 101:16	111:10 115:11	63:3,14 64:7,19	63:11,12,13	116:2,10,20
111:11 117:1	116:20 117:21	64:22,24 65:8,13	106:4	117:8,12 118:25
118:13 122:20	139:22	65:20 66:2 67:13	things 34:8 36:2	119:12 120:2
131:15 133:11	Terrence 117:5	67:14,18 68:22	37:4,15 39:13,24	121:16,20 122:9
134:17 136:10	123:21	71:9,12 72:11	41:19 45:18 48:3	122:16 123:24
148:7	terror 95:6	73:4,7,9,11,12,12	56:13 90:25	128:20 129:6
technical 55:14	terrorism 77:8,24	74:11,18,25 75:2	106:8 138:8	130:8 133:13
	I	1	1	I

				Page 194
134:9 135:13,18	89:17 90:14	town 61.12 14	136:5	105:16
136:9 137:19,21	91:22,24 96:1	town 61:13,14 Toxicology 21:24	Tuesday 1:1	Um 17:24
138:18 141:20	98:5,8,11 99:4	track 148:15	turn 5:2,7 7:24 8:2	umbrella 141:12
142:11 143:7,23	100:16 102:7	tradition 31:3 34:3	16:15 19:19	um-Islamic 40:7
143:24 145:17	100:16 102:7		28:25 73:5 112:6	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	34:6 36:7,16		50:17 51:4,18
148:15 150:15	105:24 106:5	traditions 36:17	120:15 137:14 138:7 141:23	52:5,18 un-muted 29:15
151:11 154:16 155:19 156:20	109:2,7 110:16 112:10,22 116:8	traffic 76:3 Trafford 76:17	146:13,25 147:12	unable 142:5
157:13 160:12	122:13,17 135:1	trained 38:10	157:4	unbelief 41:14
162:25 166:14,16	143:19 146:13	training 38:9	turned 10:14	64:13,14
166:17,20 167:5	147:4 150:3	78:10	128:11	uncle 125:14
167:15,18	151:10 152:5		turning 18:15 22:2	uncover 129:9
thinkers 31:9	151:10 152:5	<b>transcript</b> 2:5 32:13	127:12	uncovered 96:22
33:20,25 34:18	159:19 162:6	transcripts 32:10	twice 144:20	underlying 6:24
34:19 35:3,18	time' 120:2	transferred 76:2	two 8:11,14,15	19:5 20:20
thinking 70:22,23	times 94:2	translate 22:7 37:4	9:21 25:1 32:4	underneath 5:24
122:16 139:15	tip 11:6,8 12:5	translate 22:7 37:4 transliterated 33:5	32:17 34:10 51:2	6:5 8:20 10:18
147:8	tissue 14:23 22:19	transmission	58:15 63:25	21:7
thinner 14:25	title 72:18 117:20	22:18	71:13 87:21 89:6	•
third 27:23 63:8	today 32:14,18	transmitted 23:10	113:25 114:24	understand 46:13 58:23 66:21 69:8
114:16 146:13	50:12 58:15		133:13 153:7	
	137:22 140:17	transposition 44:13	154:14 162:16	71:10,23 72:15 86:13 94:15
thorough 88:20	168:6		163:8	97:21 142:15,19
thought 16:1	told 62:7,15	trauma 8:10,10 15:21		143:14
29:14 31:3,6,14 34:9 38:23 55:15	120:22	travel 84:6,14	type 13:13 45:4 46:21	understanding
86:15 106:3	tomorrow 89:24	135:20 155:22	typed 1:17	31:9 42:4 43:24
162:23 163:12,21	89:25 168:8	156:21	typical 23:22 33:2	50:6 65:9 71:20
threat 112:24	tongue 12:5,7 15:6	travelling 84:11	typicai 25.22 55.2	81:7 105:22
three 4:5 17:8 39:5	166:15,17	84:16 141:11	U	119:5,6 130:11
50:16 67:6,7	Tony 83:8 108:13	161:2 162:4	<b>Uddin</b> 3:7 4:10 5:3	145:1 146:2
99:24 114:14	109:6 112:10,19	trial 2:4 28:11	22:5 58:17 71:19	167:21
	top 5:21 6:16 9:16	32:2,5,7,12,16	72:13 118:17	understood 72:10
151:11	19:3,4 22:23	58:4 127:21	119:4 120:4	142:7
threshold 87:2	156:9	140:13 164:4	123:19 124:15	undertaken 93:23
96:10 99:1 100:4	topic 33:2 34:15	trickery 34:23	127:15,25 143:5	116:25 118:12
100:18 130:25	34:17 59:3	37:14	147:4,18 148:3	119:25
151:24	137:14 141:23	tried 34:18,19	149:23 151:1	undertaking
throw 62:24	topics 57:8,25	true 1:25 28:6,22	152:10 153:1,6	115:17
Thursday 158:13	115:9	40:20 41:6 48:25	153:17 154:10	undertook 44:23
Tikrite 80:3	torso 19:23	51:8,25 75:3	158:1,10	75:20 76:6
time 13:17 15:16	total 114:24	114:11,19 132:5	<b>Uddin's</b> 3:12 4:1,7	Undoubtedly 47:2
27:14 33:24 35:6	totality 16:19	132:9 167:8	22:3 23:17 25:6	unified 36:2
38:11 51:21 56:4	totalling 17:2	truly 41:17	25:15 44:24 58:1	uniform 75:23
57:6 69:2 77:2	totally 104:15	try 14:16 20:7 40:1	58:6 116:8,16	uniformed 75:17
77:12 78:5,13	touch 38:25 85:2	41:23 50:16 64:4	119:5 121:17	75:20 76:6
79:12,15,20	93:7 97:15	67:22 68:20	123:7 141:19,25	unit 75:21 76:16
80:12,15,16	touched 47:16	102:6	143:19 145:14	77:8 82:12,13,19
81:13 83:3 84:16	48:13 58:14	trying 84:2 85:9	146:1,20	125:1,3 130:12
84:17 85:15,17	79:24	105:5 135:19	UK 150:14	143:20 144:4,8
,,	,,,,_,	100.0 100.17	ultimately 94:3	1.5.25 1 , 5
	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	I

				1 486 170
144:17,21,22	111:21	<b>W</b> /1 100:1 102:20	100:7 104:8	34:5 43:15
145:24 159:21	Vauxhall 147:23	103:15 106:11	106:10 136:16	132:13
United 126:2	148:4,8,10,15	waiting 138:3	141:13,15 164:13	why's 84:23
156:9,10	149:3 154:2,7,9	walking 147:18,22	ways 54:19 64:3	wide 76:12,13
units 144:9,15	vehicle 60:16	148:4 158:1	we'll 57:12	widely 43:14 52:8
145:12	155:5	wall 44:8	we're 51:5	wider 69:5 70:23
universal 49:22	verbal 93:16	want 7:24 47:9	We've 17:7 19:13	122:1 136:6
University 29:3,22	verses 45:4 46:19	50:7 55:7 59:3	weaker 163:17	Wigan 75:18,24
29:25 30:8,15,23	58:10	70:10 73:21 87:6	weapon 8:13,15,17	willing 72:8 161:9
unknown 149:3	vertex 19:1,2	89:11 100:11	15:25 16:2,3,4,10	wing 80:14
unleash 41:7	Vertical 11:6	101:7 107:24	16:12,17,19,20	wing 60.14 wipe 63:23
unpleasant 100:2	victim 157:20	158:21 160:13	16:23 17:1,4,23	wish 8:23 11:1
unusual 13:11,14	video 135:18,19,25	162:6 168:1	18:1 20:6	66:3 67:15,20
13:24 66:9	136:2,5 158:1	wanted 55:18	weapons 8:12	68:6 73:6 101:8
100:15 112:21	videos 54:25	81:10 82:5	95:13 129:20,22	110:12 112:1
unwell 42:24	130:24 135:17,21	125:13 164:11	160:20	139:18
	· ·		wear 68:1	
unwrapping 58:9 update 93:16	160:25 162:2 view 12:21 15:19	war 51:3 79:21 109:3,7		wishing 100:14 withdrew 26:1
98:16 153:14		ward 46:20	wearing 68:10 wears 68:3	113:11
	15:23 16:9,15 17:3 24:16 39:24	ward 40:20 wardrobe 151:21		
updated 98:17			website 115:6	witness 1:16,18
updating 28:17	40:9,11,25 48:13	warfare 132:14	weighed 5:4	3:19 26:1 27:1
uploaded 115:5	67:3 70:8 71:2	warrant 82:3 86:1	welcome 25:22	27:23 28:13
upper 7:10 9:1,25	101:14 108:25	86:8,13,16,18,23	113:17	74:18 79:7,11,17
11:24 14:11,16	118:14 127:11	87:5,8,23 88:1,8	went 21:7 141:7	86:5 87:7 89:22
20:7 23:4	130:15 134:5	88:10,18 89:7,10	149:21,23 155:25	90:17 92:14 93:8
usage 35:20	143:7 145:10,20	90:10,12 102:25	156:1	99:22 101:4
use 18:9 21:24	157:22	103:2,3 105:19	weren't 23:20	104:9,17 106:13
22:21,22 24:8	viewed 5:15 41:4	105:25 126:18	west 77:8 78:14	113:11,25 114:10
33:23 37:22,23	42:11 47:11	138:22,22 149:15	79:19 80:13 81:2	114:13,16,19,25
40:11 52:15	63:21 68:12 70:1	152:17 155:9	115:20 116:15	117:22,23 120:14
53:25 59:18,23	70:3,4 72:23	washing 63:23	117:18,19 118:13	120:21 121:10
63:10,16 66:25	views 36:13 39:8	wasn't 2:5 11:17	125:7 126:13	123:9,14 141:25
69:16 70:5 71:5	50:7 102:10	56:10 91:24 94:9	143:19 144:22	142:6 146:16,24
73:25 139:4,5,8	104:2 125:21	97:14,17 98:6	145:4,11,12,25	164:20 165:18
163:8	135:4 136:20	106:9 107:3	146:6 161:1	166:20
useful 89:1	160:9,18 161:22	109:2 110:5	162:4	witnessed 80:8
user 61:5,8 129:13	violence 31:2,15	112:11 122:4	western 35:19	word 33:4,15,22
uses 34:4	161:4	126:5,7 152:4	westerners 161:1	37:22,23 38:1,2
usual 13:11 89:17	violent 15:3 52:4	153:13 164:14	162:4	54:13,13 62:10
V	52:17 72:8 98:22	water 61:20 62:16	Westminster	62:11,11 64:13
value 140:24	visible 7:20 149:8	62:20,23,25	152:18	65:13 66:24,25
	visited 125:8	63:17,25	<b>WhatsApp</b> 69:3,9	67:5 69:23 70:5
variables 136:15	VODS 155:4	way 16:22 37:19	90:19,24 108:11	72:24 160:12
varies 33:24	voice 26:14	39:9,23 40:22	135:16 153:15,20	words 22:22 45:11
variety 115:17	volume 76:16,25	44:14 45:9 50:18	whatsoever 20:1	45:22 46:2,5,12
various 27:1 30:25	141:9	56:6 59:23 60:10	122:17	63:20,21 157:16
31:17,18 32:24	voluntary 105:3	62:2,25 63:22,23	whilst 94:6 125:9	163:8
36:4 50:1 53:13	vulnerable 85:6	64:6 65:4 68:18	153:25	work 31:8 34:18
77:18 86:23	<b>W</b>	70:14 82:25	white 9:5 33:13,21	34:20 44:22 51:3
93:24 95:18				
	-	-	-	-

75:14 80:14 85:25 86:1 93:22 94:23 103:11 118:8 139:14 140:10 165:19,19 167:25 worked 77:14 working 23:12 27:9 58:19 82:24 83:5 121:16 workload 79:18 80:8 world 34:12,25 36:18 37:2 42:6 47:4,12 51:1 56:1 59:15 70:8 71:2 Worrall 83:8 87:9 worship 39:14 40:20 worth 32:5 worth 32:5 world 32:12 27:9 38:19 82:24 79:13 90:24 93:12 132:1 166:16,22 17:16,20 17:18 1816:03 118.4 160:12 119:161:22 119:161:22 119:18 147:11 120:25 121:1,6 116:22 127:16,20 120:88:17 131:8 116:22 127:16,20 120:88:17 131:8 131:17 132:19 12.15 57:23 2.10 89:17 2.13 90:4 131:17 132:19 13.54 81:4 123:22 2.5 18:25 2.13 90:4 2
85:25 86:1 93:22   94:23 103:11   yesterday 53:17   119 161:22   120:25 121:1,6   120:25
94:23 103:11   118:8 139:14   140:10 165:19,19   York 29:22   YouTube 69:12   12-page 28:13   142:3 162:21   12:88,17 131:8   116:22 127:16,20   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   13:18 16:5,16   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   13:18 16:5,16   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   13:18 16:5,16   13:29   12:90 57:21   2.10 89:17   13:117 132:19   13:18 16:5,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   13:18 16:5,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   13:18 16:5,16   13:29   13:17 132:19   13:18 16:5,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   13:17 132:19   13:18 16:3,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   13:39:14   13:18 16:3,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   13:39:14   13:18 16:3,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   13:39:14   13:18 16:3,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   13:18 16:23   13:18 13:17 132:19   13:18 16:3,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   13:18 16:23   13:18 13:17 132:19   13:18 16:3,16   13:29   13:18 16:22   13:18 16:22   13:18 13:17 132:19   13:17 13:18 16:3,16   13:29 12:19 13:19 13:17 13:18 16:22   13:88,17 13:18 16:22   13:88,17 13:18 16:22   13:88,17 13:18 16:22   13:18 16:22   13:18 13:17 13:18 16:22   13:18 16:22   13:18 13:17 13:18 13:17 13:18 13:17 13:18 16:22   13:88,17 13:18 16:22   13:88,17 13:18 16:22   13:88,17 13:18 16:22   13:18 16:22   13:18 13:17 13:18 13:17 13:18 16:22   13:18 13:17 13:18 16:22   13:18 13:17 13:18 13:17 13:18 13:17 13:18 13:17 13:18   13:17 13:18 16:22   13:18 13:17 13:18 13:18 13:17 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:18 13:17 13:19 13:1
118:8 139:14   140:10 165:19,19   70rk 29:22   70rt be 69:12   12:515   12:10 57:21   12:39:22   13:48:1 162:21   12:88,17 131:8   13:17 132:19   13:16:12 12:15:15   12:15 57:23   13:48 1:4 123:22   12:59
140:10 165:19,19   167:25
167:25   worked 77:14   working 23:12   27:9 58:19 82:24   83:5 121:16   workload 79:18   80:8   world 34:12.25   36:18 37:2 42:6   47:4,12 51:1   56:1 59:15 70:8 71:2   Worrall 83:8 87:9   worship 39:14   40:20   worth 32:5   world 45:21 132:1   163:5   wite 45:21 132:1   163:5   wite 45:21 132:2   writer 65:5,18   writing 38:16 42:9   written 45:9 63:21   90:19,21 113:2   16:17   word 46:14
worked 77:14   working 23:12   27:9 58:19 82:24   83:5 121:16   workload 79:18   80:8   world 34:12,25   36:18 37:2 42:6   47:4,12 51:1   56:1 59:15 70:8 71:2   14:22,25 5:7,24   6:3,12 7:25 8:3   8:10 11:8,22   12:6,7 13 16:22   17:3,6,20 18:2,7   18:17,17 21:17 21:19 24:2 27:24   40:20   worth 32:5   wwite 45:21 139:22   write 45:21 139:22   write 45:5,18   writing 38:16 42:9   write 45:6,18   writing 38:16 42:9   write 45:11 130:22   16:16,22 119:3   12:6 124:5   16:16,32 119:3   12:6 124:5   16:13   16:22   17:3,61 10 16:22   16:17   wrong 71:24 141:7   wrote 46:14   X   X   X   16:18   X   X   X   16:18   2   18:5   1.8 8
working 23:12         Z         Z         13 5:4 81:4 123:22         2.5 18:25         20 1:21 27:14,19         144:7,19,20         145:2,23 147:2           workload 79:18 80:8         world 34:12,25 36:18 37:2 42:6         0.5 18:24 0.6 18:22 0.8 18:22,23 0.76:18         007 76:18         155:18 22 0.76:14 73:24,25 155:18         2000 126:24 151:7 2000 126:24 151:7 2000 175:23 2000 175:24 2000 126:24 151:7 2000 175
Table   Tabl
12.73   36.19   36.24   36.6   18.22   36.18   37.2   42.6   47.4   12.5   11.2   56.1   59.15   70.8   71.2   77.14   40.20   worth 32.5   wolldn't 37.22,24   79.13   90.24   93.12   132.1   163.5   write 45:21   139.22   write 65.5,18   writing 38.16   42.9 written 45.9   63.21   90.19,21   113.2   161.17   wrorg 71:24   141.7   wrote 46:14
workload 79:18 80:8         0 0.5 18:24 0.6 18:22 0.8 18:22.23 007 76:18         14 81:19 90:12 123:24 124:24 126:12 128:22 148:24 152:15,16 2000 126:24 151:7 2000 175:23 148:24 152:15,16 2000 175:23 2001 75:23 2001 75:23 2002 17:19 2022 17:115           Worrall 83:8 87:9 worship 39:14 40:20 worth 32:5 world 45:21 79:13 90:24 79:13 90:24 79:13 90:24 79:13 90:24 79:13 90:24 79:13 90:24 79:13 90:24 74:2,4 87:11,22 163:5 write 45:21 139:22 writer 65:5,18 writing 38:16 42:9 written 45:9 63:21 90:19,21 113:2 161:17 wrong 71:24 141:7 wrong 71:24 141:7 wrote 46:14 X X         14 81:19 90:12 123:24 124:24 126:12 128:22 148:24 152:15,16 1674:19 75:2 82:23 150:2,10 166 169:12 171:20 27:16 155:11 188:66 94:2 171:20 27:16 155:18 2000 126:24 151:7 2000 30:13 160:14 2000 87:11 126:23 166:22 177:20 2000 776:15,21 2000 776:15
80:8   world 34:12,25   36:18 37:2 42:6   47:4,12 51:1   56:1 59:15 70:8 71:2   Worrall 83:8 87:9   worship 39:14   40:20   worth 32:5   wouldn't 37:22,24 79:12 132:1 163:5   write 45:21 139:22   write 45:9 63:21 90:19,21 113:2   161:17   wrote 46:14   X X   X 169:2
world 34:12,25         0.6 18:22         126:12 128:22         2000 126:24 151:7         28:14,17,19         77:14           47:4,12 51:1         56:1 59:15 70:8         1         14:22,25 5:7,24         155:7:9,15,18,19         2001 75:23         2001 75:23         202 75:21         200 75:23         200 75:22         202 75:21         200 75:22         200 75:21         200 75:23         200 75:23         20
36:18 37:2 42:6 47:4,12 51:1 56:1 59:15 70:8 71:2 Worrall 83:8 87:9 worship 39:14 40:20 worth 32:5 wouldn't 37:22,24 79:13 90:24 93:12 132:1 163:5 write 45:21 139:22 writer 65:5,18 writing 38:16 42:9 written 45:9 63:21 90:19,21 113:2 16:17 wrong 71:24 141:7 wrote 46:14  X X 169:2  0.8 18:22,23 007 76:18  148:24 152:15,16 15 57:9,15,18,19 74:19 82:9 142:16 15 47:19 75:2 82:23 150:2,10 166 169:12 17:20 27:16 1674:19 75:2 82:23 150:2,10 166 169:12 17:20 27:16 157:15 188:66 69:12 17:20 27:16 16:22 117:23 188:69 94:2 116:22 117:23 118:2,25 127:15 143:5 147:19 153:23 121:6 124:5 166 68:5 186 68:5 198:6 1980 51:11 1984 155:10 1986 29:6,21 1989 29:6,21 1898 29:6,21 1989 29:6,21 182:15 126:2 2001 75:23 2003 13:16 30:14 2001 75:23 2004 76:9 2002 21 17:9 2004 76:9 2004 76:9 2004 76:9 2006 87:11 126:23 162:22 2009 77:22 2009 77:22 2010 50:11 55:1 156:22 224 127:6 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 224 127:6 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 224 127:6 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 224 127:6 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 224 127:6 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 224 127:6 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 224 127:6 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 227:15 144:7,19 156:22 221:15:10 156:22 224:17:6 2006 87:11 126:23 155:1,156:12,156:10 155:1,156:12,12 155:13 188:0,11:2,24 147:19 153:23 188:0,11:2,24 147:2 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 166 169:1,2 17:20 27:16 160:29:20 17:30 30:8 76:1 2004 76:9 2004 76:9 2005 30:20,22 77:20 2006 87:11 126:23 155:1,156:10 155:1,156:1,15 2007 76:15,21 2009 77:22 2010 50:11 55:1 156:12,15 2001 3:10 6:10 222 117:9 2004 76:9 2006 87:11 126:23 162:22 2010 50:11 55:1 156:12,15 156:1
14:24   15:15   15:15   17:25   17:25   17:36   17:25   17:2
Table   Tabl
T1:2   Worrall 83:8 87:9   worship 39:14   40:20   worth 32:5   wouldn't 37:22,24   79:13 90:24   93:12 132:1   163:5   write 45:21 139:22   writer 65:5,18   writing 38:16 42:9   writen 45:9 63:21   90:19,21 113:2   161:17   wrong 71:24 141:7   wrote 46:14
Worrall 83:8 87:9 worship 39:14       14:22,25 : 7,24
worship 39:14       40:20       8:10 11:8,22       82:23 150:2,10       77:20       142:4       21 149:14 150:15       155:21,22 156:10       166 169:12       2006 87:11 126:23       162:22       12 149:14 150:15       155:21,22 156:10
40:20       worth 32:5       12:6,7,13 16:22       12:6,7,13 16:22       17:3,6,20 18:2,7       166 169:12       166 169:12       166:22       166:22       17:3,6,20 18:2,7       157:15       162:22       155:21,22 156:10       156:11,15       2007 76:15,21       2013 150:14,16,24       2013 150:14,16,24       2013 150:14,16,24       2013 150:14,16,24       2014 55:10,11       2014 55:10,11 </td
worth 32:5       wouldn't 37:22,24       17:3,6,20 18:2,7       17:1:20 27:16       162:22       155:21,22 156:10         79:13 90:24       93:12 132:1       163:5       2007 76:15,21       156:11,15         write 45:21 139:22       74:2,4 87:11,22       16:6,22 119:3       116:22 117:23       2009 77:22       22 27:15 144:7,19         write 65:5,18       16:16,22 119:3       116:16,22 119:3       153:23       2011 115:20       224 127:6         written 45:9 63:21       169:3,4       153:23       2014 55:10,11       227 128:11         90:19,21 113:2       169:3,4       1.00 90:2       1866 68:5       191:17 3:11 28:17       78:3 79:12 80:2       23 27:25 108:12         1.45 3:11       1.55:13       1.55:13       1980 51:11       106:9 108:10       231(i) 133:20         X 169:2       1.8 8:5       10 5:21 6:16 57:15       1989 29:6,21       125:6 126:2       236 101:6 102:1
wouldn't 37:22,24         79:13 90:24       17:3,6,20 18:2,7         93:12 132:1       18:17,17 21:17         163:5       2009 77:22         163:5       2009 77:22         163:5       2009 77:22         16:22 117:23       2009 77:22         2009 77:22       22 27:15 144:7,19         16:22 117:23       116:22 117:23         16:16,22 119:3       118:2,25 127:15         16:16,22 119:3       121:6 124:5         125:10 162:21       169:3,4         100 90:2       169:3,4         100 90:2       1866 68:5         127 155:21       1866 68:5         19 1:17 3:11 28:17       56:24 69:3 77:7         78:3 79:12 80:2       23 27:25 108:12         144:4 156:25,25       155:8         156:11,15       22009 77:22         2013 150:14,16,24       225 127:14         2013 150:14,16,24       227 128:11         2013 150:14,16,24       227 128:11         2013 150:14,16,24       227 128:11         2013 150:14,16,24       227 128:11         2013 150:14,16,24       227 128:11         2013 150:14,16,24       229 130:7         2013 150:14,16,24       229 130:7         2013 150:14,
79:13 90:24 93:12 132:1 163:5 write 45:21 139:22 writer 65:5,18 writing 38:16 42:9 written 45:9 63:21 90:19,21 113:2 161:17 wrong 71:24 141:7 wrote 46:14   X X 169:2  18 86:6 94:2 116:22 117:23 118:2,25 127:15 116:22 117:23 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 125:10 162:21 169:3,4 1.00 90:2 1.45 3:11 1.5 5:13 1.6 18:25 1.8 8:5 1.6 18:25 1.8 8:5 1.7 5:21 1.8 86:6 94:2 116:22 117:23 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 120 10 50:11 55:1 1156:22 2010 50:11 55:1 2011 115:20 2013 150:14,16,24 227 128:11 228 129:5 229 130:7 78:3 79:12 80:2 81:14 87:9 90:12 114:4 156:25,25 178:10 18 86:6 94:2 115:20 115:20 2013 150:14,16,24 227 128:11 228 129:5 229 130:7 78:3 79:12 80:2 81:14 87:9 90:12 114:4 156:25,25 178:10 1980 51:11 106:9 108:10 109:17 110:1 232 133:21 233 133:24 236 101:6 102:1
93:12 132:1 163:5 write 45:21 139:22 writer 65:5,18 writing 38:16 42:9 written 45:9 63:21 90:19,21 113:2 161:17 wrong 71:24 141:7 wrote 46:14   X X 169:2  116:22 217:23 116:22 117:23 118:2,25 127:15 143:5 147:19 116:22 117:23 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 118:2,25 127:15 1201 115:20 2013 150:14,16,24 2013
163:5   write 45:21 139:22   88:4 99:1 115:20   118:2,25 127:15   2011 115:20   224 127:6   225 127:14   227 128:11   228 129:5   2013 150:14,16,24   227 128:11   228 129:5   229 130:7   229 130:7   229 130:7   229 130:7   230 132:21   2
write 45:21 139:22 writer 65:5,18 writing 38:16 42:9 written 45:9 63:21 90:19,21 113:2 161:17 wrong 71:24 141:7 wrote 46:14
writer 65:5,18       writing 38:16 42:9       116:16,22 119:3       153:23       20134 101:16       227 128:11         writen 45:9 63:21       90:19,21 113:2       169:3,4       185 108:6       1866 68:5       56:24 69:3 77:7       229 130:7         wrong 71:24 141:7       wrote 46:14       1.45 3:11       182,24 147:2       81:14 87:9 90:12       23 27:25 108:12         1.5 5:13       1.6 18:25       1.88:5       1986 29:6,21       109:17 110:1       231 (i) 133:20         10 5:21 6:16 57:15       1989 29:6,21       1989 29:6,21       125:6 126:2       23 27:25 108:12
writing 38:16 42:9 written 45:9 63:21 90:19,21 113:2 161:17 wrong 71:24 141:7 wrote 46:14       121:6 124:5 124:5 169:3,4 125:10 162:21 169:3,4 1.00 90:2 1.27 155:21 1.45 3:11 1.5 5:13 1.6 18:25 1.8 8:5 1.0 5:21 6:16 57:15 1.0 5:21 6:16 57:15 1.0 5:21 6:16 57:15 1.0 5:21 6:16 57:15 1.0 162:21 1.0 162
written 45:9 63:21       169:3,4       169:3,4       1866 68:5       56:24 69:3 77:7       229 130:7         90:19,21 113:2       1.00 90:2       1.27 155:21       18:2,24 147:2       81:14 87:9 90:12       23 27:25 108:12         wrote 46:14       1.5 5:13       1.6 18:25       1986 29:6,21       109:17 110:1       231(i) 133:20         18 8:5       1989 29:6,21       125:6 126:2       23 10:7         10 5:21 6:16 57:15       1989 29:6,21       125:6 126:2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
161:17       1.00 90:2       1.27 155:21       118:2,24 147:2       81:14 87:9 90:12       114:4 156:25,25         wrote 46:14       1.45 3:11       1.5 5:13       1980s 51:11       106:9 108:10       230 132:21         1.6 18:25       1.8 8:5       1986 29:6,21       111:21 124:12       233 133:24         10 5:21 6:16 57:15       1989 29:6,21       125:6 126:2       236 101:6 102:1
wrong 71:24 141:7     1.27 155:21     155:8     97:13 99:8 102:8     230 132:21       wrote 46:14     1.5 5:13     1980s 51:11     106:9 108:10     231(i) 133:20       1.6 18:25     1986 29:6,21     111:21 124:12     233 133:24       10 5:21 6:16 57:15     1989 29:6,21     125:6 126:2     236 101:6 102:1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
1 10 5:21 6:16 57:15   1303 23:0,21   123:0 120:2   120:0 101:0 102:1
1
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 9:15 & 142:19 \\ 1990s & 51:10 & 12 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 128\cdot22 & 129\cdot7 & 11 \\ & 128\cdot22 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 \\ & 128\cdot22 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 \\ & 128\cdot22 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 & 129\cdot7 \\ & 128\cdot22 & 129\cdot7 & $
yeah 92:13,18
93:20 94:18 97:7   <b>10-point</b> 108:13   <b>1002</b> 30:6   131:15 22 132:2   <b>238</b> 138:7 141:2
102:2 103:9   10.30 1:2 168:8,9   1995 30:6 14   132:10 19 23   241:1 32:8
106:3 107:12   168:13   1996 75:11 17   132:10,19,23   241:1 32:0   242 134:1 138:8
110:5 114:7   10.40 1:4   1998 115:16 17   136:11 137:4   141:2
117:19 118:1   <b>100</b> 114:24 115:3   <b>14</b> 25:8     138:10 12 141:10   <b>244</b> 112:20
121:3 123:23,23
123:25 124:23
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
year 74:19 81:8   113 169:10,11   8:19.22.23 9:2   77.24 142.10,19   137.4,23
124:1 125:10   116 60:14 158:24   11:22 12:6 21:15   2016 1:17 2:4   153:25 155:13
years 13:15,16   166:6   21:16 24:2 27:10   2010 1:17 2.4   133:23 133:13

			Page 197
161 15 160 5 6	<b>50</b> 10 <b>7</b> 20		
161:15 169:5,6	<b>50</b> 107:20		
<b>27</b> 98:16	<b>52</b> 108:4 149:7		
<b>28</b> 27:11	<b>53</b> 109:12 149:14		
29 115:14	<b>54</b> 110:11 149:17		
<b>29th</b> 124:2,3	<b>57</b> 111:24		
3	<b>58</b> 95:23		
3 5:11 6:1 8:25	<b>59</b> 126:23		
9:13 10:1 17:13	6		
24:15 27:22	<b>6</b> 5:5 11:20 12:15		
28:14,19 79:5	12:21 17:3,8		
100:1 121:1,6	24:15 28:13 59:7		
124:11 132:12	59:12 69:3,9		
158:13	71:15 74:23		
<b>3.15</b> 137:24	117:23		
<b>3.30</b> 138:1	<b>61</b> 153:13		
<b>3.5</b> 5:14	<b>66</b> 153:24		
30 2:4	<b>673</b> 155:8		
<b>31</b> 87:9 142:4			
<b>3142</b> 71:22	7		
<b>35</b> 60:14 94:21	7 4:23 5:1,8 7:16		
158:18 159:8	17:2,9,25 18:15		
<b>36</b> 63:8 146:18	60:11 68:24		
159:18	101:6		
	<b>72A</b> 155:19		
4	<b>74</b> 108:11 169:7,8		
<b>4</b> 6:21 9:2,16 10:1	7th 33:18 39:11		
11:2 17:7 24:15	55:17		
28:10 64:11	o		
121:7 128:15	8		
145:2,23	<b>8</b> 5:15 9:17 99:8		
<b>4.18</b> 168:12	155:9		
40 147:1	<b>8-year</b> 84:3 85:8 87:24 96:20		
41 99:23 100:8	<b>8.41</b> 147:19		
102:15 151:6,17	<b>80</b> 156:24,25		
151:25 152:23	<b>85.2</b> 157:23		
<b>42</b> 104:18 105:13	<b>88</b> 124:21		
<b>44</b> 107:4 147:11 <b>45</b> 147:14	8th 55:17		
<b>46</b> 147:21	oth 55.17		
<b>49</b> 107:15 148:24	9		
49 107.13 140.24	<b>9</b> 71:18 146:15,17		
5	<b>9.25</b> 147:2		
<b>5</b> 5:4,4 11:5 16:16	<b>9/11</b> 51:14		
19:1 24:15 28:16	<b>90</b> 128:10		
59:5 60:17 61:1	<b>91</b> 130:7 131:8		
66:5 71:14 121:7	<b>93</b> 68:24,25		
133:16 159:2,6	<b>95</b> 138:7		
160:1,23 166:8	<b>97</b> 114:3		
<b>5.10</b> 155:22			